

(1, α)-DERIVATIONS ON LIE IDEALS IN σ- PRIME NEAR-RINGS

M.V. L. BHARATHI, K. JAYALAKSHMI

**Abstract:** Let  $N$  be a 2 - torsion free  $\sigma$ - prime near-ring and  $1, \alpha \in \text{Aut } N$ . Let  $d$  be a nonzero  $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation which commutes with  $\sigma$ , and  $L$  be a nonzero  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal, then  $N$  is commutative if any one of the following conditions hold. (i)  $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$ . (ii)  $d(u)u = \alpha(u)d(u)$  (iii)  $d(u^2) = \pm \alpha(u^2)$  (iv)  $d(u^2) = 2 d(u)\alpha(u)$ , for all  $u \in L$ .

**Keywords:** Center, Near rings,  $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation,  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal,  $\sigma$ - prime near-ring,.

**Introduction:** During the last years, the study of commutativity of prime rings or prime near-rings was one of the most important subjects in the researches of algebraists. In this direction, Bell and Mason [3] initialized this study using the notion of derivation defined in a prime-ring. Argac [1] continued on a same line, he introduced the notion of two sided  $\alpha$ -derivation.

Bell, Boua and Oukhtite generalized some results known in this field involving the semigroup ideal instead of near-ring and generalized derivation instead of the usual derivation. Hence, it should be interesting to study the commutativity of near-ring  $N$  admitting some conditions.

Boua and Kamal [9] concept of two-sided  $\alpha$ -generalized derivation in prime near-rings as it was outlined by the author N. Argac [1]. Thereafter, they generalized the same results proved by many authors (see [2] and [8]) in the case of derivations, semiderivations and generalized derivations. Furthermore, they gave examples to show the restrictions given in the hypothesis of various results are not expendable.

Let  $N$  be an associative near-ring with center  $Z$ . We will write for all  $x, y \in N$ ,  $[x, y] = xy - yx$  for a commutator and the Jordan product by  $x \circ y = xy + yx$ .  $N$  is said to be 2-torsion free if whenever  $2x = 0$ , with  $x \in N$ , then  $x = 0$ .  $N$  is prime if  $aNb = 0$  implies that  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$  for all  $a$  and  $b$  in  $N$ . If  $\sigma$  is an involution in  $N$ , then  $N$  is said to be  $\sigma$ - prime if  $aNb = aN\sigma(b) = 0$  implies that  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ . It is obvious that every prime ring equipped with an involution  $\sigma$  is also  $\sigma$ - prime, but the converse need not be true in general. An ideal  $I$  of  $N$  is said to be a  $\sigma$  ideal i.e.  $I$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ , i.e.,  $\sigma(I) = I$ . In all that follows  $Sa_\sigma(N)$  will denote the set of symmetric and skew symmetric elements of  $N$ , i.e.,  $Sa_\sigma(N) = \{x \in N / \sigma(x) = \pm x\}$ .

A Lie ideal of  $N$  is an additive subgroup  $U$  of  $N$  satisfying  $U$  of  $N$  satisfying  $[u, n] \in U$  for all  $u \in U$ . Moreover if  $\sigma(U) = U$ , then  $U$  is called a  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal. Let  $I$  be a ring of integers. Set

$$N = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} / a, b, d \in I \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} / b \in I \right\}.$$

We define the following maps on  $N : \sigma \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -d & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $L$  is a nonzero  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal of  $N$ .

An additive mapping  $d : N \rightarrow N$  is called a derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in N$ . For a fixed  $a \in N$ , the mapping  $I_a : N \rightarrow N$  given by  $I_a(x) = [a, x]$  is a derivation which is said to be a inner derivation. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be any two automorphism of  $N$ . An additive mapping  $d : N \rightarrow N$  is called a  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in N$  and is said to be  $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in N$ .

Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $N$ . A mapping  $d$  from  $N$  to  $N$  is called centralizing on  $S$  if  $[d(x), x] \in Z$ , for all  $x \in S$  and is called commuting on  $S$  if  $[d(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in S$ . The study of centralizing and commuting mapping was initiated by Posner in [12]. (Posner's second theorem). Several authors have proved commutativity theorems for prime rings or semiprime rings admitting automorphisms or derivations which are centralizing and commuting on appropriate subsets of  $R$  (see eg., [4, 6, 5, 10] and references there in). Recently, oukhtite et al. [11, Theorem 1] proved Posner's second theorem for 2-torsion free rings with involution.

Our attempt in this paper is to prove commutativity conditions for a 2-torsion free near-ring  $N$  with  $(1, \alpha)$ -derivations on  $\sigma$ - Lie ideals. We follow the procedure adapted by Agrac [1] to obtain the results in this paper.  $N$  is commutative if any one of the following condition is true, (i)  $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$ . (ii)  $d(u)u = \alpha(u)d(u)$  (iii)  $d(u^2) = \pm \alpha(u^2)$  (iv)  $d(u^2) = 2d(u)\alpha(u)$ , for all  $u \in L$ .

**Preliminaries:** Throughout the paper,  $N$  will be a 2 - torsion free  $\sigma$ - prime near-ring and  $1, \sigma \in \text{Aut } N$ . Let  $d$  be a nonzero  $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation of  $N$  which commutes with  $\sigma$  and  $L$  be a nonzero  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal and a subring of  $N$ . Also, we will make some extensive use of the basic commutator identities.

$$[x, yz] = y [x, z] + [x, y] z$$

$$[xy, z] = [x, z] y + x [y, z]$$

$$[xy, z]_{1,\alpha} = x [y, z]_{1,\alpha} + [x, \alpha(z)] y = x [y, z] + [x, z]_{1,\alpha} y$$

$$[x, yz]_{1,\alpha} = \alpha(y) [x, z] + [x, y]_{1,\alpha} (z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ (yz) &= (x \circ y)z - y[x, z] = y(x \circ z) + [x, y]z \\ (xy) \circ z &= x(y \circ z) - [x, z]y = (x \circ z)y + x[y, z] \\ (x \circ (yz))_{l,\alpha} &= (x \circ y)_{l,\alpha} z - \alpha(y) [x, z]_{l,\alpha} = \alpha(y)(x \circ z)_{l,\alpha} + [x, y]_{l,\alpha} z \\ ((xy) \circ z)_{l,\alpha} &= x(y \circ z)_{l,\alpha} - [x, \alpha(z)] y = (x \circ z)_{l,\alpha} \alpha(y) + x [y, z] \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.1 :** *If  $N$  is a 2-torsion free near-ring and  $L$  a nonzero lie ideal of  $N$ , then  $2[N, N]L \subseteq L$  and  $2L[N, N] \subseteq L$ .*

**Proof :** Let  $x, y \in N$  and  $l \in L$ . Then  $l \circ [x, y] - [[l, x], y] + [[l, y], x] \in L$ . and hence  $2xyl - 2yxl \in L$  and we get  $2[x, y]l \in L$ , for all  $x, y \in N$  and  $l \in L$ , that is  $2 [N, N] L \subseteq L$ . Similarly, it is easy to see that  $2l[x, y] = l [x, y] - [[l, y], x] + [[l, x], y] \in L$ , for all  $x, y \in N$  and  $l \in L$ , for all  $x, y \in N$  and  $u \in L$ , and hence  $2L[N, N] \subseteq L$ .

**Lemma 2.2:** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free  $\sigma$ -prime near-ring and  $L$  a nonzero  $\sigma$ -Lie ideal of  $N$ . If  $aLb = \sigma(a)Lb = o$  then  $a = o$  or  $b = o$ .*

**Proof :** Assume that  $a \neq o$ . Since  $2[N, N] L \subseteq L$  by Lemma 2.1, then  $2a [p, q]lb = o$  for all  $p, q \in N, l \in L$ . This implies that  $a [p, q] lb = o$ , (2.1)

for all  $p, q \in N, l \in L$ . Replacing  $q$  by  $qa$  in (2.1) because of  $alb = o$ , we find that  $aqaplb = o$  and thus  $aNaplb = o$  (2.2) for all  $p \in N, l \in L$ .

On the other hand, from  $\sigma(a)Lb = o$ , it follows that  $\sigma(a) [p, qa] lb = o$  which leads to  $\sigma(a)qaplb = o$  for all  $p, q \in N$  and therefore  $\sigma(a)Naplb = o$ , (2.3)

for all  $p \in N, l \in L$ . From the equations (2.2) and (2.3), because of  $a \neq o$ , the  $\sigma$ -primeness of  $N$  yields  $aplb = o$  for all  $p \in N, l \in L$ . Accordingly  $aNlb = o$ , (2.4)

for all  $l \in L$ . Writing  $q\sigma(a)$  instead of  $q$  in (1), we obtain  $a[p, q\sigma(a)] lb = o$  because of  $\sigma(a)lb = o$ , we get  $aq\sigma(a)plb = o$  so that  $aN\sigma(a)plb = o$ , (2.5)

for all  $l \in L$ . In view of  $\sigma(a)lb = o$ , we find that  $\sigma(a)[p, q\sigma(a)]lb = o$  and thus  $\sigma(a)q\sigma(a)plb = o$  for all  $p, q \in N, l \in L$ . Hence  $\sigma(a)N\sigma(a)plb = o$ , (2.6)

for all  $p \in N, l \in L$ . Using (2.5) and (2.6), because of  $a \neq o$ , the  $\sigma$ -primeness of  $N$  yields  $\sigma(a)plb = o$  and therefore  $\sigma(a)Nlb = o$ , (2.7)

for all  $l \in L$ . Again, because of equations (2.4) and (2.7),  $\sigma$ -primeness of  $N$  assures that  $lb = o$  for all  $l \in L$ . Hence it follows that  $Lb = o$ . (2.8)

From  $[l, p]b = o$ , by view of (2.8) we get  $lpb = o$  for all  $p \in N, l \in L$  and thus

$lNb = o$ , (2.9) for all  $l \in L$  Since  $L$  is invariant under  $\sigma$ , from (2.9) it follows that  $\sigma(l)Nb = o$ , (2.10)

for all  $l \in L$ . Using the  $\sigma$ -primeness of  $N$ , because of  $l \neq o$ , equations (2.9) and (2.10) assure that  $b = o$ .

**Lemma 2.3 :** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free  $\sigma$ -prime near-ring and  $L$  a nonzero  $\sigma$ -Lie ideal of  $N$ . If  $[L, L] = o$ , then  $L \subseteq Z(N)$ .*

**Proof :** From  $2x [p, q], y = o$  it follows that  $[x[p, q], y] = o$  and thus  $[x[p, q], y] = [x, y][p, q] + x[[p, q], y] = o$ , for all  $p, q \in N, x, y \in L$ . Hence.

$$L [[p, q], y] = o, \quad (2.11)$$

for all  $p, q \in N, y \in L$ . Since equation (2.11) is analogous to equation (2.8), arguing as in the Proof of Lemma 2.2, we arrive at

$$[[p, q], y] = o, \quad (2.12)$$

for all  $l \in L$ . Replacing  $q$  by  $qp$  in (2.12) we obtain  $[[p, qp], y] = o$  [p, q][p, y] = o, (2.13)

for all  $p, q \in N, y \in L$ . Writing  $xq$  instead of  $q$  in (2.13) where  $x \in L$ , we obtain  $[p, qx][p, y] = o$  and thus  $[p, x]q[p, y] = o$  and we get

$$[p, x]N[p, y] = o, \quad (2.14)$$

for all  $x, y \in L, p \in N$ . Since  $\sigma(L) = L$ , replacing  $y$  by  $\sigma(y)$  in (2.14), we get

$$[p, x]N[p, \sigma(y)] = o, \quad (2.15) \text{ for all } x, y \in L, p \in N.$$

Let  $p \in Sa_\sigma(N)$ . From (15) it follows that

$$[p, x]N\sigma[p, y] = o, \quad (2.16)$$

for all  $x, y \in L$ . Using (2.14) together with (2.16), the  $\sigma$ -primeness of  $N$  forces  $[p, x] = o$  for all  $x \in L$ . Accordingly

$$[p, x] = o, \quad (2.17)$$

for all  $l \in Sa_\sigma(N), x \in L$ . Let  $p \in N$ , since  $p - \sigma(p) \in Sa_\sigma(N)$ . (2.17) yields  $[p - \sigma(p), p] = o$ , for all  $x \in L$  and therefore

$$[p, x] = [\sigma(p), x], \quad (2.18)$$

for all  $p \in N, x \in L$ . Substituting  $\sigma(p)$  for  $p$  in (2.15) and using (2.18) we get  $[\sigma(p), x] N [\sigma(p), \sigma(y)] = o$  for all  $x, y \in L, p \in N$ , which leads to

$$[p, x]N\sigma[x, y] = o, \quad (2.19)$$

for all  $x, y \in L, p \in N$ . Using the  $\sigma$ -primeness of  $N$ , equations (2.14) and (2.19) assure that  $[p, x] = o$  for all  $p \in N, x \in L$  proving that  $L \subseteq Z(N)$ .

**Lemma 2.4:** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free  $\sigma$ -prime near-ring and  $L$  a nonzero  $\sigma$ -Lie ideal of  $N$ . If  $L \subseteq Z(N)$ , then  $N$  is commutative.*

**Proof :** From  $L \subseteq Z(N)$ , it follows that  $pl = lp$  implies  $[p, l] \in L$  for all  $p \in N$  and  $l \in L$  and thus

$$pql = p(ql) = q(pl). \quad (2.20) \text{ Hence equation (2.20) yields } [p, q]l = o, \quad (2.21)$$

for all  $p, q \in N$  and  $l \in L$ . Replacing  $q$  by  $qt$  in (2.21) where  $t \in N$ , we get  $[p, qt]l = o$  and hence we get  $[p, q]tl = o$ ; there by  $[p, q]Nl = o$ , (2.22)

for all  $p, q \in N$  and  $l \in L$ . Since  $L$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal, then (2.22) implies that  $[p, q]N\sigma(l) = o$ , (2.23)

for all  $p, q \in N$  and  $l \in L$ . In view of Lemma 2.2, because of  $o \neq L$ , equation (2.22) together with equation (2.23) force  $[p, q] = o$  for all  $p, q \in N$ . Accordingly,  $N$  is commutative.

**Lemma 2.5:** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free  $\sigma$ -prime near-ring,  $L$  a nonzero  $\sigma$ -Lie ideal of  $N$  and  $d$  a nonzero  $(1,\alpha)$ -derivation of  $N$ . If  $d$  commutes with  $\sigma$  and  $d(L) = o$ , then  $N$  is commutative.*

**Proof :** By the hypothesis, we obtain th

$d(up - pu) = 0$ , for all  $u \in L, p \in N$ .

Expanding the term and using the hypothesis, we get

$$(d(p), u)_{1,\alpha} = 0, \tag{2.24}$$

for all  $x \in L, p \in N$ . Replacing  $p$  by  $2pv, v \in L$  in (2.24)

and using (2.24),  $d(L) = 0$ , we have  $0 = d(p)\alpha([v, u])$ ,

for all  $u, v \in L, p \in N$ . Substituting  $pq, q \in N$ , for  $p$  in

this equation and using this, we find that  $0 =$

$d(p)\alpha(q)\alpha([v, u])$  and so

$$d(p)N\alpha([v, u]) = 0, \tag{2.25}$$

for all  $u, v \in L, p \in N$ . Writing  $\sigma(p)$  by  $p$  in the last

equation, we get  $d(\sigma(p)N\alpha([v, u]) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in L,$

$p \in N$ . Since  $d$  commutes with  $\sigma$ , the last equation

follows

$$d(\sigma(p)N\alpha([v, u]) = 0, \tag{2.26}$$

for all  $u, v \in L, p \in N$ . Applying the  $\sigma$ - primeness of  $N$

because of equation (2.25) and equation (2.26), we

conclude that  $d(p) = 0$  or  $([v, u]) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in L, p$

$\in N$ . Since  $d$  is a nonzero  $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation of  $N$ . We

arrive at  $[L, L] = 0$ , and so  $N$  is commutative by

Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4.

**Results:**

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free  $\sigma$ - prime near-ring and  $L$  a nonzero  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal of  $N$ . If  $N$  admits a nonzero  $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation  $d$  which commutes with  $\sigma$  such that  $[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0$  for all  $u \in L$  and  $\alpha(L) = L$ , then  $N$  is commutative.*

**Proof.** Suppose that

$$[d(u), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $u \in L$ . Linearizing

(3.1) and using this we obtain that

$$[d(u), v]_{1,\alpha} + [d(v), u]_{1,\alpha} = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

for all  $u, v \in L$ .

Replacing  $u$  by  $uv$  in (3.2), we get  $d(u)[v, \alpha(v)] + [d(u),$

$v]_{1,\alpha}v + \alpha(u)[d(v), v]_{1,\alpha} + [\alpha(u), \alpha(v)]_{1,\alpha}v + u[d(v),$

$\alpha(v)]_{1,\alpha} + [d(v), u]_{1,\alpha}v = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in L$ .

Now combining (3.1) and (3.2) in the last equation,

we find that

$$0 = \alpha(u)[d(v), v]_{1,\alpha} + [\alpha(u), \alpha(v)]d(v), \tag{3.3}$$

for all  $u, v \in L$ . Again replacing  $v$  by  $vw$  in (3.3) and

use (3.3), to get  $0 = [\alpha(u), \alpha(v)]\alpha(w)d(v)$ , for all  $u, v,$

$w \in L$ . Since  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $N$ , we see that

$\alpha[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in L$ . Since  $L$  is

nonzero  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal of  $N$  yields that  $\sigma[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v))$

$= 0$ , for all  $u \in L, v \in L \cap Sa_\sigma(N)$ . Hence we get

$$[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}d(v) = \sigma[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}d(v) = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

for all  $u \in L, v \in L \cap Sa_\sigma(N)$ . By Lemma 2.2, we get

either  $[u, v] = 0$  for all  $u \in L$  or  $d(v) = 0$  for each  $v \in L$

$\cap Sa_\sigma(N)$ . Let  $v \in L$ , as  $v + \sigma(v), v - \sigma(v) \in L \cap Sa_\sigma(N)$

and  $[u, v \pm \sigma(v)] = 0$ , for all  $u \in L$  or  $d(v \pm \sigma(v)) = 0$ .

Hence we have  $[u, v] = 0$  or  $d(v) = 0$  for all  $u, v \in L$ . We

obtain that  $L$  is union of two additive subgroups of  $U$

such that  $J = \{v \in L / d(v) = 0\}$  and  $K =$

$\{v \in L / [u, v] = 0 \text{ for all } u \in L\}$ . Moreover,  $L$  is the

set theoretic union of  $J$  and  $K$ . But a group cannot be

the set theoretic union of two proper subgroups,

hence  $J = L$  or  $K = L$ . In the former case, we get  $N$  is

commutative Lemma 2.5. In the latter case,  $[L, L] =$

$0$ . That is  $L \subseteq Z$  by Lemma 2.3 and  $N$  is commutative by Lemma 2.4. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free  $\sigma$ -prime near-ring and  $L$  a nonzero  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal of  $N$ . If  $N$  admits a nonzero  $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation  $d$  which commutes with  $\sigma$  such that  $d(u)u = \alpha(u)d(u)$ , for all  $u \in L$  and  $\alpha(L) = L$ , then  $N$  is commutative.*

**Proof.** We have

$$d(u)u = \alpha(u)d(u), \tag{3.5}$$

for all  $u \in L$ . Replacing  $u$  by  $u + v$  in (3.5) and using

this, we get

$$d(u)v + d(v)u = \alpha(u)d(v) + \alpha(v)d(u), \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $u, v \in L$ . Writing  $uv$  for  $u$  in (3.6) and using

(3.6), we obtain that  $2\alpha(u)d(v)v = \alpha(u \circ v)d(v)$ , for all

$u, v, w \in L$ . Taking  $wu$  instead of  $u$  in the above

equation and using this, we have  $\alpha[w, v]\alpha(u)d(v) = 0$ ,

for all  $u, v, w \in L$ . Hence we arrive at

$[w, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = 0$ , for all  $u, v, w \in L$ . Since  $L$  is

nonzero  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal of  $N$  yields that  $\sigma[w, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v))$

$= 0$ , for all  $u, v, w \in L$ . Therefore, we get  $[w, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = \sigma[w, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = 0$ , for all  $u \in L, v \in L \cap$

$Sa_\sigma(N)$ . The similar argument as used after equation

(3.4), we get the required result.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free  $\sigma$ - prime near-ring and  $L$  a nonzero  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal of  $N$ . If  $N$  admits a nonzero  $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation  $d$  which commutes with  $\sigma$  such that  $d(u^2) = \pm \alpha(u^2)$ , for all  $u \in L$  and  $\alpha(L) = L$ , then  $N$  is commutative.*

**Proof.** Linearizing the hypothesis, we get

$$d(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v) + d(v)u + \alpha(v)d(u) = \alpha(uv + vu), \tag{3.7}$$

for all  $u, v \in L$ . Replacing  $u$  by  $uv$ , for all  $u \in L$  in (3.7)

and applying this equation, we arrive at

$$\alpha(u \circ v)d(u) = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

for all  $u, v \in L$ . Writing  $uw$  for  $u$  in (3.8) and using

(3.8) we obtain that  $\alpha([u, v])\alpha(w)d(v) = 0$ , for all  $u,$

$v, w \in L$  and so  $[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in L$ .

Since  $L$  is a nonzero  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal of  $N$  yields that  $\sigma[u,$

$v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = 0$ , for all  $u \in L \cap Sa_\sigma(N)$ . Therefore, we

get  $[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = \sigma[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = 0$ , for all  $u \in L$

$\cap Sa_\sigma(N)$ . The similar arguments as used after

equation (3.4), we get the required result.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $N$  be a 2-torsion free  $\sigma$ - prime near-ring and  $L$  a nonzero  $\sigma$ - Lie ideal of  $N$ . If  $N$  admits a nonzero  $(1, \alpha)$ -derivation  $d$  which commutes with  $\sigma$  such that  $d(u^2) = 2d(u)\alpha(u)$ , for all  $u \in L$  and  $\alpha(L) = L$ , then  $N$  is commutative.*

**Proof.** We get  $d(u^2) = 2d(u)\alpha(u)$ , for all  $u \in L$ . That

is

$$d(u)u + \alpha(u)d(u) = 2d(u)\alpha(u), \tag{3.9}$$

for all  $u \in L$ . Linearizing (3.9) and using this we

obtain

$$d(u)v + \alpha(u)d(v) + d(v)u + \alpha(v)d(u) = 2d(u)\alpha(v) + 2d(v)\alpha(u), \tag{3.10}$$

for all  $u, v \in L$ . Taking  $uv$  instead of  $u$  in (3.10) are using this equation, we have

for all  $u, v \in L$ . Letting  $u$  by  $uw$  in (3.11) and using (3.11), we arrive at

$$\alpha([u, v])\alpha(w)d(v) = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $u, v, w \in L$ . That is  $[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = 0$ , for all  $u, v \in L$ . Since  $L$  is nonzero  $\sigma$ -Lie ideal of  $N$  yields that

$\sigma[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = 0$ , for all  $v \in L, u \in L \cap S a_{\sigma}(N)$ . Therefore, we get  $[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = \sigma[u, v]L\alpha^{-1}(d(v)) = 0$ , for all  $v \in L, u \in L \cap S a_{\sigma}(N)$ . Further application of similar arguments as used after (3.4) we get the required result.

## References:

1. N. Argac., On near-rings with two-sided  $\alpha$ -derivations, Turk. J. Math., 28 (2008), 195-204.
2. M. Ashraf and A. Shakir., On  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations of prime near-rings-II, Sarajevo J. Math., 4 (16) (2008), 23-30.
3. H.E. Bell and G. Mason., On derivations in near-rings, North-Holland Math. Stud., 137 (1987), 31-35.
4. H.E. Bell and W.S. Martindale., *Centralizing mappings of semiprime rings*, Can. Math. Bull. 30, 92-101.
5. M. Bresar., *Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings*, J. Algebra 156, (1993), 385-394.
6. J. Bergen, I.N Herstein and J.W. Kerr., *Lie*
7. *ideals and derivations of prime rings*, J. Algebra 71, (1981), 259-267.
8. A. Boua, L. Oukhtite., Derivations on prime near-rings, Int. J. Open. Prob. Comput. Sci. Math 4 (2) (2011), 162-167.
9. A. Boua, L. Oukhtite., Semiderivations satisfying certain algebraic identities on prime near-rings, Asian-Eur. J. Math 6(3(2013), 1-8. DOI:10.1142/s1793557113500435.
10. A. Boua and A. A. M. Kamal., Structure of near-rings satisfying certain polynomial identities, Int. J. Pur. App. Math. 95(4) (2014), 597-610.
11. J.H. Mayne., *Centralizing automorphisms of prime rings*, Can. Math Bull. 19, (1976), 113- 115.
12. L. Oukhtite, S. Salhi and L. Taufiq, *Commutativity conditions on derivations and Lie ideals in  $\sigma$ -prime rings*, Beitrage Algebra Geom. 51(1), (2010), 275-282.
13. E.C Posner., *Derivations in prime rings*, Proc Am. Math. Soc. 8, (1957), 1093-1100.
14. (Book style). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, 1993, pp. 123-135.

\* \* \*

M.V. L. Bharathi

K. Jayalakshmi

J.N.T.U.A College of Engg., Anantapuram. (A.P) INDIA