

FEKETE - SZEGO INEQUALITY FOR SOME SUB-CLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS INVOLVING COMPLEX ORDER

T. Ram Reddy¹, R.B. Sharma²

Abstract: The aim of the present paper is to introduce a new sub-class of analytic functions involving complex order and obtain the Fekete – Szego inequality for the functions in this class. The Fekete – Szego inequality for the inverse function of f in this class is also obtained. Certain applications of our results for the functions defined through convolution are also obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of all analytic functions f of the form,

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (z \in \Delta = \{z \in C / |z| < 1\}). \quad (1)$$

Let S be the sub-class of A consisting of univalent functions in \square .

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is subordinate to an univalent function $g \in \mathcal{A}$ written as $f \prec g$ if $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(\Delta) \subseteq g(\Delta)$.

Let B_0 be the family of analytic functions $w(z)$ in the unit disk \square satisfying the conditions $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1 \quad \forall z \in \Delta$.

If $f \prec g$ then there is a function $w(z)$ in B_0 such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$.

Definition (1): Let $\phi(z)$ be a univalent analytic function with positive real part on \square with $\phi(0) = 1$, $\phi'(0) > 0$ which maps the unit disk \square onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and is symmetric with respect to the real axis.

Such a function ϕ has a series expansion of the form, $\phi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \dots$ with $B_1 > 0$, $B_2 \geq 0$ and B_n 's are real.

Let $S^*(\phi)$ be the class of functions $f \in S$ for which

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and $C(\phi)$ be the class of functions $f \in S$ for which

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

where \prec denotes the subordination between analytic functions.

These classes were introduced and studied by W.Ma and D.Minda [1]. They have obtained the Fekete - Szego inequality for functions in the classes $S^*(\phi)$ and $C(\phi)$.

V. Ravichandran, M. Bolcal, Y.Polotaglu and A.Sen [2] have further generalised these classes by defining $S_b^*(\phi)$ to be the class of functions $f \in S$ for which,

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

and

$C_b(\phi)$ be the class of functions $f \in S$ for which,

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right] \prec \phi(z), \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

Where b is a non-zero complex number.

Recently T.N. Shanmugam and S. Sivasubramanian [3] have defined the class $M_\alpha(\phi)$ to be the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ which satisfies the condition,

$$\frac{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + zf'(z)}{(1-\alpha)f(z) + \alpha zf'(z)} \prec \phi(z) \quad \forall z \in \Delta.$$

Very recently T.N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian and B.A.Frasin [4] have defined and studied the class $M[b, \alpha](\phi)$ consisting of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition,

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\alpha z^3 f'''(z) + (1+2\alpha)z^2 f''(z) + zf'(z)}{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + zf'(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \phi(z), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where b is non-zero complex number and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

All these authors have obtained the Fekete – Szego inequality for the functions in these classes. They have also found certain applications of their results for the functions defined through convolution and fractional derivatives.

In this present paper, we define a sub-class of analytic function involving complex order and obtain the Fekete - Szego inequality for the functions in this class. Our results unify and generalise the recent results of W.Ma and D.Minda [1], V.Ravichandran, Y.Polatoglu, M.Bolcal and A.Sen [2], T.N. Shanmugam and S.Sivasubramanian [3], T.N.Shanmugam, S.Sivasubramanian and B.A.Frasin [4].

Definition (2):- Let 'b' be a non-zero complex number and α, γ be real numbers with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ and $\phi(z)$ is a function as in the definition (1). Then a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $M[b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$ if,

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\alpha z^3 f'''(z) + (1 + 2\alpha) z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)} - 1 \right) \prec [\phi(z)]^\gamma, \quad \forall z \in \Delta.$$

Where the powers are taken with their principle values. It is noted that,

- 1) $M[b, \alpha, 1](\phi) = M[b, \alpha]$ defined and studied by T.N. Shanmugam, S.Sivasubramanian and B.A.Frasin [4].
- 2) $M[b, 0, 1](\phi) = C_b[\phi]$ defined and studied by V. Ravichandran, M.Bolcol, Y. Polatoglu and A. Sen [2].
- 3) $M[1, 0, 1](\phi) = C[\phi]$ defined and studied by Ma and Minda [1].

Definition (3): If b, α, γ and ϕ are same as in the definition (2) then for any fixed function $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} g_n z^n \in \mathcal{A} (g_n > 0)$, let $M[b, \alpha, \gamma, g](\phi)$ be the class of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which $(f * g) \in M[b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$.

It is noted that $M\left[b, \alpha, \gamma, \frac{z}{1-z}\right](\phi) = M[b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$.

Definition (4): Let b, α, γ and ϕ be same as in the definition (2) and $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in M[b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$ is an invertible function and

$f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n w^n$ with $|w| < r_0$ is the inverse function of f, then

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z = f(f^{-1}(z)).$$

where r_0 is greater than the radius of the Koebe domain for the class $M[b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$.

In order to prove our main result, we require the following two Lemmas.

Lemma (1): [2]: If $p(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$ is a function with positive real part, then for any complex number μ ,

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max \{1, |2\mu - 1|\} \text{ and the result is sharp for the functions defined by } p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \text{ or } p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}.$$

Lemma (2): [1]: If $p_1(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots$ is an analytic function with positive real part in \square , then for any real number ν , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| &\leq -4\nu + 2 && \text{if} && \nu \leq 0 \\ &\leq 2 && \text{if} && 0 \leq \nu \leq 1 \\ &\leq 4\nu - 2 && \text{if} && \nu \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

when, $\nu < 0$ or $\nu > 1$, the equality holds if and only if $P_1(z)$ is $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ or one of its rotations.

If $0 < \nu < 1$ then the equality holds if and only if $P_1(z)$ is $\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}$ or one of its rotations. If $\nu = 0$ then the equality holds if and only if,

$$P_1(z) = \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) + \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right) \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1) \text{ or one of its rotations.}$$

If $\nu = 1$ the equality holds only for the reciprocal of $P_1(z)$ for the case $\nu = 0$.

Also the above upper bound is sharp and it can be further improved as follows, when $0 < \nu < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1^2| &\leq 2 && \left(0 < \nu \leq \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + (1-\nu) |c_1^2| &\leq 2 && \left(\frac{1}{2} < \nu \leq 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

2. FEKETE – SZEGO INEQUALITY:

In this section we prove the Fekete – Szego inequality for the functions in the classes $M[b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$, $M[b, \alpha, \gamma, g](\phi)$ and for the inverse function of f in the class $M[b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$.

Theorem (1):- If $f \in M [b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$ then for any complex number ‘ μ ’, we have,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - b\gamma B_1 \delta \right| \right\}, \tag{2}$$

where $\delta = \frac{3(1+2\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)^2} \mu - 1$ and the result is sharp.

Proof:- Since $f \in M [b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$ then by the definition (2), we have,

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\alpha z^3 f'''(z) + (1+2\alpha) z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)} - 1 \right) \prec [\phi(z)]^\gamma, \quad \forall z \in \Delta.$$

Then by subordination principle, there exists a Schwartz’s function $w(z)$ in \square with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that,

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\alpha z^3 f'''(z) + (1+2\alpha) z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)} - 1 \right) = [\phi(w(z))]^\gamma, \quad \forall z \in \Delta. \tag{3}$$

Now define a function $P(z)$, such that,

$$p(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left(\frac{\alpha z^3 f'''(z) + (1+2\alpha) z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)} - 1 \right) = 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots, \quad \forall z \in \Delta$$

$$\alpha z^3 f'''(z) + (1+2\alpha) z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z) = [1 + (bb_1)z + (bb_2)z^2 + \dots] [\alpha z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)] \quad \dots(4)$$

Replacing $f'(z), f''(z)$ and $f'''(z)$ with their equivalent expressions in series on both sides of (4) and comparing the coefficients of z^2 and z^3 , we get,

$$a_2 = \frac{bb_1}{2(1+\alpha)} \tag{5}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{b}{6(1+2\alpha)} [b_2 + bb_1^2] \tag{6}$$

Define another function $P_1(z) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots$ where $P_1(z)$ is

analytic in \square with $P_1(0) = 1$ and $\{Re P_1(z)\} > 0$.

Solving $w(z)$ in terms of $P_1(z)$, we get,

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{2} \left(c_1 z + \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots \right).$$

$$\phi(w(z)) = 1 + \left(\frac{B_1 c_1}{2} \right) z + \left[\frac{1}{2} B_1 \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{4} B_2 c_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots$$

$$[\phi(w(z))]^\gamma = 1 + \left(\frac{\gamma B_1 c_1}{2} \right) z + \left[\frac{\gamma B_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{4} B_2 c_1^2 + \frac{\gamma(\gamma-1)}{2} B_1^2 \frac{c_1^2}{4} \right] z^2 + \dots$$

As $P(z) = [\phi(w(z))]^\gamma$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + b_3 z^3 + \dots \\ & = 1 + \left(\frac{\gamma B_1 c_1}{2} \right) z + \left[\frac{\gamma B_1}{2} \left(c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \right) + \frac{\gamma}{4} B_2 c_1^2 + \frac{\gamma(\gamma-1)}{2} B_1^2 \frac{c_1^2}{4} \right] z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now comparing the coefficients of z and z^2 on both sides of the above equation (7), we get,

$$b_1 = \frac{\gamma B_1 c_1}{2} \quad (8)$$

and

$$b_2 = \frac{\gamma B_1 c_2}{2} - \frac{\gamma B_1 c_1^2}{4} + \frac{\gamma B_2 c_1^2}{4} + \frac{\gamma(\gamma-1)}{2} \frac{B_1^2 c_1^2}{4}. \quad (9)$$

From the equations (5), (6), (8) and (9), we get,

$$a_2 = \frac{b\gamma B_1 c_1}{4(1+\alpha)} \quad (10)$$

and

$$a_3 = \frac{b\gamma B_1}{12(1+2\alpha)} \left[c_2 - \frac{c_1^2}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - b\gamma B_1 \right\} \right] \quad (11)$$

For any complex number ' μ ', we get,

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{b\gamma B_1}{12(1+2\alpha)} [c_2 - \nu c_1^2] \quad (12)$$

where,
$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + b\gamma B_1 \delta \right]$$

$$\text{and } \delta = \frac{3(1+2\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)^2} \mu - 1$$

Now by taking modulus to the equation (12) and applying Lemma (1) to the R.H.S. of (12), we get,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - b\gamma B_1 \delta \right| \right\}, \tag{13}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. And the result is sharp, for the functions i.e.

$$P(z) = \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \right)^\gamma \text{ and } \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\gamma$$

Note: By taking $b=1$ and considering \square as real number and by applying Lemma (1.2), we now obtain Fekete – Szego inequality for the functions in the class $M[\alpha, \gamma](\phi)$.

Theorem (2):- If $f \in M[\alpha, \gamma](\phi)$ then for any real number ‘ \square ’ and for,

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{2}{3} \left[\frac{(B_2 - B_1) + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2}{\gamma B_1^2} \right] \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{(1+2\alpha)}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{2}{3} \left[\frac{(B_2 + B_1) + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2}{\gamma B_1^2} \right] \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{(1+2\alpha)}$$

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{2}{3} \left[\frac{B_2 + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2}{\gamma B_1^2} \right] \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{(1+2\alpha)}$$

$$\delta = \frac{3(1+2\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)^2} \mu - 1 \text{ then,}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \text{ if } \mu \leq \sigma_1 \\
 &\leq \frac{\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{if} \qquad \qquad \qquad \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \\
 &\leq \frac{\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \left[-\frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \text{ if } \mu \geq \sigma_2
 \end{aligned}$$

Further more if $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$ then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{2(1+\alpha)^2}{3(1+2\alpha)} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \frac{|a_2|^2}{\gamma B_1} \leq \frac{\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)}$$

and if $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$ then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{2(1+\alpha)^2}{3(1+2\alpha)} \left[-1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \frac{|a_2|^2}{\gamma B_1} \leq \frac{\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \tag{14}$$

Proof:- If $f \in M[\alpha, \gamma](\phi)$ then by proceeding as in theorem (1) with $b=1$, and for any real number \square , we get,

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{\gamma B_1}{12(1+2\alpha)} [c_2 - \nu c_1^2] \tag{15}$$

where

$$\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + \gamma B_1 \delta \right]$$

and $\delta = \frac{3(1+2\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)^2} \mu - 1$.

Taking modulus on both sides of equation (15) and by applying Lemma(2) to the R.H.S. of (15), we have the following cases:

Case 1. If $\mu \leq \sigma_1$ then

$$\mu \leq \frac{\frac{2}{3} \left[(B_2 - B_1) + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2 \right] (1+\alpha)^2}{\gamma B_1^2 (1+2\alpha)}$$

which on simplification, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \leq 0 \\ & \Rightarrow v \leq 0 \\ & \Rightarrow |c_2 - v c_1^2| \leq -4v + 2 \\ & \Rightarrow |c_2 - v c_1^2| \leq 2 \frac{B_2}{B_1} + (\gamma-1) B_1 - 2\gamma B_1 \delta \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\delta = \frac{3(1+2\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)^2} \mu - 1$

Case 2. If $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2 \left[(B_2 - B_1) + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2 \right] (1+\alpha)^2}{\gamma B_1^2 (1+2\alpha)} \leq \mu \\ & \leq \frac{2 \left[(B_2 + B_1) + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2 \right] (1+\alpha)^2}{\gamma B_1^2 (1+2\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

which on simplification, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \leq 1 \\ & \Rightarrow 0 \leq v \leq 1 \\ & \Rightarrow |c_2 - v c_1^2| \leq 2 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Case 3. If $\mu \geq \sigma_2$ then

$$\mu \geq \frac{2 \left[(B_2 + B_1) + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2 \right] (1+\alpha)^2}{\gamma B_1^2 (1+2\alpha)}$$

which on simplification, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \geq 1 \\ & \Rightarrow v \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq 4\nu - 2 \\ &\Rightarrow |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| \leq -2 \frac{B_2}{B_1} - (\gamma - 1) B_1 + 2\gamma B_1 \delta \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

From equations (15), (16), (17) and (18), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \left[\frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \text{ if } \mu \leq \sigma_1 \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \text{ if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \left[-\frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \text{ if } \mu \geq \sigma_2 \end{aligned}$$

Case 4. Further more if $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_3$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{2}{3} \left[(B_2 - B_1) + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2 \right] \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{\gamma B_1^2 (1+2\alpha)} \leq \mu \\ &\leq \frac{2}{3} \left[B_2 + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2 \right] \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{\gamma B_1^2 (1+2\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

which on simplification, we get,

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \nu \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow |c_2 - \nu c_1^2| + \nu |c_1^2| \leq 2$$

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{2(1+\alpha)^2}{3(1+2\alpha)} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \frac{|a_2|^2}{\gamma B_1} \leq \frac{\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)}$$

Case 5. If $\sigma_3 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$ then,

$$\frac{2}{3} \frac{\left[B_2 + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2 \right] (1+\alpha)^2}{\gamma B_1^2 (1+2\alpha)} \leq \mu$$

$$\leq \frac{2}{3} \frac{\left[(B_2 + B_1) + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1^2 + \gamma B_1^2 \right] (1+\alpha)^2}{\gamma B_1^2 (1+2\alpha)}$$

which on simplification, we get,

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 + \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \leq v \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |c_2 - v c_1^2| + (1-v) |c_1^2| \leq 2$$

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{2(1+\alpha)^2}{3(1+2\alpha)} \left[1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - \gamma B_1 \delta \right] \frac{|a_2|^2}{\gamma B_1} \leq \frac{\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem (3):- If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in M[b, \alpha, \gamma, g](\phi)$ then for any complex number \square , we have,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b| \gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha) g_3} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - b \gamma B_1 \delta_1 \right| \right\},$$

...(19)

where $\delta_1 = \frac{3(1+2\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)^2} \mu \frac{g_3}{g_2} - 1$ and the result is sharp,

Proof: The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem (1) and hence the details are omitted here.

Theorem (4):- If $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in M[b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$ and

$f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n w^n$ is the inverse function of f with $|w| < r_0$ where r_0 is

greater than the radius of the Koebe domain for the class $M [b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$ then for any complex number ‘ \square ’, we have,

$$|d_3 - \mu d_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - b\gamma B_1 \delta_2 \right| \right\}, \quad \dots(20)$$

where $\delta_2 = \frac{3(1+2\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)^2} (2-\mu) - 1$ and the result is sharp.

Proof:- Since $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in M [b, \alpha, \gamma](\phi)$, then from the theorem (1), we get,

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|b|\gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - b\gamma B_1 \delta \right| \right\}, \quad (21)$$

where $\delta = \frac{3(1+2\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)^2} \mu - 1$ and the result is sharp.

As $f^{-1}(w) = w + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n w^n$ is the inverse function of f , then by the definition of inverse function, we get,

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z = f(f^{-1}(z)),$$

consider $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$.

$$\Rightarrow f^{-1} \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right) = z.$$

$$\Rightarrow (z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} d_n (z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots)^n = z,$$

which gives that,

$$z + (a_2 + d_2) z^2 + (a_3 + 2a_2 d_2 + d_3) z^3 + \dots = z. \quad (22)$$

Comparing the coefficients of z^2 and z^3 on both sides of equation (22), we get,

$$d_2 = -a_2. \quad (23)$$

$$d_3 = -a_3 + 2a_2^2. \quad (24)$$

For any complex number ' μ ', we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |d_3 - \mu d_2^2| &= |a_3 - (2 - \mu)a_2^2|, \\ |d_3 - \mu d_2^2| &= |a_3 - t a_2^2|, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where

$$t = 2 - \mu, \quad t \text{ is a complex number.}$$

From equations (2) and (25), we get,

$$|d_3 - \mu d_2^2| \leq \frac{|b| \gamma B_1}{6(1+2\alpha)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} + \left(\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \right) B_1 - b \gamma B_1 \delta_2 \right| \right\},$$

$$\text{where } \delta_2 = \frac{3(1+2\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)^2} (2-\mu) - 1.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

And the result is sharp for the functions defined by

$$P(z) = \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \right)^\gamma \text{ and } \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)^\gamma.$$

REFERENCES

1. **Ma.W. and Minda.D:** A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions: In Proceedings of the conference on complex analysis, Z.Li., F.Ren, L.Yang, S.Zhang, Int. Press 1994.
2. **Ravichandran.V., Bolcal.M., Polotoglu.Y and Sen.A.:** Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order. Hacettepe J. of Mathematics and Statistics, Vol. 34, PP 9 – 15, 2005.
3. **Shanmugam.T.N and Sivasubramanian.S:** On the Fekete – Szego problem for some sub-classes of analytic functions J. Inequal – Pure Appl. Math 6, Art. 71 : 1 – 6, 2005.
4. **Shanmugam.T.N, Sivasubramanian.S and Frasin.B.A.:** Certain sub-classes of analytic functions involving complex order, <http://www.pmf.ni.ac.yu/filomat>, Filomat 22:2 (2008), 115-122.

* * * *

¹T. Ram Reddy

Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal - 506009,
 Andhra Pradesh, India.
 reddytr2@yahoo.com

²R.B. Sharma

Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal - 506009,
 Andhra Pradesh, India.
 rbsharma_005@yahoo.co.in