

GENERALIZED HAHN – BANACH THEOREM IN GENERALIZED 2 – NORMED SPACES

Dr. A. Singadurai¹, S. Meera Sudharsana Revathi²

Abstract: In this paper we prove the Generalized Hahn Banach theorem in the context of generalized complex 2- normed spaces.

Keywords: Generalized 2-norm, bounded 2-linear operator, Hahn – Banach Theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of 2-normed spaces was introduced and studied by Siegfried Gahler, in 1984. Z.Lewandowska introduced the generalization of Gahler 2-normed space in [7]. In [10] the Hahn Banach Theorem was studied by Z.Lewandowska, Mohammad Sal Moslehian, Assie Saadatpour Moghaddam. They have taken only real 2- normed space X and study the real extension of a real 2-linear functional in a subspace M_0 of X . The generalized 2-normed space was studied by Sh. Rezapour, I.Kupka [12]. Here we attempt to study Generalized Hahn Banach Theorem for the complex extension of a complex 2-linear functional on a subspace M_0 of X .

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 Let X and Y be the complex linear spaces. Denote D a non-empty subset of $X \times Y$ such that for every $x \in X, y \in Y$ the sets $D_x = \{y \in Y; (x, y) \in D\}$ and $D^y = \{x \in X; (x, y) \in D\}$ are linear subspaces of the spaces Y and X , respectively.

A function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|: D \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ will be called a generalized 2-norm on D if it satisfies the following conditions.

$$(i) \|x, \alpha y\| = |\alpha| \cdot \|x, y\| = \|\alpha x, y\| \text{ for any scalar } \alpha \text{ and all } (x, y) \in D.$$

$$(ii) \|x, y + z\| \leq \|x, y\| + \|x, z\| \text{ for } x \in X, y, z \in Y \text{ with } (x, y), (x, z) \in D.$$

$$(iii) \|x + y, z\| \leq \|x, z\| + \|y, z\| \text{ for } x, y \in X, z \in Y \text{ with } (x, z), (y, z) \in D.$$

The set D is called a 2-normed set. In particular, if $D = X \times Y$, the function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ is said to be a generalized 2-norm on $X \times Y$ and the pair $(X \times Y, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is called a generalized complex 2-normed space.

If $X = Y$, then the generalized complex 2-normed space $(X \times Y, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is denoted by $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$. In the case that $X = Y, D = D^{-1}$, where $D^{-1} = \{(y, x): (x, y) \in D\}$ and $\|x, y\| = \|y, x\|$ for all $(x, y) \in D$, we call $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$ a generalized symmetric 2-

norm and D a symmetric 2-normed set, unless, otherwise stated, a Generalized 2-normed space $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ we mean the complex 2-normed space.

Example 2.2 Let X be a complex linear space having two seminorms $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$. Then $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a generalized 2-normed space with 2-norm defined by

$$\|x, y\| = \|x\|_1 \cdot \|y\|_2; x, y \in X.$$

Example 2.3 Let X be a complex inner product space. Then X is a symmetric generalized 2-normed space under the 2-norm $\|x, y\| = |\langle x, y \rangle|$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Example 2.4[10] Suppose that s be the linear space of all sequences of real numbers. Put $\|x, y\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| |y_n|$, where $x = \{x_n\}, y = \{y_n\} \in s$. Then $D = \{(x, y) \in s \times s : \|x, y\| < \infty\}$ is a symmetric 2-normed set and the function $\|\cdot, \cdot\|: D \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a generalized symmetric 2-norm on D .

In [10] Z.Lewandowska introduced bounded 2-linear operator. In similar way here we introduce bounded 2-linear operator on a generalized complex 2-linear space.

Definition 2.5 Let X be complex linear space, $D \subseteq X \times X$ be a 2-normed set, Y a normed space. An operator $F: D \rightarrow Y$ is said to be 2-linear if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (i) $F(a + c, b + d) = F(a, b) + F(a, d) + F(c, b) + F(c, d)$ for all $a, b, c, d \in X$ such that $a, c \in D^b \cap D^d$.
- (ii) $F(\alpha a, \beta b) = \alpha \cdot \beta F(a, b)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and for all $(a, b) \in D$.

Definition: 2.6 Let X be a complex linear space, $D \subseteq X \times X$ be a 2-normed set, Y a normed space. A 2-linear operator $F: D \rightarrow Y$ is said to be bounded if there is a positive number K such that $\|F(a, b)\| \leq K \|a, b\|; (a, b) \in D$. Then the number $\|F\| = \inf \{K > 0: \|F(a, b)\| \leq K \cdot \|a, b\|; (a, b) \in D\}$ is called the norm of the 2-linear operator F .

Example 2.7 Consider $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ in the example 2.3 and define $F: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F(x, y) = \langle x, y \rangle$. Then F is a bounded 2-linear operator and $\|F\| = 1$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1 Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a generalized 2-normed linear space and M be a linear subspace of $X \times X$. If (a_0, b_0) is a vector not in M_0 and if $M_0 = M + \{(a_0, b_0)\}$ is the linear subspace spanned by M and (a_0, b_0) . If F is a real bounded 2-linear functional, there is an extension F_0 of F on M_0 such that $\|F\| = \|F_0\|$.

Proof: Case (i) Suppose $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a generalized real 2- normed linear space.

Let $F: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real bounded 2- linear functional.

Without loss of generality assume that, $\|F\| = 1$.

Now, $M_0 = M + \{(a_0, b_0)\} = \{(a, b) + (\alpha a_0, \beta b_0) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}$

$$= \{(a + \alpha a_0, b + \beta b_0) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Define F_0 as, $F_0(a + \alpha a_0, b + \beta b_0) = F(a, b) + \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot r_0$, r_0 is real.

To prove: F_0 is 2- linear.

Let $(a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, b_1 + \beta_1 b_0), (a_2 + \alpha_2 a_0, b_2 + \beta_2 b_0) \in M_0$ and $x_1 = a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, x_2 = a_2 + \alpha_2 a_0,$

$$y_1 = b_1 + \beta_1 b_0, y_2 = b_2 + \beta_2 b_0 \in X.$$

(i) $F_0(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2) = F_0((a_1 + a_2) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)a_0, (b_1 + b_2) + (\beta_1 + \beta_2)b_0)$

$$= F(a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2) + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \cdot (\beta_1 + \beta_2) \cdot r_0$$

$$= F(a_1, b_1) + F(a_2, b_1) + F(a_1, b_2) + F(a_2, b_2) + \alpha_1 \beta_1 r_0 + \alpha_2 \beta_1 r_0 + \alpha_1 \beta_2 r_0 + \alpha_2 \beta_2 r_0$$

$$= [F(a_1, b_1) + \alpha_1 \beta_1 r_0] + [F(a_2, b_1) + \alpha_2 \beta_1 r_0] + [F(a_1, b_2) + \alpha_1 \beta_2 r_0] + [F(a_2, b_2) + \alpha_2 \beta_2 r_0]$$

$$= F_0(a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, b_1 + \beta_1 b_0) + F_0(a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, b_2 + \beta_2 b_0) + F_0(a_2 + \alpha_2 a_0, b_1 + \beta_1 b_0)$$

$$+ F_0(a_2 + \alpha_2 a_0, b_2 + \beta_2 b_0)$$

$$= F_0(x_1, y_1) + F_0(x_1, y_2) + F_0(x_2, y_1) + F_0(x_2, y_2).$$

(ii) Let $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $x_1 = a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, y_1 = b_1 + \beta_1 b_0.$

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(k_1 x_1, k_2 y_1) &= F_0(k_1(a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0), k_2(b_1 + \beta_1 b_0)) \\ &= F_0(k_1 a_1 + k_1 \alpha_1 a_0, k_2 b_1 + k_2 \beta_1 b_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= F(k_1 a_1, k_2 b_1) + (k_1 \alpha_1)(k_2 \beta_1) r_0 = k_1 k_2 F(a_1, b_1) + \\ &(k_1 k_2)(\alpha_1 \beta_1 r_0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= k_1 k_2 [F(\alpha_1, b_1) + \alpha_1 \beta_1 r_0] = k_1 k_2 F_0(a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, b_1 + \beta_1 b_0) \\
&= k_1 k_2 F_0(x_1, y_1)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence F_0 is 2-linear.

To find r_0 such that, $\|F_0\| = 1$.

Now, $\|F_0\| = \inf \{k > 0 : \|F_0(x, y)\| \leq k \|x, y\| : (x, y) \in M_0\}$. Let $x_1 = a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, y_1 = b_1 + \beta_1 b_0$

We have to choose r_0 such that, $\|F_0(x_1, y_1)\| \leq \|x_1, y_1\|$

$$\Rightarrow |F(a_1, b_1) + \alpha_1 \beta_1 r_0| \leq \|a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, b_1 + \beta_1 b_0\|$$

$$\Rightarrow -\|a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, b_1 + \beta_1 b_0\| \leq F(a_1, b_1) + \alpha_1 \beta_1 r_0 \leq \|a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, b_1 + \beta_1 b_0\|$$

$$\Rightarrow -F(a_1, b_1) - \|a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, b_1 + \beta_1 b_0\| \leq \alpha_1 \beta_1 r_0 \leq -F(a_1, b_1) + \|a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, b_1 + \beta_1 b_0\|$$

$$\Rightarrow -F\left(\frac{a_1}{\alpha_1}, \frac{b_1}{\beta_1}\right) - \left\| \frac{a_1}{\alpha_1} + a_0, \frac{b_1}{\beta_1} + b_0 \right\| \leq r_0 \leq -F\left(\frac{a_1}{\alpha_1}, \frac{b_1}{\beta_1}\right) + \left\| \frac{a_1}{\alpha_1} + a_0, \frac{b_1}{\beta_1} + b_0 \right\|$$

Let $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in M$.

Now $(a_1, b_1) - (a_2, b_2) = (a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow F(a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2) &= F(a_1, b_1) + F(a_1, -b_2) + F(-a_2, b_1) + F(-a_2, -b_2) \\
&= F(a_1, b_1) - F(a_1, b_2) - F(a_2, b_1) + F(a_2, b_2)
\end{aligned}$$

Also, $F(a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2) \leq |F(a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2)|$

$$\Rightarrow F(a_1, b_1) - F(a_1, b_2) - F(a_2, b_1) + F(a_2, b_2) \leq \|F\| \|a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2\| = \|a_1 - a_2, b_1 - b_2\|$$

$$= \|a_1 + a_0 - (a_2 + a_0), b_1 + b_0 - (b_2 + b_0)\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \|a_1 + a_0, b_1 + b_0 - (b_2 + b_0)\| + \|a_2 + a_0, b_1 + b_0 - (b_2 + b_0)\| \\
 &\leq \|a_1 + a_0, b_1 + b_0\| + \|a_1 + a_0, b_2 + b_0\| + \|a_2 + a_0, b_1 + b_0\| + \|a_2 + a_0, b_2 + b_0\| \\
 \Rightarrow &-F(a_1, b_2) - F(a_2, b_1) - \|a_1 + a_0, b_2 + b_0\| - \|a_2 + a_0, b_1 + b_0\| \\
 &\leq -F(a_1, b_1) - F(a_2, b_2) + \|a_1 + a_0, b_1 + b_0\| + \|a_2 + a_0, b_2 + b_0\| \\
 \Rightarrow &\{-F(a_1, b_2) - \|a_1 + a_0, b_2 + b_0\|\} + \{-F(a_2, b_1) \pm \|a_2 + a_0, b_1 + b_0\|\} \\
 &\leq \{-F(a_1, b_1) + \|a_1 + a_0, b_1 + b_0\|\} + \{-F(a_2, b_2) + \|a_2 + a_0, b_2 + b_0\|\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in M$ are arbitrary, we can write,

$$-F(a, b) - \|a + a_0, b + b_0\| \leq -F(a, b) + \|a + a_0, b + b_0\|; \text{ for all } (a, b) \in M.$$

Now consider, $p = \{-F(a, b) - \|a + a_0, b + b_0\|; \forall (a, b) \in M\}$ and $q = \{-F(a, b) + \|a + a_0, b + b_0\|; \forall (a, b) \in M\}$.

Hence $p \leq q$.

Take r_0 such that $p \leq r_0 \leq q$ and hence $\|F\| = 1$.

Suppose $\|F\| \neq 1$, consider $G = \frac{F}{\|F\|}$.

Hence there is an extension G_0 of G on M_0 such that $\|G_0\| = \|G\| = 1$.

That is $G_0(a, b) = G(a, b) \forall (a, b) \in M$.

That means that $G_0(a, b) = \frac{F(a,b)}{\|F\|} \forall (a, b) \in M$.

$$\Rightarrow F(a, b) = \|F\| \cdot G_0(a, b) \forall (a, b) \in M$$

Hence we can define $F_0: M_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $F_0(x, y) = \|F\| \cdot G_0(x, y)$ is the extension of F on M_0 with $\|F_0\| = \|F\|$.

Case (ii) Suppose $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ is a generalized complex 2 – normed linear space. Hence we get M is a complex 2-linear subspace of $X \times X$ and hence $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a complex valued 2-linear functional on M .

Assume that $\|F\| = 1$. Now, define $F(a, b) = G(a, b) + i H(a, b) \forall (a, b) \in M$ where G and H are real 2 – linear functional on M .

Now, $F(ia, b) = i F(a, b)$

$$\Rightarrow G(ia, b) + i H(ia, b) = i [G(a, b) + i H(a, b)]$$

$$\Rightarrow G(ia, b) + i H(ia, b) = i G(a, b) - H(a, b)$$

$$\Rightarrow G(ia, b) = -H(a, b)$$

$$\Rightarrow H(a, b) = -G(ia, b)$$

Also, $F(a, ib) = i F(a, b)$

$$\Rightarrow G(a, ib) + i H(a, ib) = i [G(a, b) + i H(a, b)]$$

$$\Rightarrow G(a, ib) + i H(a, ib) = i G(a, b) - H(a, b)$$

$$\Rightarrow G(a, ib) = -H(a, b)$$

$$\Rightarrow H(a, b) = -G(a, ib)$$

Hence $H(a, b) = -G(ia, b) = -G(a, ib)$.

Since $\|F\| = 1$, $\|G\| \leq 1$ and G is a real 2-linear functional defined on M .

By **case (i)**, G can be extended to G_0 on a linear space M_0 with $\|G_0\| = \|G\|$.

Now, define $F_0(x, y) = G_0(x, y) - i G_0(ix, y) \forall (x, y) \in M_0$.

Claim: F_0 is the extension of F from M to M_0

If $(x, y) \in M$, then $G_0(x, y) = G(x, y)$ and hence if $(x, y) \in M$, then $F_0(x, y) = G(x, y) - i G_0(ix, y)$

That is if $(x, y) \in M$, then $F_0(x, y) = F(x, y)$. Hence we get F_0 is the extension of F from M to M_0 .

To prove: F_0 is 2-linear

(i) Let $x_1 = a_1 + \alpha_1 a_0, x_2 = a_2 + \alpha_2 a_0, y_1 = b_1 + \beta_1 b_0, y_2 = b_2 + \beta_2 b_0 \in X$.

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2) &= G_0(x_1 + x_2, y_1 + y_2) - i G_0(i(x_1 + x_2), y_1 + y_2) \\ &= G_0(x_1, y_1) + G_0(x_1, y_2) + G_0(x_2, y_1) + G_0(x_2, y_2) - i [G_0(ix_1, y_1) \\ &\quad + G_0(ix_1, y_2) + G_0(ix_2, y_1) + G_0(ix_2, y_2)] \\ &= [G_0(x_1, y_1) - i G_0(ix_1, y_1)] + [G_0(x_1, y_2) - i G_0(ix_1, y_2)] \\ &\quad + [G_0(x_2, y_1) - i G_0(ix_2, y_1)] + [G_0(x_2, y_2) - i G_0(ix_2, y_2)] \\ &= F_0(x_1, y_1) + F_0(x_1, y_2) + F_0(x_2, y_1) + F_0(x_2, y_2) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $F_0(ix, y) = G_0(ix, y) - i G_0(i \cdot ix, y)$

$$= G_0(ix, y) - i G_0(-x, y)$$

$$= G_0(ix, y) + i G_0(x, y)$$

$$= i [G_0(x, y) - i G_0(ix, y)]$$

$$= i F_0(x, y)$$

$$F_0(x, iy) = G_0(x, iy) - i G_0(ix, iy)$$

$$= G_0(x, iy) - i G_0(i \cdot ix, y)$$

$$= G_0(x, iy) - i G_0(-x, y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= G_0(ix, y) + iG_0(x, y) \\
 &= i[G_0(x, y) - iG_0(ix, y)] \\
 &= iF_0(x, y) \\
 F_0(ix, iy) &= G_0(ix, iy) - iG_0(i. ix, iy) \\
 &= G_0(i. ix, y) - iG_0(i. (-x), y) \\
 &= G_0(-x, y) - iG_0(ix, y) \\
 &= -G_0(x, y) + iG_0(ix, y) \\
 &= -[G_0(x, y) - iG_0(ix, y)] \\
 &= -F_0(x, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now, } F_0((a_1 + i b_1)x, (a_2 + i b_2)y) &= F_0(a_1x + i b_1x, a_2y + i b_2y) \\
 &= G_0(a_1x + i b_1x, a_2y + i b_2y) - iG_0(i(a_1x + i b_1x), (a_2y + i b_2y))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= G_0(a_1x, a_2y) + G_0(a_1x, i b_2y) + G_0(i b_1x, a_2y) + G_0(i b_1x, i b_2y) - \\
 &iG_0(i a_1x, a_2y) \\
 &\quad - iG_0(i a_1x, i b_2y) - iG_0(i. i b_1x, a_2y) - i G_0(i. i b_1x, i b_2y). \\
 &= a_1 a_2 G_0(x, y) + a_1 b_2 G_0(x, iy) + a_2 b_1 G_0(ix, y) + b_1 b_2 G_0(ix, iy) \\
 &- i a_1 a_2 G_0(ix, y) - i a_1 b_2 G_0(ix, iy) - i b_1 a_2 G_0(-x, y) - i b_1 b_2 G_0(-x, iy) \\
 &= a_1 a_2 G_0(x, y) + a_1 b_2 G_0(ix, y) + a_2 b_1 G_0(ix, y) - b_1 b_2 G_0(x, y) \\
 &- i a_1 a_2 G_0(ix, y) + i a_1 b_2 G_0(ix, iy) + i a_2 b_1 G_0(x, y) + i b_1 b_2 G_0(ix, y) \\
 &= G_0(x, y)[a_1 a_2 - b_1 b_2 + i a_1 b_2 + i a_2 b_1] + G_0(ix, y)[a_1 b_2 + \\
 &a_2 b_1 - i a_1 a_2 + i b_1 b_2] \\
 &= G_0(x, y) [(a_1 + i b_1) (a_2 + i b_2)] - i G_0(ix, y) [i a_1 b_2 + i a_2 b_1 + \\
 &a_1 a_2 - b_1 b_2] \\
 &= G_0(x, y) [(a_1 + i b_1) (a_2 + i b_2)] - i G_0(ix, y) [(a_1 + i b_1) (a_2 + i b_2)] \\
 &= (a_1 + i b_1) (a_2 + i b_2) [G_0(x, y) - i G_0(ix, y)] \\
 &= (a_1 + i b_1) (a_2 + i b_2) F_0(x, y)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence F_0 is 2-linear.

Claim : $\|F_0\| = 1$

Now, $\|F_0\| = \sup\{|F_0(x, y)| : \|x, y\| = 1\}$

Suppose $(x, y) \in M_0$, $\|x, y\| = 1$ and $F_0(x, y)$ is real, then $F_0(x, y) = G_0(x, y)$.

$$\Rightarrow |F_0(x, y)| = |G_0(x, y)| \leq 1 \quad [\text{ Since } \|G_0\| = \sup\{|G_0(x, y)| : \|x, y\| = 1\} \leq 1]$$

Hence $\|F\| = 1$.

Suppose $F_0(x, y)$ is complex, then $F_0(x, y) = r e^{i\theta}, r > 0$

That is $e^{-i\theta} \cdot F_0(x, y) = e^{-i\theta} \cdot r \cdot e^{i\theta} \Rightarrow F_0(xe^{-i\theta}, y) = r$, which is real.

Since $\|x \cdot e^{-i\theta}, y\| = 1$ and $F_0(xe^{-i\theta}, y)$ is real, $|F_0(xe^{-i\theta}, y)| \leq 1$

$$\Rightarrow |F_0(x, y)| \leq 1 [\because |F_0(xe^{-i\theta}, y)|$$

$$= |e^{-i\theta}| |F_0(x, y)|$$

$$= 1 \cdot |F_0(x, y)|$$

$$= |F_0(x, y)|.$$

Hence $\|F_0\| = 1$.

Theorem: 3.2 (Generalized Hahn Banach Theorem)

Let $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ be a generalized 2-normed space and M be a linear subspace of $X \times X$. If F is a bounded 2-linear functional on M , then there exists a bounded 2-linear extension F_0 on $X \times X$ such that $F_0(a, b) = F(a, b) \forall (a, b) \in M$ and $\|F\| = \|F_0\|$.

Proof: If $M = X \times X$ or $\|F\| = 0$, then take $F = F_0$, otherwise without loss of generality we assume that $\|F\| = 1$.

Let $(x, y) \notin M$. Then there is a bounded 2-linear functional G on $M_0 = M + \{(x, y)\}$ such that G is the extension of F and $\|F\| = \|G\|$.

Consider \mathcal{A} is the set of all such extensions. This means that the set of all pairs (G, L) where L is a linear subspace of $X \times X$ contains M and G is a bounded 2-linear functional such that $G(a, b) = F(a, b) \forall (a, b) \in M$ and $\|G\| = 1$.

Define a relation " \leq " on \mathcal{A} as follows.

Let $(G_1, L_1), (G_2, L_2) \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $G_1 \leq G_2$ if $L_1 \subseteq L_2$.

That is $G_1(a, b) = G_2(a, b) \forall (a, b) \in L_1$.

Hence (\mathcal{A}, \leq) is a partially ordered set.

Now, consider a chain $\{(G_i, L_i)\}$ in \mathcal{A} . This chain has maximal element $\cup G_i$ whose domain is the union of L_i 's.

By Zorn's lemma, G has a maximal element. Let it be $F_0, \|F_0\| = \|F\|$.

Claim: F_0 is the extension of F on $X \times X$.

Suppose F_0 is a bounded 2-linear functional on $M_0 \neq X \times X$, then there is a vector $(x_0, y_0) \notin M_0$.

Therefore By **theorem3.1**, F_0 has a functional extension on $M_0 + \{(x_0, y_0)\}$.

Hence F_0 is not a maximal element in \mathcal{A} which is a contradiction.

Therefore the bounded 2-linear function F_0 is the extension of F on $X \times X$ such that $F(a, b) = F_0(a, b) \forall (a, b) \in M$ and $\|F_0\| = \|F\|$. It completes the proof of Hahn Banach theorem.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have proved Generalized Hahn Banach Theorem in a Complex 2-normed space. This idea will help researchers to concentrate more on complex 2-normed spaces.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to the reviewers for giving us valuable comments about this paper.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] X.X.Cui, an investigation of norm-preserving extensions of bounded bilinear functional on 2 – normed spaces and some other problems (Chinese). J.Xinjiang Univ. Natur. Sci., 5(2) (1988), pp. 4 – 10.
- [2] S.Elumalai and R.Vijayaragavan, Best simultaneous approximation in linear 2-normed spaces, General Mathematics Vol.16, No. 1(2008), 73 – 81.
- [3] Fatemeh Lael and Kourosch Nourouzi, Compact Operators defined on 2-normed and 2-probabilistic Normed spaces, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Volume 2009 (2009), article Id. 950234.
- [4] S.Gahler, Lineare 2 – normirte Raume. Math. Nachr., 28(1964), pp. 4 – 10.
- [5] George F. Simmons, Introduction to topology and Mordern Analysis, Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited.
- [6] S.N. Lal, S. Bhattacharya and C. Sreedhar, Complex 2 – normed linear spaces and extension of linear 2- functional. Z. Anal. Anwendungen, 20(1) (2001), pp. 35 – 53.
- [7] Z. Lewandowska, Linear operators on generalized 2 – normed spaces. Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie, 42 (1999), pp. 353 – 368.
- [8] Z. Lewandowska, On 2 – normed sets. Glasnik Mat., 38 (58) (2003) pp. 99 – 110.
- [9] Z. Lewandowska, Bounded 2 – linear operators on 2-normed sets. Glas. Mat. Ser. III, 30(59) (2) (2004), pp. 301 – 312.
- [10] Z. Lewandowska, Mohammad Sal Moselehian and Assie Saadatpoor Moghaddam, Hahn Banach Theorem in Generalized 2 – normed spaces, Communications in mathematical Analysis, Volume 1, Number 2, pp. 109 – 113, 2006.
- [11] AL. Narayanan and S. Vijayabalaji, Fuzzy n-Normed linear space, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Hindawi Publishing house 2005: 24(2005) pp. 3963 – 3977.
- [12] Sh. Rezapour, I. Kupka, 1 – type Lipschitzselections in generalized 2 – normed spaces, Analysis in theory and Applications, Volume 24, Number 3 (2008) pp. 205 – 210.
- [13] E. Savas, A – Sequence spaces in 2 – Normed space defined by Ideal convergence and an Orlicz Function, Abstract and Applied Analysis, Hindawi Publishing House, Volume 2011, Article ID 741382.
- [14] A. White, 2 – Banach spaces, Math. Nachr., 42 (1969), pp. 43 – 60.

* * * *

¹A.Singadurai, Associate Professor of Maths,T.D.M.N.S. College, T.Kallikulam – 627 113.
singadurai_59@yahoo.co.in

²S. Meera Sudharsana Revathi, Assistant Professor in Maths, T.D.M.S. College, T.Kallikulam – 627 113.
meera54376@gmail.com