

RINGS WITH (x, y, x) AND COMMUTATORS IN THE LEFT NUCLEUS

Dr. C. Jaya Subba Reddy¹, D. Prabhakar Reddy²

Abstract: In this paper we consider a ring R with (x, y, x) and commutators in the left nucleus. We show that (x, y, x) and commutators are in the center. Using these properties, we prove that R must be a subdirect sum of a semiprime associative ring and a semiprime commutative ring.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 17A30

Keywords: Non associative ring, Prime ring, Semiprime ring, Nucleus, Commutator, Associator, Characteristic.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1] Albert used the identities consisting of the Jordan identity, flexible, Lie-admissible and commutators in the nucleus. His main result was that simple finite dimensional algebras are either associative algebras or Jordan. Next Kleinfeld [2] proved that semiprime rings without the Jordan identity are subdirect sums of associative and commutative rings, while prime are Commutative or associative. Then San Soucie [4] was able to drop the Lie-admissible hypothesis without losing the conclusions. More general result was obtained by Thedy [6]. In this direction Kleinfeld [3] by weakening the two remaining hypothesis of flexible and commutators in the nucleus proved the same results for semiprime and prime rings. In this section we consider a ring R with (x, y, x) and commutators in the left nucleus. We show that (x, y, x) and commutators are in the center. Using these properties, we prove that R must be a subdirect sum of a semiprime associative ring and a semiprime commutative ring.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We shall denote the commutator and the associator by $(x, y) = xy - yx$ and $(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz)$ for all x, y, z in R respectively. The left nucleus N_l of a ring R is defined as $N_l = \{n \in R / (n, R, R) = 0\}$. The middle nucleus N_m of a ring R is defined as $N_m = \{n \in R / (R, n, R) = 0\}$. The right nucleus N_r of a ring R is defined as $N_r = \{n \in R / (R, R, n) = 0\}$. The nucleus N of a ring R is defined as $N = \{n \in R / (n, R, R) = (R, n, R) = (R, R, n) = 0\}$. i.e., $N = N_l \cap N_m \cap N_r$. A ring R is called prime if whenever A and B are ideals of R such that $AB = 0$, then either $A = 0$ or $B = 0$. A ring R is called semiprime if whenever A is an ideal of R , then $A^2 = 0$ implies $A = 0$.

Throughout this section we consider a ring R with (x, y, x) and commutators in the left nucleus.

$$\text{i.e., } (a, b, a) \in N_l \tag{1}$$

$$\text{and } (R, R) \subset N_l \tag{2}$$

In every ring the following identity holds:

$$(wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z. \tag{3}$$

If $S(x, y, z) = (x, y, z) + (y, z, x) + (z, x, y)$ in every ring, we have the identity $(xy, z) + (yz, x) + (zx, y) = S(x, y, z)$.

Consequently, using (2) we have

$$S(x, y, z) \subset N_l \tag{4}$$

Moreover, in every ring we have the identity

$$(xy, z) = x(y, z) + (x, z)y + S(x, y, z) - (x, z, y) - (y, z, x). \text{ A linearization of (1) implies}$$

$$(x, z, y) + (y, z, x) \subset N_l.$$

Then combining this with the above equations (4) and (2), we obtain $x(y, z) + (x, z)y \subset N_l$.

Suppose that $n \in N_l$. Then with $w = n$ in (3), we obtain $(nx, y, z) = n(x, y, z)$. Combining this with (2) yields

$$(nx, y, z) = n(x, y, z) = (xn, y, z). \tag{6}$$

A combination of (5) and (6) yields

$$(x(y, z) + (x, z)y, r, s) = 0 \text{ or } (x(y, z), r, s) = -((x, z)y, r, s).$$

$$\text{This implies } (y, z)(x, r, s) = - (x, z)(y, r, s). \tag{7}$$

Let I be the associator ideal of R . I consist of the smallest ideal which contains all associators. We note that I may be characterized as all finite sums of associators and right (or left) multiples of associators, as a consequence of (3).

We also assume that R is semiprime. Then we know that the only ideal of R which squares to zero is the zero ideal.

Main Results

Using the above properties R , we prove the following main results:

Lemma 1 : If $T = \{t \in N_l \mid t(R, R, R) = 0\}$, then T is an ideal of R and $T(R, R, R) = 0$.

Proof :

By substituting t for n in (6), we obtain

$$(tx, y, z) = t(x, y, z) = (xt, y, z) = 0. \text{ Thus } tR \subset N_l \text{ and } Rt \subset N_l. \text{ Suppose that } t \in T \text{ and } w \in R.$$

First note that $tw \cdot (x, y, z) = t \cdot w(x, y, z)$. But (3) multiplied on the left by t yields

$$t \cdot w(x, y, z) = -t \cdot (w, x, y)z = -t(w, x, y) \cdot z = 0.$$

Thus $tw \cdot (x, y, z) = 0$.

However (7) yields $(t, w) (x, y, z) = -(x, w) (t, y, z) = 0$.

This implies $tw \cdot (x, y, z) = wt \cdot (x, y, z)$.

Using $tw \cdot (x, y, z) = 0$, we obtain $wt \cdot (x, y, z) = 0$. At this point we have verified that T is an ideal of R . The rest is obvious. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2: If R is semiprime, then $T \cap I = 0$.

Proof : Using Lemma 1 and the identity (3), we establish readily that $T \cdot I = 0$. But $T \cap I$ is an ideal of R which squares to zero. Since R is semiprime, then $T \cap I = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3: If R is a semiprime ring, then

$$((a, b, a), R) = 0 \tag{8}$$

$$(y, z, (a, b)) = 0 \tag{9}$$

$$\text{and } (y, z, (a, b, a)) = 0. \tag{10}$$

Proof :

Using (7) we see that

$((a, b, a), c) (x, y, z) = -(x, c) ((a, b, a), y, z) = 0$, because of (1). This implies $((a, b, a), c) \subset T$. But this element is also clearly in I . Then lemma 2 implies $((a, b, a), c) = 0$.

i.e., $((a, b, a), R) = 0$.

Using the linearization of (1) and (2) we obtain

$$((x, y, (a, b)), r, s) = -((a, b), y, x), r, s) = 0.$$

Thus $(x, y, (a, b))$ is an element of N_r . Also (3) implies

$$z(x, y, (a, b)) = (zx, y, (a, b)) - (z, xy, (ab)) + (z, x, y(a, b)) - (z, x, y) (a, b).$$

Hence $(z(x, y, (a, b)), r, s) = ((z, x, y(a, b)), r, s) - ((z, x, y) (a, b), r, s)$. However $(a, b) \subset N_l$ because of (2), so that using (6) we get $-((z, x, y) (a, b), r, s) = -(a, b) ((z, x, y), r, s)$.

$$\text{Also } ((z, x, y (a, b)), r, s) = -((y(a, b), x, z), r, s) = -((a, b) (y, x, z), r, s) = -(a, b) ((y, x, z), r, s),$$

using (6). Thus $(z(x, y, (a, b)), r, s) = -(a, b) ((y, x, z) + (z, x, y), r, s) = 0$, using a linearization of (1).

But then (6) implies $(x, y, (a, b)) (z, r, s) = 0$, so that $(x, y, (a, b)) \in T$. Since this element is also an associator, it obviously is also in I . Thus lemma 2 applies and we obtain $(y, z, (a, b)) = 0$. Using the linearization of (1) and (2) we obtain

$$((x, y, (a, b, a)), r, s) = -((a, b, a), y, x), r, s) = 0.$$

Thus $(x, y, (a, b, a))$ is an element of N_I . Also (3) implies

$$z(x, y, (a, b, a)) = (zx, y, (a, b, a)) - (z, xy, (a, b, a)) + (z, x, y(a, b, a)) - (z, x, y) (a, b, a).$$

$$\text{Hence } (z(x, y(a, b, a)), r, s) = ((z, x, y(a, b, a)), r, s) - ((z, x, y) (a, b, a), r, s).$$

However $(a, b, a) \in N_I$, because of (1). So that using (6)

$$\text{we get } -((z, x, y) (a, b, a), r, s) = -(a, b, a) ((z, x, y), r, s). \text{ Also } ((z, x, y(a, b, a)), r, s) = -((y(a, b, a), x, z), r, s) = -((a, b, a) (y, x, z), r, s) = -(a, b, a) ((y, x, z), r, s) \text{ using (6).}$$

Thus

$$(z(x, y, (a, b, a)), r, s) = -(a, b, a) ((y, x, z) + (z, x, y), r, s) = 0, \text{ using a linearization of (1). But then (6) implies}$$

$(x, y, (a, b, a)) (z, r, s) = 0$, so that $(x, y, (a, b, a)) \in T$. Since this element is also an associator, it obviously is also in I . Thus lemma 2 applies and we obtain $(y, z, (a, b, a)) = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4 : If R is a semiprime ring, then R is flexible,

$$\text{i.e., } (x, y, x) = 0.$$

Proof : By taking $w = a, x = (a, b, a), y = a$ and $z = b$ in (3), we get $(a(a, b, a), a, b) = (a, (a, b, a)a, b)$. (11)

We know that the following identity is valid in any ring.

$$(xy, z) = x(y, z) + (x, z)y + (x, y, z) + (z, x, y) - (x, z, y). \text{ Using (7) and (8) in this equation, we obtain}$$

$$(a, b, a(a, b, a)) + (a(a, b, a), a, b) - (a, a(a, b, a), b) = 0. \tag{12}$$

Substituting (11) in (12), we get

$$(a, b, a(a, b, a)) = 0. \tag{13}$$

By taking $w = a, x = b, y = a, z = (a, b, a)$ in (3) and using (10) and (13), we get

$$(a, b, a)^2 = 0. \tag{14}$$

By using the same method as in lemma 3, from (1) and (10), it follows that $(x, (a, b, a), y) = 0$. So (a, b, a) is in the center of R , because of (8). We know that a center element squares to zero generates an ideal which squares to zero. Since R is semiprime, (14) implies that $(a, b, a) = 0$. i.e., R is flexible. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 5 : If R is a semiprime ring, then $(x, (a, b), y) = 0$.

Proof : In any ring we have

$$(xy, z) - x(y, z) - (x, z)y = (x, y, z) + (z, x, y) - (x, z, y).$$

By putting $z = x$ in this equation, we get

$$(xy, x) + x(x, y) = (x, y, x).$$

By forming the associators both sides we obtain

$$((x, y, x), r, s) = (x(x, y), r, s) + ((xy, x), r, s) \text{ or } ((x, y, x), r, s) = ((x, y)x, r, s). \text{ This implies } ((x, y, x), r, s) = (x, y)(x, r, s) = 0.$$

i.e., $(x, y)(x, r, s) = 0$. Linearizing this with $x = x+x'$,

$$\text{we obtain } (x, y)(x', r, s) + (x', y)(x, r, s) = 0.$$

If we substitute a commutator v for x' , we see that

$$(v, y)(x, r, s) = 0, \text{ using (2). In any ring}$$

$$((x, y), z) + ((y, z), x) + ((z, x), y) = S(x, y, z) - S(x, z, y).$$

$$\text{So } (x, y, z)(q, r, s) + ((y, z), x)(q, r, s) + ((z, x), y)(q, r, s) =$$

$S(x, y, z)(q, r, s) - S(x, z, y)(q, r, s)$. This implies $S(x, y, z)(q, r, s) - S(x, z, y)(q, r, s) = 0$. From this, we get $2((x, y, z)(q, r, s) + (y, z, x)(q, r, s) + (z, x, y)(q, r, s)) = 0$. If we put z as a commutator, then $(x, y, (a, b))(q, r, s) + (y, (a, b), x)(q, r, s) + ((a, b), x, y)(q, r, s) = 0$. Using (9) and (2) $(y, (a, b), x)(q, r, s) = 0$.

So $(y, (a, b), x) \subset T$ and in I .

Thus $(x, (a, b), y) \in T \cap I$. Using lemma 2, we have $(x, (a, b), y) = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Theorem 1: R must be a subdirect sum of a semiprime associative ring and a semiprime commutative ring.

Proof : It follows from lemma 3 and lemma 5 that R satisfies Thedy's hypothesis [6]. Hence the ring R is a subdirect sum of a semiprime associative ring and a semiprime commutative ring. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3. REFERENCES

- [1] Albert, A.A. "power associative rings", Transactions of the Amer.Math.Soc. 64(1948), 552-593.
- [2] Kleinfeld, E. "Standard and accessible rings", Canad.J.Math.8 (1956),335-340.
- [3] Kleinfel, E and Kleinfeld, M. "A class of Lie admissible rings", Comm.inAlgebra. 3(1985), 465-477.
- [4] San Soucie,R.L."Weakly Standard Rings", Amer.J.Math,79 (1957),80-86.
- [5] Schafer, R.D. "An introduction to Non- associative Algebra" Pure and Appl.Math.Academic Press, New York, (1966).
- [6] Thedy, A. "On rings with commutators in the nuclei", Math.Z.,119 (1971),213-218.

* * * *

¹ Author 1: Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, S.V.University, Tirupathi
cjsreddysvu@gmail.com

² Author 2: Research Scholars, Department of Mathematics, S.V.University, Tirupathi