

**SIMPLE RINGS WITH ASSOCIATORS IN THE LEFT NUCLEUS**

**C. JAYA SUBBA REDDY ,D. PRABHAKARA REDDY**

**Abstract:** In this paper, we show that a simple ring  $R$  of char.  $\neq 2$  with associators in the left nucleus is associative.

**Keywords:** Nonassociative ring, simple ring, characteristic, associator and nucleus.

**Introduction:** In [2] Yen proved the result of Kleinfeld [1] for the simple ring case under the weaker hypothesis  $(R,R,R) \subseteq N_l \cap N_m$ . Yen [4] also proved that if  $R$  is a simple ring of char.  $\neq 2$  with associators in the left nucleus, then the associators are also in the middle nucleus and hence  $R$  is associative. In this paper, we show that the associator is in the right nucleus if  $R$  is a simple ring with the associator is in the left nucleus. From Yen's result it follows that  $R$  is associative.

**Preliminaries:** Let  $R$  be a nonassociative ring. We shall denote the commutator and the associator by  $(x,y) = xy-yx$  and  $(x,y,z) = (xy)z-x(yz)$  for all  $x,y,z$  in  $R$  respectively. The nucleus  $N$  of a ring  $R$  is defined as  $N = \{n \in R / (n,R,R) = (R,n,R) = (R,R,n) = 0\}$ . The center  $C$  of  $R$  is defined as  $C = \{c \in N / (c,R) = 0\}$ . We know that a ring  $R$  is simple if  $R^2 \neq 0$  and the only nonzero ideal of  $R$  is itself. Since  $R^2$  is a non-zero ideal of  $R$ , we have  $R^2 = R$ . We know that a ring  $R$  is semiprime if the only ideal of  $R$  which squares to zero is the zero ideal.

Throughout this paper  $R$  represents a simple ring of char.  $\neq 2$  with all associators are in the left nucleus.

**Main Results:** If  $R$  is a ring of char.  $\neq 2$  with associators in the left nucleus, then

$$(R,R,R) \subseteq N_l \quad \dots(1)$$

We have the identity valid in any ring, the so called Teichmuller identity:

$$(wx,y,z) - (w,xy,z) + (w,x,yz) = w(x,y,z) + (w,x,y)z, \quad \dots(2)$$

for all  $w,x,y,z$  in  $R$ .

suppose that  $n \in N_l$ . Substituting  $w = n$  in (2), we get

$$(nx,y,z) = n(x,y,z),$$

for all  $x,y,z$  in  $R$  and  $n \in N_l$ .

If  $N_l$  is a Lie ideal of  $R$ , then we have

$$(nx,y,z) = n(x,y,z) = (xn,y,z), \quad \dots(3)$$

for all  $x,y,z$  in  $R$  and  $n \in N_l$ .

Let  $I$  be the associator ideal of a nonassociative ring  $R$ . By (2),  $I$  can be characterized as all finite sums of associators and right (or left) multiples of associators. Hence, we obtain

$$I = (R,R,R) + (R,R,R)R.$$

$$= (R,R,R) + R(R,R,R). \quad \dots(4)$$

Now prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1:** If  $R$  is a simple ring of char.  $\neq 2$  with associators in the left nucleus then  $R$  is associative.

**Proof:** Since  $R$  is simple, we have either  $I = 0$ , in which case  $R$  is associative, or  $I = R$ .

Assume that  $R$  is not associative. So  $I = R$ .

Using (4) and (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R &= R^2 \\ &= IR \\ &= \{(R,R,R) + (R,R,R)R\}R \\ &= (R,R,R)R + (R,R,R)R^2 \\ &= (R,R,R)R. \quad \dots(5) \end{aligned}$$

Using (2) and (1), we get

$$w(x,y,z) + (w,x,y)z \in N_l \quad \dots(6)$$

for all  $w,x,y,z$  in  $R$ .

By replacing  $n$  with  $(p,q,(r,s,t))$  in (3), we get

$$(p,q,(r,s,t))(x,y,z) = ((p,q,(r,s,t))x,y,z),$$

where  $p,q,r,s,t \in R$  and  $(p,q,(r,s,t)) \in N_l$ .

Now (2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (pq,(r,s,t),x) - (p,q(r,s,t),x) + (p,q,(r,s,t)x) \\ = p(q,(r,s,t),x) + (p,q,(r,s,t))x. \end{aligned}$$

By forming the associator with  $y,z$  and using (1), and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((p,q,(r,s,t))x,y,z) &= - (p(q,(r,s,t),x),y,z) \\ &= - ((q,(r,s,t),x)p,y,z) \\ &= (q((r,s,t),x,p),y,z) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(p,q,(r,s,t))x \in N_l$ .

i.e.,  $(R,R,(R,R,R))R \subseteq N_l \quad \dots(7)$

But  $((p,q,(r,s,t))x,y,z) = 0$  implies

$$(p,q,(r,s,t))(x,y,z) = 0, \text{ because of (3).}$$

i.e.,  $(R,R(R,R,R))I = 0$ . Since we are considering the case where  $I = R$ , this gives

$$(R,R(R,R,R))R = 0. \quad \dots(8)$$

Assuming that  $x \in (R,R,(R,R,R))$  and  $w,y,z,t \in R$ .

Then by (8), (2) and (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (wx,y,z) + (w,x,yz) &= (wx,y,z) - (w,xy,z) + (w,x,yz) \\ &= w(x,y,z) + (w,x,y)z \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So  $(wx,y,z)t = - (w,x,yz)t = 0$ . This implies

$$(R(R,R,(R,R,R)),R,R)R = 0. \quad \dots(9)$$

Then with  $x \in (R,R,R)$  in (6) and applying (9), we get

$$R((R,R,R),R,R) + (R,(R,R,R),R)R \subseteq N_l$$

or  $(R,(R,R,R),R)R \subseteq N_l$ .

Therefore  $((R,(R,R,R),R)R,R,R)R = 0. \quad \dots(10)$

Using (5), (1), (3) and (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (R,(R,R,R),R)R &= (R,(R,R,R),R) \cdot (R,R,R)R \\ &= (R,(R,R,R),R) (R,R,R) \cdot R \\ &= ((R,(R,R,R),R)R,R,R) \cdot R \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0. \quad \dots(11)$$

Using (7) and  $N_l$  is a Lie ideal of  $R$ , we get  $R(R, R(R, R, R)) \subseteq N_l$ .  $\dots(12)$

For all  $x \in (R, R, (R, R, R))$  and  $w, y, z \in R$ , using the previous computation and by (12), we obtain  $(wx, y, z) = - (wx, y, z) = 0$ .

Since  $R^2 = R$ , the above equation implies

$$(R, R, (R, R, R)) \subseteq N_m. \quad \dots(13)$$

Let  $T = (R, R, (R, R, R))$ .

Now we define  $V_n$  inductively by

$$V_0 = T, V_1 = RT \text{ and } V_{n+1} = RV_n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Assume that  $B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n. \quad \dots(14)$

By using (8), (13) and (8), we have

$$V_0 R = TR = 0, \\ V_1 R = RT \cdot R = R \cdot TR = 0,$$

and

$$V_2 R = R(RT) \cdot R \\ \subseteq ((R, R, T) + R^2 T) \cdot R \\ = (R, R, T)R + R^2 T \cdot R \\ = 0.$$

Suppose that  $V_i R = 0, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $V_{m+1} R = 0$ .

Then using these and (8), we get

$$V_{m+2} R = (RV_{m+1}) \cdot R \\ = R(RV_m) \cdot R$$

$$\subseteq ((R, R, V_m) + R^2 V_m) \cdot R \\ = (R, R, V_m) \cdot R + R^2 V_m \cdot R \\ = (R, R, V_m)R + V_{m+1}R \\ = (R, R, V_m)R \\ = (R, R, R \cdot V_{m-1})R \\ = (R, R, R(RV_{m-2}))R \\ \subseteq (R, R, (R, R, V_{m-2}) + R^2 V_{m-2})R \\ = (R, R, (R, R, V_{m-2}))R + (R, R, V_{m-1})R \\ = (R, R, V_{m-1})R.$$

Continuing in this manner, we get

$$V_{m+2} R \subseteq (R, R, V_m)R \subseteq (R, R, V_{m-1})R \subseteq \dots \subseteq (R, R, V_2)R \subseteq (R, R, V_1)R = (R, R, RT)R.$$

By (2) and (11), we get

$$RT = R(R, R, (R, R, R)) \subseteq (R, R, R) + (R, (R, R, R), R)R = (R, R, R).$$

Thus, applying the above equation and (8), we have

$$V_{m+2} R \subseteq (R, R, RT)R \subseteq (R, R, (R, R, R))R = 0.$$

Hence by induction, we obtain  $B \cdot R = 0. \quad \dots(15)$

By (15),  $B$  is just the ideal of  $R$  generated by

$$T = (R, R, (R, R, R)).$$

By the simplicity of  $R$  and (15), we get  $B = 0$ .

Thus  $(R, R, (R, R, R)) = 0$ . So  $(R, R, R) \subseteq N_l \cap N_r$ .

Hence, by Theorem of [3],  $R$  is associative. This contradiction proves the theorem. This completes the proof of the theorem.

**References:**

1. Kleinfeld, E., "A class of rings which are very nearly associative", Amer. Math. Monthly, 93 (1986), 720-722
2. Yen, C.T., "Rings with associators in the left and middle nucleus", Tamkang J.Math.23(1992), 363-369.
3. Yen, C.T., "Rings with associators in the nuclei", Chung Yuan J. 28 (2000), 7-9.
4. Yen, C.T., "Simple rings of characteristic not 2 with associators in the left nucleus are associative", Tamkang J. Math. 33, No.1(2002), 93-95.
5. Schafer, R.D., "An introduction to Non-associative Algebra", Pure and Appl. Math. Academic Press, New York, (1966).

\*\*\*

Assistant Professor, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati – 517502.  
Senior Assistant Professor, BVRIT HYDERABAD College of Engineering for Women,  
Bachupally, Hyderabad - 500090.