

CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION IN SEMI NEAR-RINGS

PARUCHURI VENU GOPALA RAO

Abstract: Semi near-ring is a generalization of both a semiring and a near-ring. In this paper s-ideal of semi near-ring and a characteristic function is considered and it is proved that the characteristic function is a fuzzy s-ideal of semi near-ring. If μ is a fuzzy s-ideal of a semi near-ring S, then it is proved that the level set μ_t , $t \leq \mu(o)$ is an s-ideal of S. If μ is a fuzzy subset of a semi near-ring S, and μ_t is an s-k-ideal for each $t \in [0, 1]$, $t \leq \mu(o)$, then it is proved that μ is a fuzzy s-k-ideal of S.

Keywords: Characteristic function, Semi near-ring, fuzzy s-ideal, fuzzy s-k-ideal.

Introduction: A seminear-ring S is an algebraic system with two binary operations: usual addition and usual multiplication such that S forms a semigroup with respect to both the operations, and satisfies the right distributive law. For preliminary definitions and results on semi near-rings we refer to [1],[2,3],[8],[9]

Theorem 2.1: Let I be an s-ideal of a seminear-ring S. Then the characteristic function λ_I defined by

$$\lambda_I(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in I \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is a fuzzy s-ideal of S. Further, if I is an s-k-ideal, then λ_I is a fuzzy s-k-ideal of S.

Proof: Suppose I is an s-ideal of S. First we show that λ_I is a fuzzy s-ideal of S.

Take $x, y \in S$. If $x, y \in I$, then $x + y \in I$ (since I is an s-ideal of S). Now,

$$\lambda_I(x + y) = 1 \geq \min\{1, 1\} = \min\{\lambda_I(x), \lambda_I(y)\}$$

Suppose $x \notin I$ and $y \in I$. If $x + y \in I$ then it is clear from above.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } x + y \notin I, \text{ then } \lambda_I(x + y) &= 0 = \min\{0, 1\} \\ &= \{\lambda_I(x), \lambda_I(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

If $x \notin I, y \notin I$, then $x + y \notin I$ or $x + y \in I$. Now, from each of these cases we get

$$\lambda_I(x + y) \geq \min\{\lambda_I(x), \lambda_I(y)\}$$

Also, take $x \in I, y \in S \Rightarrow xy \in I$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_I(xy) &= 1 \\ &= \max\{1, 0\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_I(x), \lambda_I(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose I is an s-k-ideal of S. We show that λ_I is a fuzzy s-k-ideal of S.

Take $y \in I$ and every $x \in S, x + y \in I$, since I is an s-k-

ideal, we have $x \in I$. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_I(x) &= 1 \\ &\geq \min\{1, 1\} \\ &= \min\{\mu(y), \mu(x + y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, λ_I is a fuzzy s-k-ideal of S.

Theorem 2.2: Let μ be a fuzzy s-ideal of a seminear-ring S. Then the level set μ_t , $t \leq \mu(o)$ is an s-ideal of S.

Proof. Take $x, y \in \mu_t$. This implies $\mu(x) \geq t$ and $\mu(y) \geq t$. Now, $\mu(x + y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}$ (since μ is a fuzzy s-ideal)

$$\geq \{t, t\} = t.$$

This implies $x + y \in \mu_t$

For any $s \in S$, we have $\mu(sx) \geq \mu(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Since } \mu \text{ is a fuzzy s-ideal}) \\ = t. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $sx \in \mu_t$. Similarly, $\mu(xs) \geq \mu(x) \geq t$.

This implies that $xs \in \mu_t$.

Therefore, μ_t is an s-ideal of S.

Theorem 2.3: Let μ be a fuzzy subset of a seminear-ring S. If μ_t is an s-k-ideal for each $t \in [0, 1], t \leq \mu(o)$, then μ is a fuzzy s-k-ideal of S.

Proof: In view of Theorem 2.2, it is enough to show that $\mu(x + y) = \mu(o)$,

$$\mu(y) = \mu(o) \text{ implies that } \mu(x) = \mu(o).$$

$$\text{Write } \mu_o = \{x \in S \mid \mu(x) = \mu(o)\}.$$

Suppose $\mu(x + y) = \mu(o), \mu(y) = \mu(o)$. This implies $x + y \in \mu_o, y \in \mu_o$.

Since μ_o is an s-k-ideal we have $x \in \mu_o$ implies that $\mu(x) = \mu(o)$.

Converse is not true:

Let $S = \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{o\}$. Then S is a seminear-ring with usual addition and multiplication.

Define $\mu: S \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \langle 2 \rangle \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in \langle \{2, 3\} \rangle - \langle 2 \rangle \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Now for any $x, y \in S, \mu(x + y) = \mu(o), \mu(y) = \mu(o) \Rightarrow \mu(x) = \mu(o)$.

Therefore, μ is an s-k- ideal of S.

Take $t = \frac{1}{2}$. Consider μ_t . Observe that $\mu(o) = 1$. Then $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}} = \langle \{2, 3\} \rangle$. Now $r = 3 \in \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}, 1 \in S$. We have $r = 3 + 1 \in \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}$, (since $\mu(4) = 1 = \mu(o)$) Here $\mu(4) = 1 > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow 4 \in \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}$. But $1 \notin \mu_{\frac{1}{2}}$ (since $\mu(1) = 0 \neq \mu(o)$) Therefore, $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}} = \langle \{2, 3\} \rangle$ is not an s-k-ideal of S.

References :

1. Golan J. S. "The Theory of Semirings with Applications in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science", Longman Scientific and Technical Publishers, 1992.
2. JavedAhsan. "Seminear-rings Characterized by their s-ideals I", Proceedings of Japan Academy, Series A, 101-103, 1995.
3. JavedAhsan. "Seminear-rings Characterized by their s-ideals II", Proceedings of Japan Academy, Series A, 111-113, 1995.
4. Pilz G. "Near-Rings: The theory and its Applications", North-Holland Publishing Company, 1983.
5. P.VenuGopalaRao, G.M.Victor Emmanuel. "Semi near-rings and their Ideals",Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal,vol.1(3),pp793-795,2012.
6. P.VenuGopalaRao, G.M.Victor Emmanuel. "Completely prime and completely semiprime s-ideals of semi near-rings",Mathematical SciencesInternational Research Journal, vol.2(2) pp575-576,2013.
7. Van Hoorn, Willy. G and Van Rootoselaar.B. "Fundamental notions in the theory of seminear-rings", Composition Math. , 18, 65-78, 1966.
8. VenuGopalaRaoParuchuri,VenkataRamanaMurthyNidasanametla and Syam Prasad Kuncham. "On Fuzzy Ideals of Seminearrings", Advances in Fuzzy Sets and Systems,Vol.10(2),pp 87-94, 2011.
9. Weinert H.J. "Seminear-rings, Seminear-fields and their semigroup theoretical background", Semigroup Forum, vol. 24, 231-254, 1982.
10. Zadeh L.A. "Fuzzy Sets", Information and Control, 338-353, 1965.

Department of Mathematics, AndhraLoyolaCollege (Autonomous)
 Vijayawada, A.P, India.
 e-mail: venugopalparuchuri@gmail.com