

**ON GENERALISED CLOSED SETS IN NANO BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES**

**NIRMALA REBECCA PAUL**

**Abstract:** The paper introduces nano bitopological space by considering two equivalence relations on the same universe. Generalised closed sets in a nano bitopological space is defined. Some of the basic properties are discussed. The necessary and sufficient conditions for a set to be generalized closed set in a nano bitopological space is derived. The complement of the mentioned set is called the nano generalized open set. As an application a new separation axiom is introduced.

**Keywords:** Nano open set, Nano closed set,  $N(\tau_R, \tau_R)$ g-closed,  $N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$ g-open,  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A)$ ,  $N_{\tau_R} Int(A)$

**Introduction:** The notion of generalised closed sets introduced by Levine[5] plays a significant role in general topology. Kelly[1] introduced the concepts of bitopological spaces. The Rough set theory introduced by Pawlak[6,7] plays an important role in the fields of decision analysis, data analysis, pattern recognition etc. Nano topology in terms of approximations and boundary region of a subset of an universe using an equivalence relation on it was introduced by Lellis Thivagar et.al.[4] In this paper generalized closed set is introduced in a nano topology by considering two topologies on the same universe. Basic properties of the set are derived. The complement of the above mentioned set is called its respective open set. The necessary and sufficient condition for a set to be nano generalized closed and nano generalized open in a nano bitopological space is derived.

**Preliminaries:**

**Definition 2.1[5]** Let U be a non-empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as the indiscernibility relation. Then U is divided into disjoint equivalence classes. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U,R) is said to be the approximation space. Let  $X \subseteq U$ .

(i) The lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be for certain classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by  $L_R(X)$ .

$L_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R\{x\} : R(x) \subseteq X\}$  where  $R(x)$  denotes the equivalence class determined by  $x \in U$

(ii) The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and it is denoted by  $U_R(X)$ .

$U_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X\}$

(iii)The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects, which can be classified neither as X nor as X with respect to R and it is denoted by  $B_R(X)$ .  $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$ .

**Definition 2.2[3]** Let U be a non-empty, finite universe of objects and R be an equivalence relation on U. Let  $X \subseteq U$ . Let  $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ . Then  $\tau_R(X)$  is a topology on U called as the nano topology with respect to X. Elements of the nano topology are known as the nano-open sets in U and  $(U, \tau_R(X))$  is called the

nano topological space.  $[\tau_R(X)]^c$  is called the dual nano topology of  $\tau_R(X)$ . Elements of  $[\tau_R(X)]^c$  are called the nano closed sets.

**Definition 2.3[4]** A subset A of a nano topological space  $(U, \tau_R(X))$  is called nano generalized closed if  $NCl(A) \subseteq G$  whenever  $A \subseteq G$  and G is nano open.

**Definition 2.4[3]** If  $(U, \tau_R(X))$  is a nano topological space with respect to X where  $X \subseteq U$  and if  $A \subseteq U$ , then the nano interior of A is defined as the union of all nano-open subsets of A and it is denoted by  $NInt(A)$ . That is,  $NInt(A)$  is the largest nano-open subset of A. The nano closure of A is defined as the intersection of all nano closed sets containing A and it is denoted by  $NCl(A)$ . That is  $NCl(A)$  is the smallest nano closed set containing A.

(i)  $NCl(NCl(A)) = NCl(A)$

(ii)  $NCl(A \cup B) = NCl(A) \cup NCl(B)$

**Generalized closed sets in a Nano Bitopological space:**

**Definition 3.1** Let U be a non-empty, finite universe of objects and R,R' are two equivalence relations on U. Let  $X \subseteq U$ . Let  $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$

$\tau_{R'}(X) = \{\phi, U, L_{R'}(X), U_{R'}(X), B_{R'}(X)\}$ . Then the triplet  $(U, \tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  is called the nano bitopological space.

Since the same set X is used to define the two topologies  $\tau_R$  and  $\tau_{R'}$  will be used instead of  $\tau_R(X)$  and  $\tau_{R'}(X)$  respectively.

The nano closure of a subset A of U with respect to the topologies  $\tau_R(X)$ ,  $\tau_{R'}(X)$  will be denoted by  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A)$  and  $N_{\tau_{R'}} Cl(A)$  respectively.

The collection of all nano open and closed sets corresponding to the the topologies  $\tau_R$  and  $\tau_{R'}$  will be denoted by  $N_{\tau_R} O(X), N_{\tau_{R'}} O(X), N_{\tau_R} C(X)$  and  $N_{\tau_{R'}} C(X)$

$N_{\tau_R} O(X)$  respectively. A nano closed subset of U with respect to the topology  $\tau_R(X)$  will be called nano  $\tau_R$ -closed.

**Definition 3.2** In In a nano bitopological space  $(U, \tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  a subset of U is said to be nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed if  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  where  $U \in N_{\tau_{R'}} O(X)$ .

The collection of all nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed sets on U

will be denoted by  $N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})GC(X)$ . Similarly  $(\tau_{R'}, \tau_R)GC(X)$  will be defined by replacing  $N_{\tau_R}Cl(A)$  by  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A)$

**Example 3.3** Let  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$   $U/R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}\}$  and  $X = \{a, c\}$ ,  $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ ,  $U/R' = \{\{a, d\}, \{c\}, \{b\}\}$ ,  $\tau_{R'}(X) = \{\phi, U, \{c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ ,  $N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})GC(X) = \{\phi, U, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$   $N(\tau_{R'}, \tau_R)GC(X) = \{\phi, U, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$

**Remark 3.4** (i) If  $R=R'$  then a nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed set becomes a nano generalized closed set.

(ii) If  $\tau_R$  is the indiscrete topology on  $U$  then  $N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})GC(X)$  is the power set of  $U$

(iii) If  $\tau_{R'}$  is the indiscrete topology then

$$N(\tau_{R'}, \tau_R)GC(X) = \{\phi, U\}$$

**Proposition 3.5** Every nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -closed set is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed.

**Proof** Let  $A$  be a nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -closed set in  $U$  and  $G$  be any nano  $\tau_R$ -open set containing  $A$ . Then  $N_{\tau_R}Cl(A) = A \subseteq G$ . Hence  $A$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed.

**Remark 3.6** The converse of the the proposition 3.5 is not true. In Example 3.3 the set  $\{d\}$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed but not  $\tau_{R'}$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.7** Union of two nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed sets is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed.

**Proof:** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed sets in a nano bitopological space and  $G$  is a nano  $\tau_R$ -open set containing  $A \cup B$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are two nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed sets,  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A) \subseteq G$ ,  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(B) \subseteq G$ .  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A \cup B) = N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A) \cup N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(B) \subseteq G$ . Hence  $A \cup B$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed.

**Remark 3.8** Intersection of two nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed sets is not a nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed set. In Example 3.3 the sets  $\{b, c\}, \{c, d\}$  are nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed but their intersection is not nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.9** If  $\tau_R \subseteq \tau_{R'}$  in a nano bitopological space then  $N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})GC(X) \supseteq N(\tau_{R'}, \tau_R)GC(X)$ .

**Proof** Let  $A$  be a nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed set and  $G$  be any nano  $\tau_R$ -open set containing  $A$ . Since  $\tau_R \subseteq \tau_{R'}$

$N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A) \subseteq N_{\tau_R}Cl(A)$  and  $N_{\tau_R}Cl(A) \subseteq G$ . Hence  $A$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.10** For each  $x \in U$ ,  $\{x\}$  is either nano  $\tau_R$ -closed or  $\{x\}^c$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed in a nano bitopological space.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\{x\}$  is not nano  $\tau_R$ -closed. Since  $\{x\}^c$  is not nano  $\tau_R$ -open, a nano  $\tau_R$ -open set containing  $\{x\}^c$  is  $U$  only. Then  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(\{x\}^c) \subseteq U$ . Hence  $\{x\}^c$  is  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed.

**Proposition 3.11** In a nano bitopological space  $N_{\tau_{R'}}O(X) = N_{\tau_R}C(X)$  if and only if  $A$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed and nano  $(\tau_{R'}, \tau_R)g$ -closed for any subset  $A$  of  $U$ .

**Proof:** Necessity. Let  $A$  be a subset of  $U$  and  $G$  be a nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -open set containing  $A$ . By hypothesis  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A) \subseteq G$  and hence  $A$  is nano  $(\tau_{R'}, \tau_R)g$ -closed. Since  $N_{\tau_{R'}}O(X) = N_{\tau_R}C(X)$ ,  $A$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed. Sufficiency. Suppose  $A$  is nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -open. Since  $A$  is nano  $(\tau_{R'}, \tau_R)g$ -closed,  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A) \subseteq A$ . Hence  $A$  is nano  $\tau_R$ -closed. Conversely let  $B$  be nano  $\tau_R$ -closed. Since  $B^c$  nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed and nano  $\tau_R$ -open,  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(B^c) \subseteq B^c$ . Hence  $B^c$  is nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -closed and hence  $B$  is nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -open.  $N_{\tau_{R'}}O(X) = N_{\tau_R}C(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.12** (i) In a nano bitopological space if a subset  $A$  of  $U$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed then  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A) - A$  contains no non empty nano  $\tau_R$ -closed set.

(ii)  $A$  is nano nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed if and only if  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi$  for each  $x \in N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A)$

**Proof:** (i) Let  $F$  be a nano  $\tau_R$ -closed set contained in  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A) - A$ . Since  $A$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})g$ -closed,  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A) \subseteq F^c$ .

Therefore  $F \subseteq N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A) \cap (N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A))^c$ . Hence  $F = \phi$ .

(ii) Necessity Suppose  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(\{x\}) \cap A = \phi$  for some  $x \in N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A)$ . Then  $A \subseteq [N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(\{x\})]^c$ . Since  $[N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(\{x\})]^c$  is nano  $\tau_R$ -open then  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A) \subseteq [N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(\{x\})]^c$ . This implies that  $x \notin N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A)$ . This contradicts the assumption. Hence  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi$  for each  $x \in N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A)$ . Conversely let  $G$  be any nano  $\tau_R$ -open set such that  $A \subseteq G$ . Suppose  $x \in N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(A)$  then  $N_{\tau_{R'}}Cl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi$  by assumption. There exist a point  $y$

such that  $y \in N_{\tau_R} Cl(A)$  and  $y \in A \subseteq G$ . This implies that  $G \cap \{x\} \neq \emptyset$ . i.e  $x \in G$ . Thus  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) \subseteq G$ . Hence A is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed.

**Remark 3.13** The converse of the first part of the proposition 3.12 is not true.

**Example 3.14** Let  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$   $U/R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}\}$  and  $X = \{a, c\}$ ,  $\tau_R(X) = \{\emptyset, U, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ ,  $U/R' = \{\{a, c\}, \{d\}, \{b\}\}$ ,  $\tau_{R'}(X) = \{\emptyset, U, \{a, c\}\}$ ,  $N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'}) GC(X) = \{\emptyset, U, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$   $\tau_R Cl(\{b, c\}) - \{b, c\} = \{a, d\}$  which does not contain any non empty nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -closed set but  $\{b, c\}$  is not nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed.

**Proposition 3.15** If A is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq N_{\tau_R} Cl(A)$  then B is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed.

**Proof:** Let  $B \subseteq G$  where G is nano  $\tau_R$ -open. Then  $A \subseteq G$ . Since A is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) \subseteq G$ ,  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(B) \subseteq N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) \subseteq G$ .  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(B) \subseteq G$ . Hence B is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed.

**Proposition 3.16** If A is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed in a nano bitopological space then A is nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -closed if and only if  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) - A$  is nano  $\tau_R$ -closed.

**Proof:** If A is nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -closed then  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) - A = \emptyset$  (By the Proposition 3.12(i)) Hence  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) - A$  is nano  $\tau_R$ -closed. Sufficiency. If  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) - A$  is nano  $\tau_R$ -closed then by the Proposition 3.12 (i)  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) - A = \emptyset$ . Hence A is nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.17** In a nano bitopological space  $N_{\tau_R} O(X) \subseteq N_{\tau_R} C(X)$  if and only if every subset of U is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed.

**Proof:** Suppose  $N_{\tau_R} O(X) \subseteq N_{\tau_R} C(X)$ . Let A be a subset of G where G is nano  $\tau_R$ -open. Then  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) \subseteq N_{\tau_R} Cl(G) = G$ . Hence A is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed. Conversely suppose that every subset of U is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed. Let G be a nano  $\tau_R$ -open set. Since G is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(G) \subseteq G$ . Hence G is nano  $\tau_{R'}$ -closed. Thus  $N_{\tau_R} O(X) \subseteq N_{\tau_R} C(X)$ .

**Proposition 3.18** If  $A \subseteq B \subseteq U$  and A is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed then A is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed relative to B.

**Proof:** Let G be any nano  $\tau_R$ -open set in B such that  $A \subseteq G$ . Then  $G = H \cap B$  for some nano  $\tau_R$ -open set H in U. Thus  $A \subseteq H \cap B$ . Since A is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed

in U,  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) \subseteq H$ . Therefore  $B \cap N_{\tau_R} Cl(A) \subseteq H \cap B$ . That is A is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed relative to B.

**Definition 3.19** A subset A of U in a nano bitopological space is said to be nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-open if its complement is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed in U.

**Theorem 3.20** A subset A of a nano bitopological space is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-open if and only if  $F \subseteq N_{\tau_R} Int(A)$  whenever F is nano  $\tau_R$ -closed and  $F \subseteq A$ .

**Proof:** Necessity. Let A be a nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-open set in U and F be a nano  $\tau_R$ -closed set such that  $F \subseteq A$ . Then  $A^c \subseteq F^c$  where  $F^c$  is nano  $\tau_R$ -open and  $A^c$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed implies  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A^c) \subseteq F^c$ ,  $(N_{\tau_R} Int(A))^c \subseteq F^c$ . Hence  $F \subseteq N_{\tau_R} Int(A)$ . Sufficiency. Let  $F \subseteq N_{\tau_R} Int(A)$  where F is nano  $\tau_R$ -closed and  $F \subseteq A$ . Then  $A^c \subseteq F^c = G$  and G is nano  $\tau_R$ -open. Then  $G^c \subseteq A$  implies  $G^c \subseteq N_{\tau_R} Int(A)$  or  $N_{\tau_R} Cl(A^c) = [N_{\tau_R} Int(A)]^c \subseteq G$ . Thus  $A^c$  is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-closed or A is nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-open.

**Application:**

**Definition 4.1** A nano bitopological space is said to be a  $\tau_R \tau_{R'} T_0$ -space if for each pair of distinct points x, y of U there exists a nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-open set G containing x but not y or a nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-open set H containing y but not x.

**Example 4.2** Let  $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$   $U/R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$  and  $X = \{a, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau_R(X) = \{\emptyset, U, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}$ ,  $U/R' = \{\{a, c\}, \{d\}, \{b\}\}$ ,  $\tau_{R'}(X) = \{\emptyset, U, \{a, c, d\}\}$ ,  $N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'}) GC(X) = \{\emptyset, U, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$   $N(\tau_{R'}, \tau_R) GCX = \{\emptyset, U, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{b, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$  The Nano bitopological space  $(U, \tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  is a nano  $\tau_R \tau_{R'} T_0$ -space.

$N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'}) GO(X)$  is the collection of all nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  g-open sets in U.

**Theorem 4.3** For a nano bitopological space  $(U, \tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  the following are equivalent. .

- (i)  $(U, \tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  is a nano  $\tau_R \tau_{R'} T_0$ -space .
- (ii) For each  $x \in U$ ,

$$\{x\} = \bigcap \{F \in N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'}) GC(X) \cup N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'}) GO(X) : x \in F\}$$

proof: (i)  $\implies$  (ii) By definition

$$\{x\} \subseteq \bigcap \{F \in N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'}) GC(X) \cup N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'}) GO(X) : x \in F\} .$$

To prove the other inequality we need to prove that  $\bigcap \{F \in N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'}) GC(X) \cup N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'}) GO(X) : x \in F\}$  contains only x. If  $y \in U$ ,  $y \neq x$ . Two cases arise (i)  $x \in G$ ,  $y \notin G$ .

(ii)  $y \in H, x \notin H$  where  $G, H$  are nano  $(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$ -open sets. By case

(i)  $y \notin \bigcap \{F \in N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})GC(X) \cup N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})GO(X) : x \in F\}$ .

By case

(ii)  $x \in H^c$

$y \notin \bigcap \{F \in N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})GC(X) \cup N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})GO(X) : x \in F\}$ .

Hence  $\bigcap \{F \in N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})GC(X) \cup N(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})GO(X) : x \in F\}$  contains only  $x$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (i) Let  $x, y$  be any two distinct points of

$(U, \tau_R, \tau_{R'})$ . Then (ii) implies that there exists a nano

$(\tau_R, \tau_{R'})$ -g-open set  $G$  which contains  $x$  then it does not contain  $y$ . Hence  $(U, \tau_R, \tau_{R'})$  is  $\tau_R \tau_{R'} T_0$ -space.

**Conclusion:** The nano generalized closed in a nano bitopological space coincides with the nano generalized closed set if the two topologies defined on the universe are same. In a particular case the collection of all nano generalized closed set in nano bitopological space is the power set of  $U$ . This concept can be extended to derive a new decomposition of continuity in a nano bitopological space. As an application a new separation axiom is introduced.

**References:**

1. Kelly J.C, "Bitopological spaces " ,Proc.London Math.Soc.,13(1963),71-89.
2. Lashin E.F,Kozae A.M., Abo Khadra A.A and Medhat T, "Rough set theory for topological spaces",International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, 40(1-2),(2005),35-43.
3. Lellis Thivagar M and Carmel Richard, "On nano continuity",Mathematical Theory and Modelling,Vol3,No7(2013),32-37.
4. Lellis Thivagar M and Carmel Richard,"On nano generalized closed Sets",Communicated.
5. Levine N, "Generalized closed sets in Toplogy",Rend.Circ.Mat.Palermo,Vol.19,No2(1970), 89-96.
6. Pawlak Z,"Rough sets",International Journal of Information and Computer Science, 11(5)(1982),341-356.
7. Pawlak Z,"Rough sets"-Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about data,Klwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston,London.

\*\*\*

Nirmala Rebecca Paul/Associate Professor/Dept of Mathematics/  
Lady Doak College/Madurai/nimmi\_rebecca@yahoo.com