

## GENERALIZING THE IDEAS OF NUMERICAL ANALYSIS TO TOPOLOGICAL VECTOR SPACES

N. R. DHAWADE

**Abstract:** In this paper ideas of numerical analysis are generalized to topological vector space and found an interpolation formula for a continuous function F from the field R of real numbers with the usual metric topology on it into a topological vector space V over R.

**Keywords:** Numerical Method, Metric topology, Topological vector space V over R.

**Introduction:** We know that the numerical methods are used in Mathematics when analytical methods are not available or when only approximate solution is acceptable. Numerical analysis is the analysis of these methods. It involves the development and evolution of methods for computing required numerical results from given numerical data.

**Topological Vector Space over the real field R of real numbers:**

**Definition:**

Let V be a vector space over the real field R of real numbers.

Let  $\tau$  be a topology on V, such that

1.  $(a, b) \rightarrow a-b$  is a continuous function from  $(V \times V, \tau \times \tau)$  into  $(V, \tau)$  and

2.  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, a \in V, (\alpha, a) \rightarrow \alpha a$  is a continuous function from

$(\mathbb{R} \times V, \tau_1 \times \tau)$  into  $(V, \tau)$  where  $\tau_1$  is the usual topology on R.

Then  $(V, \tau)$  is called a topological vector space over the field R.

**2. Defining forward differences:** Let  $(\mathbb{R}, \tau_1)$  be the field of real numbers R

equipped with usual metric topology  $\tau_1$ . Let

$(V(\mathbb{R}), \tau_2)$  be any topological vector space V over the field of real numbers R, being topology of this topological vector space.

Let  $x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n$  be  $(n+1)$  equidistant, distinct points of R, written in the ascending order where

$$x_i - x_{i-1} = h, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Let, F be a continuous functions from

$$(\mathbb{R}, \tau_1) \rightarrow (V(\mathbb{R}), \tau_2)$$

Whose values  $f_0, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_i, \dots, f_n$  are known only at points  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n$ . Such a function we know is called a tabular function, Thus  $(x_0, f_0), (x_1, f_1), \dots, (x_i, f_i), \dots, (x_n, f_n)$  are tabulated points of continuous function F. Suppose we wish to know the value of F at x such that  $x_0 \leq x \leq x_1$ . In this case we can derive a formula identical to Newton's forward interpolation formula.

For this we define forward differences for elements of V as  $\Delta f_i = f_{i+1} - f_i, i=0,1,2, \dots, n-1$  is first forward difference at  $f_i$ .

In general for any positive integer k,  $1 \leq k$

$$\Delta^k f_i = \Delta^{k-1} f_{i+1} - \Delta^{k-1} f_i$$

The highest forward difference that we will get for  $(n+1)$  tabulated values  $(x_0, f_0), (x_1, f_1), \dots, (x_n, f_n)$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  which will occur at  $f_0$ . All these forward differences themselves will be elements of V. We construct the forward difference table as for a continuous mapping  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (the usual case).

**Forward difference table for images in a general topological vector space V over R:-**

x	F(x) = f	Δ	Δ <sup>2</sup> f	--	Δ <sup>n</sup> f
$x_0$	$f_0$	$\Delta f_0$	$\Delta^2 f_0$		
		$= f_1 - f_0$	$= \Delta f_1$		
$x_1$	$f_1$	$\Delta f_1$	$-\Delta f_0$		
		$= f_2 - f_1$	$\Delta^2 f_1$		$\Delta^n f_0$
$x_2$	$f_2$	$\Delta f_2$	$-\Delta f_1$		
		$= f_3 - f_2$			
$x_3$	$f_3$				
-	-				
-	-				
$x_i$	$f_i$	$\Delta f_i$			
		$= f_{i+1} - f_i$			
$x_{i+1}$	$f_{i+1}$				
-	-				
-	-				

$x_{n-2}$	$f_{n-2}$	$\Delta f_{n-2}$			
		$= f_{n-1}$			
$x_{n-1}$	$f_{n-1}$	$-f_{n-2}$			
		$\Delta f_{n-1} =$			
$x_n$	$f_n$	$f_n - f_{n-1}$			

**Representing the value of a continuous function F: (R, ) → (V(R), ) by a polynomial in V.**

Let,  
 $f = F(x) = a_0 + a_1(x-x_0) + a_2(x-x_0)(x-x_1) + a_3(x-x_0)(x-x_1)(x-x_2) + \dots + a_n(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_{n-1}) \rightarrow (1)$

For  $x_0 \leq x \leq x_1$   
 where  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_i, \dots, a_n$  are elements of V so that the whole of the right hand side of equation (1) is an element of V. In representing our continuous function F by the polynomial on R.H.S. of (1), we are assuming that

- (i) our polynomial function  $P(x) = a_0 + a_1(x-x_0) + a_2(x-x_0)(x-x_1) + \dots + a_i(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_{i-1}) + \dots + a_n(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_{n-1})$  is a continuous function from (R, ) into a general topological vector space (V(R), ) where  $a_i$  in V,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $x \in R, x_i \in R, i=0,1,2,\dots,n$  and
- (ii) The Stone-Weierstrass Theorem [9] is true for continuous function F from  $[x_0, x_n]$  into a general topological vector space (V(R),  $\tau_2$ ).

In the next two articles we investigate the conditions under which assumption (i) and (ii) above will be true.

**Continuity of a polynomial function.**

**Theorem 1 :-**

Let  $(x_0, f_0), (x_1, f_1), \dots, (x_i, f_i), \dots, (x_n, f_n)$  be  $n + 1$  tabulated points of a continuous function F from (R,  $\tau_1$ ) into a topological vector space (V(R),  $\tau_2$ ) over the field R of real numbers. Then the polynomial function.

$$P(x) = a_0 + a_1(x-x_0) + a_2(x-x_0)(x-x_1) + \dots + a_i(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_{i-1}) + \dots + a_n(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_{n-1})$$

where  $a_i \in V, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, x \in R, x_i \in R, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  is a continuous function from  $[x_0, x_n]$  into (V(R),  $\tau_2$ )

**Proof:** -We know a function of the type  $x \rightarrow (x-x_i)$ , where  $x_i$  is a real constant is a continuous function from (R,  $\tau_1$ ) into (R,  $\tau_1$ ) and also product of a finite number of continuous functions from (R,  $\tau_1$ ) into (R,  $\tau_1$ ) is a continuous function.

Therefore,

$$F_i : x \rightarrow y_i = (x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_{i-1})$$

$i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$  are all continuous function from (R,  $\tau_1$ ) → (R,  $\tau_1$ ) → (1)

Also the functions

$$G_i : (R, \tau_1) \rightarrow (R \times V, \tau_1 \times \tau_2)$$

such that  $G_i(y_i) = (y_i, a_i)$  where  $a_i$  is a fixed element of V are continuous functions for

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n \rightarrow (2)$$

Also since (V(R),  $\tau_2$ ) is topological vector space, scalar multiplication is a continuous mapping from (R × V,  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ ) into (V(R),  $\tau_2$ )

Thus each of the mappings,

$$H_i : (R \times V, \tau_1 \times \tau_2) \rightarrow (V(R), \tau_2)$$

Such that,  $H_i(y_i, a_i) = y_i a_i = a_i y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

Where  $a_i \in V$  is fixed is continuous mapping. → (3)

(1), (2) and (3) imply that the composite mappings

$$I_1 : (H_i \circ G_i \circ F_i) : (R, \tau_1) \rightarrow (V(R), \tau_2)$$

Such that,

$x \rightarrow a_i y_i = a_i (x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_{i-1})$  are continuous mappings,

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \rightarrow (4)$$

Also the mapping,

$$I_0 : (R, \tau_1) \rightarrow (V(R), \tau_2)$$

such that  $I_0(x) = a_0$

where  $a_0$  in V is a constant vector, is a continuous mapping → (5)

Now let  $I_1, I_2$  be two continuous mappings from

$$(R, \tau_1) \rightarrow (V(R), \tau_2)$$

Then the mapping from (R,  $\tau_1$ ) into (V × V,  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ ) such that  $X \rightarrow (I_1(x), I_2(x))$  is a continuous mapping.

$$\rightarrow (6)$$

But (V(R),  $\tau_2$ ) is a topological vector space implies that  $(I_1(x), I_2(x)) \rightarrow I_1(x) + I_2(x)$

is a continuous mapping from (V × V,  $\tau_1 \times \tau_2$ ) →

$$(V(R), \tau_2) \rightarrow (7)$$

(6) and (7) implies that the composite mapping from (R,  $\tau_1$ ) → (V(R),  $\tau_2$ ) such that,

$$x \rightarrow I_1(x) + I_2(x) = (I_1 + I_2)(x)$$

is a continuous mapping.

In other words sum of two continuous mappings from (R,  $\tau_1$ ) into (V(R),  $\tau_2$ ) is a continuous mapping.

Extending this result to a finite number of continuous mappings from (R,  $\tau_1$ ) into (V(R),  $\tau_2$ )

we get  $I = I_0 + I_1 + I_2 + \dots + I_n$  is continuous mapping from (R,  $\tau_1$ ) into (V(R),  $\tau_2$ ), where  $I_0$  is mapping as at (5) and  $I_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  are the mappings as at (4).

Thus, I from (R,  $\tau_1$ ) into (V(R),  $\tau_2$ ) such that,

$$I(x) = I_0(x) + I_1(x) + I_2(x) + \dots + I_i(x) + \dots + I_n(x) = a_0 + a_1(x-x_0) + a_2(x-x_0)(x-x_1) + \dots + a_i(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_{i-1}) + \dots + a_n(x-x_0)(x-x_1)\dots(x-x_{n-1})$$

is a continuous function. But this I is nothing but the polynomial p(x) in the statement of our theorem.

**Generalization of Stone – Weierstrass theorem:** Let [a,b] be an interval of the real line equipped with the

induced topology from  $(R, \tau_1), \tau_1$  being the usual metric topology on  $R$ . Let  $F$  be a continuous function from  $[a,b]$  into  $(V(R), \tau_2)$ , which is a finite dimensional topological vector space of dimension  $m$ , over the field  $R$  of real numbers.

Let  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_m\}$  be a basis of  $V(R)$  and let  $I$  from  $V(R)$  into  $R^m(R)$  be the isomorphism. (Refer, [4])

such that,

$$X = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_i x_i + \dots + \alpha_m x_m \in V(R),$$

$$\alpha_i \in R, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

$$I(x) = \alpha_1 e_1 + \alpha_2 e_2 + \dots + \alpha_j e_j + \dots + \alpha_m e_m$$

where  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_j, \dots, e_m\}$  is standard basis of  $R^m(R)$ . Further suppose that  $I$  is a continuous function from  $(V(R), \tau_2)$  into  $(R^m(R), \tau_3)$ , where  $\tau_3$  is the usual metric topology on  $R^m$ . Then there exist a sequence of polynomials  $Q_n(x)$  in  $V$  such that

$$F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(x) \text{ uniformly on } [a,b].$$

Here  $Q_n(x)$  are polynomials of the type

$$Q_n(x) = C_0 + C_1x + C_2x^2 + \dots + C_i x^i + \dots + C_n x^n, C_i \in V, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n, x \in R, x^i \in R, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

**Proof:**  $F$  from  $([a,b], \tau/[a,b])$  into  $(V(R), \tau_2)$  is a continuous function and  $I$  from  $(V(R), \tau_2)$  onto  $(R^m(R), \tau_3)$  is a continuous function implies  $I \circ F = G$  is a continuous function from  $[a,b]$  into  $(R^m(R), \tau_3)$

$$\rightarrow (1)$$

Let  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_3, \dots, g_j, \dots, g_m$  be the component functions of  $G$ .

$$\text{Thus } a \leq x \leq b, G(x) = (g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_j(x), \dots, g_m(x)) \rightarrow (2)$$

Eq. (1) implies that  $g_j : [a,b] \rightarrow R$  are continuous functions  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$

Thus applying the Stone-Weierstrass theorem [9], to  $g_j$  we know there exist a sequence of polynomials  $p_{n,j}, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , such that,  $g_j(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{n,j}(x)$

$$\text{uniformly on } [a,b], j = 1, 2, \dots, m. \rightarrow (3)$$

Now  $a \leq x \leq b$ ,

$$G(x) = I(F(x))$$

$$\text{Thus } F(x) = I^{-1}(G(x)) = I^{-1}(g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_j(x), \dots, g_m(x)) /$$

by equation (2))

$$= I^{-1} \left( \begin{matrix} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,1}(x), & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,2}(x), \\ \dots, & \dots, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,j}(x), & \dots, & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,m}(x) \end{matrix} \right)$$

(by equation (3))

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I^{-1}(P_{n,1}(x), P_{n,2}(x), \dots, P_{n,j}(x), \dots, P_{n,m}(x))$$

$$\rightarrow (4)$$

Let,

$$P_{n,1}(x) = a_{01} + a_{11}x + a_{21}x^2 + \dots + a_{n1}x^n$$

..

..

..

$$P_{n,2}(x) = a_{02} + a_{12}x + a_{22}x^2 + \dots + a_{n2}x^n$$

$$P_{n,j}(x) = a_{0j} + a_{1j}x + a_{2j}x^2 + \dots + a_{nj}x^n$$

..

..

..

$$P_{n,m}(x) = a_{0m} + a_{1m}x + a_{2m}x^2 + \dots + a_{nm}x^n$$

$$a_{ij} \in R, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \& j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

Thus  $(P_{n,1}(x), P_{n,2}(x), \dots, P_{n,j}(x), \dots,$

$$P_{n,m}(x)) = P_{n,1}(x)e_1 + P_{n,2}(x)e_2 + \dots +$$

$$P_{n,j}(x)e_j + \dots + P_{n,m}(x)e_m$$

$$= (a_{01} + a_{11}x + a_{21}x^2 + \dots + a_{n1}x^n)e_1$$

$$+ (a_{02} + a_{12}x + a_{22}x^2 + \dots + a_{n2}x^n)e_2$$

...

...

$$+ (a_{0j} + a_{1j}x + a_{2j}x^2 + \dots + a_{nj}x^n)e_j$$

...

...

$$+ (a_{0m} + a_{1m}x + a_{2m}x^2 + \dots + a_{nm}x^n)e_m$$

$$= (a_{01}e_1 + a_{02}e_2 + \dots + a_{0j}e_j + \dots + a_{0m}e_m)$$

$$+ (a_{11}e_1 + a_{12}e_2 + \dots + a_{1j}e_j + \dots + a_{1m}e_m)x$$

$$+ (a_{21}e_1 + a_{22}e_2 + \dots + a_{2j}e_j + \dots + a_{2m}e_m)x^2$$

...

...

$$+ (a_{i1}e_1 + a_{i2}e_2 + \dots + a_{ij}e_j + \dots + a_{im}e_m)x^i$$

...

...

$$+ (a_{n1}e_1 + a_{n2}e_2 + \dots + a_{nj}e_j + \dots + a_{nm}e_m)x^n$$

$$= b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_ix^i + \dots + b_nx^n$$

where,  $b_i = a_{i1}e_1 + a_{i2}e_2 + \dots + a_{ij}e_j + \dots + a_{im}e_m$

$i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$b_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  are vectors in  $R^m$ .  $\rightarrow (5)$

$I$  is an isomorphism from  $V(R)$  onto  $R^m(R)$  implies

$I^{-1}$  is an isomorphism from  $R^m(R)$  onto  $V(R)$ .

$$\rightarrow (6)$$

Thus (4) and (5) imply

$$F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I^{-1}(b_0 + b_1x + b_2x^2 + \dots + b_ix^i + \dots + b_nx^n)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I^{-1}(b_0 + xb_1 + x^2b_2 + \dots + x^ib_i + \dots + x^nb_n)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{I^{-1}(b_0) + I^{-1}(xb_1) + I^{-1}(x^2b_2) + \dots + I^{-1}(x^ib_i) + \dots + I^{-1}(x^nb_n)\}$$

[By equation (6)]

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{I^{-1}(b_0) + xI^{-1}(b_1) + x^2I^{-1}(b_2) + \dots + x^iI^{-1}(b_i) + \dots + x^nI^{-1}(b_n)\}$$

Again by equation (6)

$$F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{I^{-1}(b_0) + I^{-1}(b_1)x + I^{-1}(b_2)x^2 + \dots +$$

$$\Gamma^{-1}(b_i)x^1 + \dots + \Gamma^{-1}(b_n)x^n \rightarrow (7)$$

Let  $\Gamma^{-1}(b_i) = C_i, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ .  
 Then it follows from (6) that  $C_i$  are in  $V, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ .  
 Thus (7) can be rewritten as

$$F(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C_0 + C_1x + C_2x^2 + \dots + C_ix^i + \dots + C_nx^n) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_0(x) \rightarrow (8)$$

Now  $x$  in  $[a, b]$ ,  
 $G(x) = (g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_j(x), \dots, g_m(x))$

$$= \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,1}(x), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,2}(x), \dots, \right. \\ \left. \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,j}(x), \dots, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{n,m}(x) \right) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P_{n,1}(x), P_{n,2}(x), \dots, P_{n,j}(x), \dots, P_{n,m}(x))$$

uniformly on  $[a, b]$ . (by eq. (3))

$$\text{Thus } F(x) = \Gamma^{-1}(G(x)) \\ = \Gamma^{-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P_{n,1}(x), P_{n,2}(x), \dots, P_{n,j}(x), \dots, \\ P_{n,m}(x)) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma^{-1}(P_{n,1}(x), P_{n,2}(x), \dots, P_{n,j}(x), \dots, \\ P_{n,m}(x))$$

$P_{n,m}(x)$  uniformly on  $[a, b]$ .  $\rightarrow (9)$   
 But we have proved that the right hand sides of (8) and (9) are the same. Thus the convergence at (8) is uniform on  $[a, b]$ .

This proves the theorem.

**Derivation of the forward interpolation formula:**

Having proved the theorems at 2.3 and 2.4 we are justified in representing a continuous tabulated function  $F$  as at 2.1, 2.2 by a polynomial  
 $a_0 + a_1(x-x_0) + a_2(x-x_0)(x-x_1) + \dots + a_i(x-x_0)(x-x_1) \dots (x-x_{i-1}) + \dots + a_n(x-x_0)(x-x_1) \dots (x-x_{n-1})$

Where  $a_i \in V, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $x \in R$   
 etc. for sufficiently high value of  $n$ .

Thus,  
 $F(x) = a_0 + a_1(x-x_0) + a_2(x-x_0)(x-x_1) + \dots + a_i(x-x_0)(x-x_1) \dots (x-x_{i-1}) + \dots + a_n(x-x_0)(x-x_1) \dots (x-x_{n-1})$   
 $\rightarrow (I)$

Putting  $x = x_0$  in (I) we get  $a_0 = f_0$

Putting  $x = x_1$  in (I) we get

$$f_1 = F(x_1) = a_0 + a_1(x_1-x_0) = f_0 + a_1h$$

$$\text{Thus } a_1 = \frac{f_1 - f_0}{h} = \frac{\Delta f_0}{h} = \frac{\Delta^2 f_0}{1!h}$$

Substituting  $x = x_2$  in (I) we get,

$$f_2 = F(x_2) = a_0 + a_1(x_2-x_0) + a_2(x_2-x_0)(x_2-x_1) \\ = f_0 + \frac{\Delta f_0 \cdot 2h}{1!h} + a_2 \cdot 2h \cdot h$$

Thus,

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2!h^2} \{f_2 - f_0 - 2\Delta f_0\} \\ = \frac{1}{2!h^2} \{f_2 - f_0 - 2(f_1 - f_0)\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2!h^2} \{(f_2 - f_0) - (f_1 - f_0)\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2!h^2} \{\Delta f_1 - \Delta f_0\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2!h^2} \Delta^2 f_0$$

Proceeding in this manner we get,

$$a_i = \frac{1}{i!h^i} \Delta^i f_0$$

-

-

$$a_n = \frac{1}{n!h^n} \Delta^n f_0$$

$$\text{Thus, } F(x) = f_0 + \frac{\Delta f_0}{1!h} (x-x_0) + \frac{\Delta^2 f_0}{2!h^2} (x-x_0)(x-x_1) + \dots + \frac{\Delta^n f_0}{n!h^n} (x-x_0)(x-x_1) \dots (x-x_{n-1}) \rightarrow (II)$$

**An Application:-**

Let  $W(R)$  = vector space over  $R$  of continuous real-valued functions on  $[0, 1]$ .

Let  $V(R)$  = Subspace of  $W(R)$  consisting of real-valued constant function on  $[0, 1]$ . We

know  $V(R)$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $R$  of dimension 1.

$$\text{For } F \in V(R), F = CI$$

where  $I : [0, 1] \rightarrow R$  such that,  $x \in [0, 1], I(x) = 1$  and  $C \in R$  such that  $x \in [0, 1], F(x) = C$ .

We think of  $V(R)$  as a vector space in its own right of dimension 1 over  $R$  and define a tabular function

$$F : R \rightarrow V(R)$$

as  $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 3$

$$F(x_0) = f_0, F(x_1) = f_1, F(x_2) = f_2, F(x_3) = f_3$$

$$\text{Where, } f_0(x) = 2, \quad x \in [0, 1]$$

$$f_1(x) = 4, \quad x \in [0, 1]$$

$$f_2(x) = 5, \quad x \in [0, 1]$$

$$f_3(x) = 8, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Assuming  $F$  is continuous when  $V(R)$  is a topological vector space with respect to a certain topology  $\tau$  on  $V(R)$  we want to find value  $F$  at  $x = 0.5$

Our forward difference table is

Assuming  $F$  is continuous when  $V(R)$  is a topological vector space with respect to a certain topology  $\tau$  on  $V(R)$

we want to find value  $F$  at  $x = 0.5$

Our forward difference table is

x	F (x) = f	Δf	Δ <sup>2</sup> f	Δ <sup>3</sup> f
x <sub>0</sub> = 0	f <sub>0</sub> (x) = 2			
x <sub>1</sub> = 1	f <sub>1</sub> (x) = 4	Δf <sub>0</sub> (x) = 2	Δ <sup>2</sup> f <sub>0</sub> (x) = -1	Δ <sup>3</sup> f <sub>0</sub> = 3
x <sub>2</sub> = 2	f <sub>2</sub> (x) = 5	Δf <sub>1</sub> (x) = 1	Δ <sup>2</sup> f <sub>1</sub> (x) = 2	
x <sub>3</sub> = 3	f <sub>3</sub> (x) = 8	Δf <sub>2</sub> (x) = 3		

Thus, using formula (II) of 2.5 above we get, (Here h=1)

$$f = F(0.5) = f_0 + \frac{\Delta f_0}{1!} (0.5-0) + \frac{\Delta^2 f_0}{2!} (0.5-0) (0.5-1) + \frac{\Delta^3 f_0}{3!} (0.5-0) (0.5-1) (0.5-2) = f_0 + 0.5 \Delta f_0 + \frac{(0.5)(-0.5)}{2} \Delta^2 f_0 + \frac{(0.5)(-0.5)(-1.5)}{6} \Delta^3 f_0$$

**References:**

1. Atkinson K. Elementary Numerical Analysis. John Wiley and Sons.
2. Hilberbrand FB. Introduction to Numerical Analysis. Tata McGraw – Hill, New Delhi.
3. Kunz KS (1957). Numerical Analysis McGraw – Hill Book Company. London.
4. Sastri S.S. Introductory Method of Topological Vector Space Numerical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India, Pvt. Ltd.
5. Stark PA. Introductory to Numerical Method. The Macmillan Company, London.
6. Herstein I.N. Topics in Algebra, Vani educational books, Ghaziabad.
7. Robertson A.P., Topological Vector Space Robertson W., Cambridge University Press, 1966.
8. Scarborough J.B. Numerical Mathematical Analysis, OXFORD University Press 1950.
9. Walter Rodin, McGraw-Hill International Edition 1976. Principles of Mathematical Analysis (Third Addition).

\*\*\*

N. R. Dhawade /Art, Commerce & Science College, Koradi,  
 / Assistant professor & Head of department of Mathematics/Rasthrasant Tukdoji Maharaj Nagpur University, Nagpur /  
[dhawadnr.acs@gmail.com](mailto:dhawadnr.acs@gmail.com)

$$= f_0 + \frac{\Delta f_0}{2} - \frac{\Delta^2 f_0}{8} + \frac{\Delta^3 f_0}{16}$$

Thus, F maps x = 0.5 into that constant real valued function f on [0,1] such that , x ∈ [0,1]

$$f(x) = f_0(x) + \left(\frac{\Delta f_0}{2}\right)(x) - \left(\frac{\Delta^2 f_0}{8}\right)(x) + \left(\frac{\Delta^3 f_0}{16}\right)(x)$$

$$= 2 + \frac{2}{2} - \frac{(-1)}{8} + \frac{3}{16}$$

$$= \frac{53}{16} = 3.3125$$

**Conclusion:** The main aim of this paper is to generalize the ideas of Numerical Analysis to topological vector spaces which is very necessary to connect two branches of mathematics.

**Acknowledgement:** I express my whole hearted thanks to Dr. N. M Bambawale for her valuable guidance and constant encouragement.