

## CONTRA qI- CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS IN IDEAL BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

MANDIRA KAR

**Abstract:** In this paper, we apply the notion of qI-open sets and qI-continuous functions to present and study a new class of functions called contra qI-continuous functions in ideal bitopological spaces.

**Keywords:** Ideal bitopological space, qI-open sets, contra qI-continuous functions, qI-irresolute functions.

**Preliminaries :** In 1961 Kelly [5] introduced the concept of bitopological spaces as an extension of topological spaces. A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a nonempty set  $X$  equipped with two topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  [5]. The study of quasi open sets in bitopological spaces was initiated by Dutta [1] in 1971. In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  a set  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be quasi open [1] if it is a union of a  $\tau_1$ -open set and a  $\tau_2$ -open set. Complement of a quasi open set is termed quasi closed. Every  $\tau_1$ -open (resp.  $\tau_2$ -open) set is quasi open but the converse may not be true. Any union of quasi open sets of  $X$  is quasi open in  $X$ . The intersection of all quasi closed sets which contains  $A$  is called quasi closure of  $A$ . It is denoted by  $qcl(A)$  [7]. The union of quasi open subsets of  $A$  is called quasi interior of  $A$ . It is denoted by  $qInt(A)$  [7].

The concept of ideal topological spaces was initiated Kuratowski [6] and Vaidyanathaswamy [8]. An Ideal  $I$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a non empty collection of subsets of  $X$  which satisfies: i)  $A \in I$  and  $B \subset A \Rightarrow B \in I$  and ii)  $A \in I$  and  $B \in I \Rightarrow A \cup B \in I$ . If  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is the set of all subsets of  $X$ , in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  a set operator  $(.)^*: \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$  called the local function [3] of  $A$  with respect to  $\tau$  and  $I$  and is defined as follows:

$$A^*(\tau, I) = \{x \in X \mid U \cap A \notin I, \forall U \in \tau(x)\}, \text{ where } \tau(x) = \{U \in \tau \mid x \in U\}.$$

Given an ideal bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I)$  the quasi local function [3] of  $A$  with respect to  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  and  $I$  denoted by  $A_q^*(\tau_1, \tau_2, I)$  ( in short  $A_q^*$ ) is defined as follows:

$$A_q^*(\tau_1, \tau_2, I) = \{x \in X \mid U \cap A \notin I, \forall \text{ quasi open set } U \text{ containing } x\}.$$

A subset  $A$  of an ideal bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be qI- open [3] if  $A \subset qInt A_q^*$ . A mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called qI-

continuous [3] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is qI-open in  $X$  for every quasi open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

In 1996 Dontchev [2] introduced a new class of functions called contra-continuous functions. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  to be contra continuous if the pre image of every open set of  $Y$  is closed in  $X$ .

Recently the author of this paper [4] defined qI-irresolute mappings in ideal bitopological spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** [3] Given an ideal bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I)$  the quasi local mapping of  $A$  with respect to  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  and  $I$  denoted by  $A_q^*(\tau_1, \tau_2, I)$  (more generally as  $A_q^*$ ) is defined as follows:  $A_q^*(\tau_1, \tau_2, I) = \{x \in X \mid U \cap A \notin I, \forall \text{ quasi -open set } U \text{ containing } x\}$

**Definition 1.2.** [3] A subset  $A$  of an ideal bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I)$  is qI- open if  $A \subset qInt(A_q^*)$ . Complement of a qI- open set is qI-closed. If the set  $A$  is qI-open and qI-closed, then it is called qI-clopen

**Definition 1.3.** [3] A mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called a qI- continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a qI-open set in  $X$  for every quasi open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**Definition 1.4.** [4] A mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called qI- irresolute if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a qI-open set in  $X$  for every quasi semi open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

### 1. Contra qI-continuous functions

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called contra qI- continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is qI-closed in  $X$  for each quasi open set  $V$  in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** For a function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ , the following are equivalent:

- $f$  is contra qI-continuous .
- For every quasi closed subset  $F$  of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(F)$  is qI-open in  $X$ .
- For each  $x \in X$  and each quasi closed subset  $F$  of  $Y$  with  $f(x) \in F$ , there exists a qI-open subset  $U$  of  $X$  with  $x \in U$  such that  $f(U) \subset F$ .

**Proof:** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) and (b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c) are obvious.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Let  $F$  be any quasi closed subset of  $Y$ . If  $x \in f^{-1}(F)$  then  $f(x) \in F$ , and there exists a  $qI$ -open subset  $U_x$  of  $X$  with  $x \in U_x$  such that  $f(U_x) \subset F$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(F) = \cup \{U_x: x \in f^{-1}(F)\}$ . Hence we get  $f^{-1}(F)$  is  $qI$ -open. [3]

**Remark 2.1.** The concepts of  $qI$ -continuity and contra  $qI$ -continuity are independent of each other

**Theorem 2.2.** If a function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is contra  $qI$ -continuous and  $Y$  is regular, then  $f$  is  $qI$ -continuous

**Proof:** Let  $x \in X$  and let  $V$  be a quasi open subset of  $Y$  with  $f(x) \in V$  Since  $Y$  is regular, there exists an quasi open set  $W$  in  $Y$  such that  $f(x) \in W \subset Cl(W) \subset V$ . (by Theorem 2.1)  $f$  is contra  $qI$ -continuous, and hence there exists a  $qI$ -open set  $U$  in  $X$  with  $x \in U$  such that  $f(U) \subseteq Cl(W)$ . Then  $f(U) \subseteq Cl(W) \subseteq V$ . Hence  $f$  is  $qI$ -continuous [3].

**Definition 2.2.** A topological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I)$  is said to be  $qI$ -connected if  $X$  is not the union of two disjoint non-empty  $qI$ -open subsets of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a contra  $qI$ -continuous function from a  $qI$ -connected space  $X$  onto any space  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is not a discrete space.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $Y$  is discrete. Let  $A$  be a proper non-empty quasi clopen set in  $Y$ . Then  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a proper non-empty  $qI$ -clopen subset of  $X$ , which contradicts the fact that  $X$  is  $qI$ -connected.

**Theorem 2.4.** A contra  $qI$ -continuous image of a  $qI$ -connected space is connected.

**Proof:** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a contra  $qI$ -continuous function from a  $qI$ -connected space  $X$  onto a space  $Y$ . Assume that  $Y$  is disconnected. Then  $Y = A \cup B$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty quasi clopen sets in  $Y$  with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since  $f$  is contra  $qI$ -continuous, we have that  $f^{-1}(A)$  and  $f^{-1}(B)$  are  $qI$ -open non-empty sets in  $X$  with  $f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(A \cup B) = f^{-1}(Y) = X$  and  $f^{-1}(A) \cap f^{-1}(B) = f^{-1}(A \cap B) = f^{-1}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ . This means that  $X$  is not semi- $I$ -connected, which is a contradiction. Then  $Y$  is connected.

**Definition 2.3.** A mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called contra  $qI$ -irresolute if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $qI$ -closed set in  $X$  for every quasi semi open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Contra  $qI$ -irresoluteness and  $qI$ -irresoluteness are independent

**Definition 2.4.** A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I_2)$  is called quasi-irresolute if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $qI_1$ -open in  $X$  for each  $qI_2$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

**Definition 2.5.** A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I_2)$  is called contra quasi-irresolute if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $qI_1$ -closed in  $X$  for each  $qI_2$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y$ .

The following two remarks are evident from the definition:

**Remark 2.3.** Contra quasi-irresoluteness and quasi-irresoluteness are independent

**Remark 2.4.** Contra quasi-irresolute function is contra  $qI$ -continuous, but the converse is not true.

**Theorem 2.5.** A function  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I_2)$  is quasi-irresolute if and only if the inverse image of each  $qI_2$ -closed set in  $Y$  is  $qI_1$ -open in  $X$ .

**Proof:** Obvious

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I_2)$  and  $g: (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I_2) \rightarrow (Z, \rho_1, \rho_2, I_3)$  Then,

- i.  $g \circ f$  is contra quasi-irresolute if  $g$  is quasi-irresolute and  $f$  is contra quasi-irresolute.
- ii.  $g \circ f$  is contra quasi-irresolute if  $g$  is contra quasi-irresolute and  $f$  is quasi-irresolute.

**Proof:** Obvious

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I_1) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I_2)$  and  $g: (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, I_2) \rightarrow (Z, \rho_1, \rho_2, I_3)$  Then,

- i.  $g \circ f$  is contra  $qI$ -continuous if  $g$  is continuous and  $f$  is contra  $qI$ -continuous.
- ii.  $g \circ f$  is contra  $qI$ -continuous if  $g$  is  $qI$ -continuous and  $f$  is contra quasi-irresolute

**Proof:** Obvious.

**Conclusion :** Ideal bitopological spaces is an extension for both ideal topological spaces and bitopological spaces. It has opened new areas of research in topology and in the study of topological concepts via Fuzzy ideals in ideal bitopological spaces. The application of the results obtained would be remarkable in other branches of science too.

**References**

1. M. C. Datta, "Contributions to the theory of bitopological spaces", PhD Thesis, B.I.T.S. Pilani, India, 1971
2. J. Dontchev, "Contra-continuous functions and strongly S-closed spaces", *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 19, 1996, pp. 303-310
3. S. Jafari, and N. Rajesh, "On qI open sets in ideal bitopological spaces", University of Bacau, Faculty of Sciences, Scientific Studies and Research, Series Mathematics and Informatics., Vol. 20, No.2, 2010, pp. 29-38
4. M. Kar, "On qI- Irresolute mappings", *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, Vol. 3(11), 2012, pp. 4052-4054
5. J. C. Kelly, "Bitopological spaces", *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 13, 1963, 71-89
6. K. Kuratowski, "Topology", Vol. I, Academic press, New York., 1966
7. S. N. Maheshwari, G.I. Chae, and. P.C. Jain, "On quasi open sets", *U. I. T. Report.*, 11, 1980, pp. 291-292.
8. R. Vaidyanathaswamy, "The localization theory in set topology", *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, 20, 1945, pp. 51-61

Mandira Kar  
Department of Mathematics  
St. Aloysius College,  
Jabalpur (M.P.) 482001 India.  
e-mail : [karmandira@gmail.com](mailto:karmandira@gmail.com)