

GENERALIZATION OF URYSHON'S LEMMA VIA WEAK FORM OF OPEN SETS

M. LELLIS THIVAGAR, M. AROCKIA DASAN, V. RAMESH

**Abstract:** The purpose of this paper is to investigate some basic properties of  $(1,2)^*\beta\text{-}\sigma_i$ -continuous functions and  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal spaces. Also we prove a famous lemma called Uryshon's Lemma by using  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal spaces.

**Keywords:** Bitopological spaces,  $(1,2)^*\beta$  -open sets,  $(1,2)^*\beta\text{-}\sigma_i$ -continuous functions,  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal spaces.

**MSC 2010:** 54D10

**Introduction:** In 1963, Kelly [4] initiated the study of the bitopological space which is to be a set  $X$  equipped with two topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  on  $X$ . In bitopological spaces, Lellis Thivagar and Ravi [5,6] introduced  $(1,2)^*\alpha$ -open sets by defining a new class of open sets namely  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open sets and also developed the weak forms open sets called  $(1,2)^*$ semi-open sets and  $(1,2)^*$ pre-open sets. Lellis Thivagar and Athisaya Ponmani [7] introduce  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open sets and  $(1,2)^*\beta\text{-}\sigma_i$ -continuous functions and investigate certain properties on it. This paper is to focus on some more properties of  $(1,2)^*\beta\text{-}\sigma_i$ -continuous functions between bitopological spaces and  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal spaces. Finally, we highlight the proof of Uryshon's Lemma by using  $(1,2)^*\beta\text{-}\sigma_i$ -continuous functions and  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal spaces.

**2. Preliminaries:** In this section we recollect some properties of basic concepts which are useful in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1.**[4] A non-empty set  $X$  together with two topologies  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  is called a bitopological spaces and is denoted by  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 2.2.**[5, 6] A subset  $S$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open if and only if  $S = A \cup B$ , where  $A \in \tau_1$  and  $B \in \tau_2$ .

The family of all  $\tau_{1,2}$ -open sets is denoted by  $\tau_{1,2}O(X)$ . Note that  $\tau_{1,2}O(X)$  need not necessarily form a topology and  $\tau_1O(X), \tau_2O(X) \subseteq \tau_{1,2}O(X)$ .

**Remark 2.3** [5, 6] Let  $A$  be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

Then

(i)  $\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A) = \cup\{G : G \subseteq A \text{ and } G \text{ is } \tau_{1,2}\text{-open}\}$

(ii)  $\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A) = \cap\{F : A \subseteq F \text{ and } F \text{ is } \tau_{1,2}\text{-closed}\}$ .

**Definition 2.4** A subset  $A$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called

(i)  $(1,2)^*\alpha$ -open [6] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A)))$

(ii)  $(1,2)^*$ semi-open [6] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(A))$

(iii)  $(1,2)^*$ pre-open [6] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A))$  and

(iv)  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open [7] if  $A \subseteq \tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-int}(\tau_{1,2}\text{-cl}(A)))$ .

The complement of  $(1,2)^*\alpha$ -open (resp.  $(1,2)^*$ semi-open,  $(1,2)^*$ pre-open and  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open) sets are called  $(1,2)^*\alpha$ -closed (resp.  $(1,2)^*$ semi-closed,  $(1,2)^*$ pre-closed and  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed) sets. The family of all  $(1,2)^*\alpha$ -open (resp.  $(1,2)^*$ semi-open,  $(1,2)^*$ pre-open and  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open

) sets is denoted by  $(1,2)^*\alpha O(X)$  (resp.  $(1,2)^*SO(X), (1,2)^*PO(X)$  and  $(1,2)^*\beta O(X)$ ).

**Definition 2.5** [7] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and  $S \subseteq X$ . Then  $(1,2)^*\beta$  closure of  $A$  is defined as  $(1,2)^*\beta \text{cl}(A) = \cap\{F : A \subseteq F \text{ and } F \text{ is } (1,2)^*\beta\text{-closed}\}$  and  $(1,2)^*\beta$  interior of  $A$  is defined as  $(1,2)^*\beta \text{int}(A) = \cup\{G : G \subseteq A \text{ and } G \text{ is } (1,2)^*\beta\text{-open}\}$ .

**Definition 2.6:** [4] A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called pairwise normal if for every pair of disjoint  $\tau_1$ -closed set  $F_1$  and  $\tau_2$ -closed set  $F_2$  there exists disjoint  $\tau_1$ -open set  $U$  and  $\tau_2$ -open set  $V$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq V$  and  $F_2 \subseteq U$ .

**Definition 2.7** [4] Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be bitopological spaces. A map  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is said to be  $(1,2)^*\beta\text{-}\sigma_i$ -continuous if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open, for every  $\sigma_i$ -open set  $V$  of  $Y, i=1,2$ .

**Definition 2.8** [10] A dyadic number is a number  $s$  that can be expressed as  $s = m/2^n$ , where  $m = 1, 3, 5, \dots, 2^n - 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . The set of dyadic numbers in  $[0,1]$ , denoted by  $P$ , are certainly countable.

**Remark 2.9** [10] The set of dyadic numbers in  $I$  is dense in  $I$ .

**3.  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal Spaces and**

**Uryshon's Lemma:** In this section we introduce and establish the properties of a new type of space called  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal space and discuss certain properties of  $(1,2)^*\beta\text{-}\sigma_i$ -continuous functions. Using these we prove the famous lemma namely Uryshon's Lemma.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be bitopological spaces and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

(i)  $f$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta\text{-}\sigma_i$ -continuous.

(ii) If  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are basis for  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  respectively, then  $f^{-1}(B_i)$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open in  $X$  for each  $B_i \in B_i$  and  $i=1,2$ .

(iii) If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are subbasis for  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  respectively, then  $f^{-1}(S_i)$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open in  $X$  for each  $S_i \in S_i$  and  $i=1,2$ .

**Proof: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii):** Since each basis element  $B_i$  of  $B_i$  is an element of  $\sigma_i O(X)$  and  $f$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta\text{-}\sigma_i$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(B_i)$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open in  $X$ .

**(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i):** Let  $V_i$  be a  $\sigma_i$ -open set in  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ . Then there is a collection  $\{B_{i\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of members of  $B_i$  for

$\sigma_i$  such that  $V_i = U\{B_{i\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  and  $f^{-1}(V_i) = U\{f^{-1}(B_{i\alpha}) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}(V_i)$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open in  $X$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Since each subbasis element  $S_i$  of  $\mathcal{S}_i$  is an element of  $\sigma_i O(X)$  and  $f$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous,  $f^{-1}(S_i)$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open in  $X$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Since the family of all finite intersections of subbasis elements is a basis, then by part (ii), we get the result.

**Definition 3.2** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal if for every pair of disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed set  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  there are disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $F_1 \subseteq U$  and  $F_2 \subseteq V$ .

**Remark 3.3** The  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normality and pairwise normality are independent to each other, which shown in the following examples.

**Example 3.4** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ . Then  $\tau_{1,2} O(X) = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$  and  $(1,2)^*\beta O(X) = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ . Clearly  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal but not pairwise normal.

**Example 3.5** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau_1 = \{\phi, X, \{a\}\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{\phi, X, \{a, b\}\}$ . Then  $\tau_{1,2} O(X) = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$  and  $(1,2)^*\beta O(X) = \{\phi, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ . Clearly  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal but it is pairwise normal.

**Theorem 3.6** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal if and only if for each  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  and each  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ , there is a  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq V \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(V) \subseteq U$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $A$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed and  $U$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open such that  $A \subseteq U$ . Then  $X-U$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed and  $A \cap (X-U) = \phi$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal, then there are disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open sets  $V$  and  $W$  such that  $A \subseteq V$  and  $X-U \subseteq W$ . Since  $V \cap W = \phi$ ,  $(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(V) \subseteq X-W \subseteq U$ .

Now suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are two disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed sets, then there is a  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open set  $V$  such that  $A \subseteq V \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(V) \subseteq X-B$ . Then clearly  $V$  and  $X - [(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(V)]$  are two disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open sets such that  $A \subseteq V$  and  $B \subseteq X - [(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(V)]$ .

**Theorem 3.7** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $P$  be a dense

subset of  $[0,1]$ . Suppose that for each  $j \in P$ , there is a  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open set  $U_j$  in  $X$  such that : (1) if  $s < t$  then  $(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_s) \subseteq U_t$  and (2)  $X = \cup_{j \in P} U_j$ . Define  $f : X \rightarrow [0,1]$  by  $f(x) = \text{glb}\{j \in P : x \in U_j\}$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $f$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous function.

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{S}_i$  be the subbasis for the topologies  $\sigma_i$  on  $[0,1]$ ,  $i = 1,2$  and that consists of all sets of the form  $[0, a)$  and  $(a,1]$ , where  $a \in (0,1)$ . By Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to show that both  $f^{-1}([0, a)) = \{x \in X : f(x) < a\}$  and  $f^{-1}((a,1]) = \{x \in X : f(x) > a\}$  are  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open sets in  $X$ . To show that  $f^{-1}([0, a)) = U\{U_t : t \in P \text{ and } t < a\}$ . Let  $y \in f^{-1}([0, a))$ . Then  $0 \leq f(y) < a$ . Since  $P$  is dense in  $[0,1]$ , there is a  $t_y \in P$  such that  $f(y) < t_y < a$ . That is  $\text{glb}\{t \in P : y \in U_t\} < t_y < a$ . Hence  $y \in U_{t_y}$ , where  $t_y < a$

and so  $y \in U\{U_t : t < a\}$ . For the other inclusion, if  $y \in U\{U_t : t < a\}$ , then  $y \in U_{t_y}$  for some  $t_y \in P$  with  $t_y < a$ . This implies  $f(y) = \text{glb}\{t \in P : y \in U_t\} < t_y < a$  and also  $y \in f^{-1}([0, a))$ . Therefore  $f^{-1}([0, a)) = U\{U_t : t \in P \text{ and } t < a\}$  is the union of  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open sets and hence it is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open. To show that  $f^{-1}((a,1])$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open, we have to show  $X - f^{-1}((a,1]) = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq a\}$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed. To show  $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq a\} = \cap\{(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_t) : t \in P \text{ and } a < t\}$ . Let  $y \in \{x \in X : f(x) \leq a\}$  and let  $t \in P$  such that  $a < t$ . Then there is a  $s \in P$  such that  $s < t$  and  $y \in U_s$ . Since  $U_s \subseteq U_t$ ,  $y \in U_t \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_t)$  and hence  $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq a\} \subseteq \cap\{(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_t) : t \in P \text{ and } a < t\}$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $y \in \cap\{(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_t) : t \in P \text{ and } a < t\}$  and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $P$  is dense in  $[0,1]$ , there is  $s_1 \in P$  such that  $a < s_1 < a + \epsilon$ . Now  $y \in \{(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_{s_1})\}$ . Again since  $P$  is dense in  $[0,1]$ , there is  $s_2 \in P$  such that  $s_1 < s_2 < a + \epsilon$ . Since  $\{(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_{s_1}) \subseteq U_{s_2}$ ,  $y \in U_{s_2}$ . Therefore  $f(y) \leq s_2$ . Since  $s_2 < a + \epsilon$ ,  $f(y) < a + \epsilon$ . Then since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrarily positive number,  $f(y) \leq a$ . Thus  $\{x \in X : f(x) \leq a\} \supseteq \cap\{(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_t) : t \in P \text{ and } a < t\}$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.8 (Uryshon’s Lemma):** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal if and only if for each pair  $A, B$  of disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed subsets of  $X$  there is a  $(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in B$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal and let  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed subsets of  $X$ . Since  $X-B$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open and  $A \subseteq X-B$ . By Theorem 3.6, there is a  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open set  $U_{1/2}$  such that  $A \subseteq U_{1/2} \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_{1/2}) \subseteq X-B$ . We continue to use Theorem 3.6. Since  $A \subseteq U_{1/2}$ , there is a  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open set  $U_{1/4}$  such that  $A \subseteq U_{1/4} \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_{1/4}) \subseteq U_{1/2}$ . Since  $(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_{1/2}) \subseteq X-B$ , there is a  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open set  $U_{3/4}$  such that  $(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_{1/2}) \subseteq U_{3/4} \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_{3/4}) \subseteq X-B$ . Thus we have the following:  $A \subseteq U_{1/4} \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_{1/4}) \subseteq U_{1/2} \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_{1/2}) \subseteq U_{3/4} \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_{3/4}) \subseteq X-B$ . Then we continue this process, we obtain two families of sets  $\{U_j\}$  and  $\{(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_j)\}$ , where  $j = m/2^n \in P$ ,  $P$  is the set of dyadic numbers. Let  $U_1 = X$  so that each element of  $X$  will be in some  $U_j$ . Then we have  $U_s \subseteq U_t \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_s) \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_t) \subseteq (1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_u)$  for  $s < t < u$ , and  $(1,2)^*\beta \text{ cl}(U_t) \subseteq U_u$  for  $t < u$ . Now define  $f : X \rightarrow [0,1]$  by  $f(x) = \text{glb}\{j \in P \cup \{1\} : x \in U_j\}$ . By Theorem 3.7,  $f$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous. If  $x \in A$ , then  $x \in U_j$  for all  $j \in P \cup \{1\}$  and hence  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . If  $x \in B$ , then  $x \notin U_j$  for all  $j \in P$  but  $x \in U_1$ , and so  $f(x) = 1$ . Now suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are two disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed subsets of  $X$ . Then there is a  $(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that  $f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in B$ . Hence  $f^{-1}([0,1/2)) = \{x \in X : f(x) < 1/2\}$  and  $f^{-1}((1/2,1]) = \{x \in X : f(x) > 1/2\}$  are disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -open sets such that  $A \subseteq f^{-1}([0,1/2))$  and  $B \subseteq f^{-1}((1/2,1])$ .

**Theorem 3.9 ( General form Uryshon’s Lemma ):** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal if and only if for each pair  $A, B$  of disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed

subsets of  $X$  there is a  $(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow [a, b]$  such that  $f(x) = a$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $f(x) = b$  for all  $x \in B$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal and let  $A, B$  of disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed subsets of  $X$ . By Uryshon's Lemma, there is a  $(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous function  $f_1 : X \rightarrow [0,1]$  such that  $f_1(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $f_1(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in B$ . If we take  $f(x) = (b-a)f_1(x) + a$ , then  $f$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous. Also if  $x \in A$ , then  $f(x) = a$  and if  $x \in B$ , then  $f(x) = b$ . Now suppose  $A$  and  $B$  be disjoint  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -closed subsets of  $X$  and there is a

$(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous function  $f : X \rightarrow [a, b]$  such that  $f(x) = a$  for all  $x \in A$  and  $f(x) = b$  for all  $x \in B$ . If we take  $f_1(x) = (f(x) - a) / (b-a)$ , then  $f_1$  is  $(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous. Also if  $x \in A$ , then  $f_1(x) = 0$  and if  $x \in B$ , then  $f_1(x) = 1$ . By Uryshon's Lemma,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\beta^*_{1,2}$ -normal.

**Conclusion:** In this paper, we have discussed some more properties of  $(1,2)^*\beta$ - $\sigma_i$ -continuous functions. Also we introduced and established the properties of  $(1,2)^*\beta$ -normal spaces. In future, we can insist these things into many research fields such as rough set topology, fuzzy set topology, digital topology, etc.

## References:

1. Abd. El-Monsef.M.E, El Deeb. S.N and Mahmoud.R.A.:  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., 12(1983), 77-90.
2. V. Kaladevi, V.Manimozhi, on Characteristic Polynomial of Product of incidence; Mathematical Sciences international Research Journal ISSN 2278 - 8697 Vol 3 Issue 2 (2014), Pg 691-693
3. Arya.S.P and Bhamini.M.P.: Some generalizations of pairwise Uryshon spaces, Indian J. Pure and Applied. Math., 18(12) (1987), 1088-1093.
4. Dorsett.C.: Semi  $\beta$ -normal spaces, Kyungpook Math J.,25(1985),173-180.
5. Wasefer Zaman, Partha Ghosh,Kalyankumar Datta, Pramatha Nath Basu, A Framework to incorporate Domain Knowledge Modeling ; Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal ISSN 2278 - 8697 Vol 2 Issue 2 (2013), Pg 474-478
6. Kelly.J.C.:Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math.Soc(3),13(1963),71-89.
7. Lellis Thivagar.M.: Generalization of pairwise  $\alpha$ -continuous functions, Pure and Applied Mathematica Sciences , 28(1991) , 55-63.
8. Kavita B. Bajpai, Common Fixed Point theorem for Six Mappings in Partial Anushri A.Aserkar, Manjusha P.Gandhi, ; Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal ISSN 2278 - 8697 Vol 2 Issue 2 (2013), Pg 433-438
9. Lellis Thivagar.M and Ravi.O.: A bitopological  $(1,2)^*$ -semi generalized continuous mappings,Bull of Malaysian. Math. Soc., 29(1)(2005).
10. Lellis Thivagar.M and Athisaya Ponmani, Note on some new bitopological separation axioms,Proc. of. the National conference in Pure and Applied Mathematics, (2005) , 28-32.
11. A.Praveenprakash ,M.P.Kannan ,J.Kirubakaran, A Study on the Causes for Child Trafficking; Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal ISSN 2278 - 8697 Vol 3 Issue 1 (2014), Pg 336-338
12. Maheswari.S.N, Prasad.R.: Some new separation axioms, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles 89, (1975),395-402
13. Maki.H; Devi.R; Balachanran.K, Generalized  $\alpha$ -closed sets in Topology, Bull.Fukuoka Univ.Ed.Part III, 42, (1993),13-21.
14. Patty. C.W.: Foundations of Topology, PWS-KENT Publishing Company, Boston, (1992).
15. Reilly. I.L.: On bitopological separation properties, Nanta Mathematica, V(1972), 14-25.

\*\*\*

M.Lellis Thivagar/M.Arockia Dasan/V.Ramesh/  
School of Mathematics/Madurai Kamaraj University/Madurai-625 021/ Tamil Nadu/ INDIA.