

## STEADY STATE SOLUTION OF SERIAL QUEUING PROCESS WITH RENEGING AND FEEDBACK

RAJVINDER KAUR

**Abstract:** The present paper provides steady state analysis of general queuing model in which a serial queuing network consisting of two sets of parallel service channels linked in series through a common service channel. The input process is Poisson and service time distribution is exponential. The concepts of renegeing and feedback are also incorporated. Queue discipline follows FIFO (first in first out). Waiting space is infinite in the present queuing model. For this queuing model, formulas for mean queue length and steady state marginal probabilities have been derived. Numerical solutions have been discussed.

**Keywords:** Feedback, Numerical Solutions, Renegeing, Steady State Solution.

**Introduction:** Queues or waiting lines are born of congestion which occurs due to some irregularities in arrival pattern or service mechanisms of a system of social and industrial activities. The pioneer work in the theory of queues was done by Erlang (1909) who studied the problem of congestion in telephone lines. Further contributions in the subject were made by Kendall(1951,1953), Cox and Smith(1961).O'Brien [7] studied the problem of two queues in series in steady state with Poisson inputs and exponential holding times. Jackson [3] solved the serial queuing problem having two or three phases for finite and infinite waiting spaces. Nelson[6] derived the probability of waiting longer than a prescribed time at all channels. Singh, Man [8] considered the Steady-state behavior of serial queuing processes with impatient customers. Vikram and Singh [9]obtained the steady state solution of serial and non serial queuing processes with renegeing, balking and feedback phenomenon.

This paper studies a more general serial queuing network where two set of parallel service channels linked in series through a service channel. Here the two set of parallel channels  $S_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M)$  and  $S_2, S_3$  are linked in series through a common channel  $S_1$ . Units demanding different types of service arrive at  $S_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M)$  and after being serviced by their respective servers either leave the system or enter the service channel  $S_1$ . Units serviced by  $S_1$  may leave the system or join either of the service channels  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  which are in biseries. Units serviced by  $S_2$  (or  $S_3$ ) have also now the option to feedback to any of the servers  $S_i'$  of the first phase.

In this queuing model waiting space is considered to be infinite. The expressions for steady state marginal probabilities and mean queue length have also been derived whenever the queue discipline is first in first out.

**Formulation Of Model:** The problem dealt with may be stated as follows:

Units demanding different types of service arrive from outside the system in Poisson streams with

parameters  $\lambda_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M); \lambda_1; \lambda_2; \lambda_3$  at the respective servers  $S_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M); S_1; S_2; S_3$  and form the queues  $Q_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M); Q_1; Q_2; Q_3$  respectively. After the completion of service at  $S_i'$ , units either leave the system or join the queue  $Q_1$  with respective probabilities  $p_i', q_i'$  s.t.  $p_i' + q_i' = 1(i = 1,2, \dots, M)$ . Now units serviced by  $S_1$ , leave the system with probability  $q_1$  or join either of the queues  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$  with respective probabilities  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  where  $q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = 1$ . Units which enter the service at  $S_2$ , after completion of service, either leave the system or join any of queues  $Q_3; Q_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M)$  with respective probabilities  $r_1, r_2, r_{i2}$  where  $r_1 + r_2 + \sum_{i=1}^M r_{i2} = 1$ .

Similarly, units which enter the service channel  $S_3$ , after completion of service, either leave the system or join any of the queues  $Q_3, Q_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M)$  with respective probabilities  $p_1, p_2, p_{i3}(i = 1,2, \dots, M)$  such that  $p_1 + p_2 + \sum_{i=1}^M p_{i3} = 1$ .

Thus we see that the concept of feedback is incorporated for units serviced by  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  may be directed to rejoin the queues  $Q_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M)$ . The service time distributions for the servers  $S_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M); S_1; S_2; S_3$  are mutually independent negative exponential distributions with respective parameters  $\mu_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M); \mu_1; \mu_2; \mu_3$ . Further it is assumed that units in  $Q_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M); Q_1; Q_2; Q_3$  may leave the system at random without getting service with mean renegeing rates  $\alpha_i'(i = 1,2, \dots, M); \alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3$  respectively.

Practical situations corresponding to the model studied in this paper are not uncommon. Consider a state health department which sets up dispensaries at village level to provide medical help. These village dispensaries correspond to the service facilities  $S_i'$  of our model. The patient visiting these dispensaries are either discharged after treatment or referred to the civil hospital where they visit the common counter ( $S_1$ ) for registration etc. some of these patients are treated at the civil hospital while the others are referred back to their village dispensaries. It is also a

common practice that patients may visit the civil hospital directly.

**Formulation of Equations:**

Define:  $P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n, r; t)$  = the probability that at time  $t$  there are  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M$  units (which may renege, or after being serviced by  $S_1', S_2', \dots, S_M'$  respectively either leave the system or join  $S_1$ ) waiting in the respective queues  $Q_1', Q_2', \dots, Q_M'$ ;  $m$  units (which may renege, or after being serviced by  $S_1$  leave the system or join either of  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ ) waiting in  $Q_1$ ;  $n$  units (which may renege, or after being serviced by  $S_2$  either leave the system or join any of  $S_3$ ;  $S_1', S_2', \dots, S_M'$ ) in  $Q_2$ ;  $r$  units (which may renege, or after being serviced by  $S_3$  either leave the system or join any of  $S_2$ ;  $S_1', S_2', \dots, S_M'$ ) waiting in  $Q_3$ .

Probability reasoning leads to the following set of difference - differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n, r; t) = & -[\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i' + \sum_{i=1}^M \delta(n_i)(\mu_i' + \alpha_i') + \delta(m)(\mu_1 + \alpha_1) + \delta(n)(\mu_2 + \alpha_2) + \delta(r)(\mu_3 + \alpha_3)] P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n, r; t) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i' P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_M; m, n, r; t) + \lambda_1 P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m - 1, n, r; t) + \lambda_2 P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n - 1, r; t) + \lambda_3 P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n, r - 1; t) + \sum_{i=1}^M (\mu_i' p_i' + \alpha_i') P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_M; m, n, r; t) + \sum_{i=1}^M \mu_i' q_i' P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i + 1, \dots, n_M; m - 1, n, r; t) + (\mu_1 q_1 + \alpha_1) P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m + 1, n, r; t) + \mu_1 q_2 P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m + 1, n - 1, r; t) + \mu_1 q_3 P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m + 1, n, r - 1; t) + (r_1 \mu_2 + \alpha_2) P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n + 1, r; t) + r_2 \mu_2 P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n + 1, r - 1; t) + \sum_{i=1}^M r_{i2} \mu_2 P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_M; m, n + 1, r; t) + (p_1 \mu_3 + \alpha_3) P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n, r + 1; t) + p_2 \mu_3 P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n - 1, r + 1; t) + \sum_{i=1}^M p_{i3} \mu_3 P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_M; m, n, r + 1; t) \end{aligned} \dots(1)$$

Where  $n_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, M); m, n, r \geq 0$  and

$$\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

And  $P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n, r; t) = 0$  if any of arguments is negative.

**Steady State Solution:** The steady-state equations of the system are derived by equating the time-derivatives to zero in equation(1). The solution of the resulting equations can be verified to be

$$P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n, r; t) = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^M (\rho_i')^{n_i} \right\} \rho_1^m \rho_2^n \rho_3^r P(0, 0, \dots, 0) \dots(2)$$

$$n_i = 1, 2, \dots, M; m, n, r \geq 0$$

where  $\rho_i' = \frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i' + \alpha_i'} + \frac{N_i'}{D(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')}$  ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$

$$\rho_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\lambda_i' q_i' \mu_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')}}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} + \frac{N_1}{D(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)}$$

$$\rho_2 = \frac{N_2}{D}; \rho_3 = \frac{N_3}{D}; \text{ where}$$

$$D = (\mu_2 + \alpha_2)(\mu_3 + \alpha_3) - p_2 r_2 \mu_2 \mu_3 - \left[ \frac{\mu_1 \mu_3 q_3 (\mu_2 + \alpha_2) - \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 r_2 q_2}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' r_{i2}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_i' = & r_{i2} \mu_2 [\lambda_3 p_2 \mu_3 + \lambda_2 (\mu_3 + \alpha_3)] + p_{i3} \mu_3 [r_2 \mu_2 \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 (\mu_2 + \alpha_2)] + \left[ \frac{r_{i2} \mu_2 \mu_1 p_2 q_3 \mu_3 + \mu_1 q_2 (\mu_3 + \alpha_3)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] + \left[ \frac{p_{i3} \mu_3 \mu_1 \mu_2 q_2 r_2 + \mu_1 q_3 (\mu_2 + \alpha_2)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' \lambda_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right] + (r_{i2} \mu_2) \times \left[ \frac{\lambda_3 \mu_1 \mu_3 q_2 - \mu_1 \mu_3 q_3 \lambda_2}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' p_{i3}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right] + (p_{i3} \mu_3) \times \left[ \frac{\mu_1 \mu_2 q_3 \lambda_2 - \mu_1 \mu_2 q_3 \lambda_3}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' r_{i2}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$N_1 = [\lambda_3 p_2 \mu_3 + \lambda_2 (\mu_3 + \alpha_3)] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' r_{i2} \mu_2}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right] + [r_2 \mu_2 \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 (\mu_2 + \alpha_2)] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' p_{i3} \mu_3}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$+ \left\{ \left[ \frac{\mu_1 q_3 \mu_3 p_2 + \mu_1 q_2 (\mu_3 + \alpha_3)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' r_{i2} \mu_2}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right] + \left[ \frac{\mu_1 q_2 \mu_2 r_2 + \mu_1 q_3 (\mu_2 + \alpha_2)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' p_{i3} \mu_3}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right] \right\} \times \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' \lambda_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$N_2 = \lambda_3 p_2 \mu_3 + \lambda_2 (\mu_3 + \alpha_3) + \left[ \frac{\lambda_3 q_2 \mu_3 \mu_1 - \lambda_2 \mu_1 \mu_3 q_3}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' p_{i3}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right] + \left[ \frac{\mu_1 p_2 q_3 \mu_2 + \mu_1 q_2 (\mu_3 + \alpha_3)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i' q_i' \lambda_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$N_3 = \lambda_3(\mu_2 + \alpha_2) + r_2\mu_2\lambda_2 + \left[ \frac{\mu_1\mu_2r_2q_2 + \mu_1q_3(\mu_2 + \alpha_2)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i'q_i'\lambda_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right] + \left[ \frac{\lambda_2q_3\mu_1\mu_2 - \lambda_3q_2\mu_1\mu_2}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\mu_i'q_i'r_{i2}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$P(0,0,0 \dots, 0)$  is obtained by normalizing condition

$$\left[ \sum_{n_i, m, n, r=0}^{\infty} P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m; m, n, r) \right] = 1 \quad \dots (3)$$

Equations (2) and (3) gives

$$P(0,0, \dots, 0) = (1 - \rho_1)(1 - \rho_2)(1 - \rho_3) \left[ \prod_{i=1}^M (1 - \rho_i') \right]$$

With the restriction that

$\rho_i' (i = 1, 2, \dots, M); \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3 < 1$ . Thus

$$P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m; m, n, r) = (\rho_1)^m (\rho_2)^n (\rho_3)^r \left[ \prod_{i=1}^M (\rho_i')^{n_i} \right] \times \left[ \prod_{i=1}^M (1 - \rho_i') \right] (1 - \rho_1) \times (1 - \rho_2) \times (1 - \rho_3)$$

where  $n_i, m, n, r \geq 0$

**Steady State Marginal Probabilities:** Let  $P(n_i)$  be steady state marginal probabilities that there are  $n_i$  units before server  $S_i$ . This is determined as

$$P(n_i) = \sum P(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n, r)$$

Where summation is taken over  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_M; m, n, r$  each varying from 0 to  $\infty$ .

Thus  $P(n_i) = (1 - \rho_i')(\rho_i')^{n_i}$ ,

$$n_i \geq 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, M \quad \dots (4)$$

Similarly  $P(m), P(n)$  and  $P(r)$  are given by

$$P(m) = (1 - \rho_1)(\rho_1)^m \quad ; m \geq 0$$

$$P(n) = (1 - \rho_2)(\rho_2)^n \quad ; n \geq 0$$

$$P(r) = (1 - \rho_3)(\rho_3)^r \quad ; r \geq 0$$

**Mean Queue Length:** The marginal mean queue length before server is found by using (4) in formula

$$L_i' = \left[ \sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} n_i P(n_i) \right] = \left[ \frac{\rho_i'}{1 - \rho_i'} \right] \quad ; (i = 1, 2, \dots, M)$$

Similarly  $L_1, L_2$  and  $L_3$  are given by

$$L_1 = \left[ \frac{\rho_1}{1 - \rho_1} \right]$$

$$L_2 = \left[ \frac{\rho_2}{1 - \rho_2} \right]$$

$$L_3 = \left[ \frac{\rho_3}{1 - \rho_3} \right]$$

And mean queue length  $L$  of system is given by

$$L = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^M \frac{\rho_i'}{1 - \rho_i'} \right] + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\rho_j}{1 - \rho_j} \right]$$

**Numerical Solution for Mean Queue Length: Table: I**

Ind ex (i)	Arriv al rate ( $\lambda_i'$ ) Per hour	Servi ce Rate ( $\mu_i'$ ) Per hour	Renegi ng Rate ( $\alpha_i'$ ) Per hour	Arriv al Rate ( $\lambda_i$ ) Per hour	Servi ce Rate ( $\mu_i$ ) Per hour	Renegi ng Rate ( $\alpha_i$ ) Per hour
1	10	13	5	9	12	6
2	12	16	7	11	15	5
3	14	17	8	14	16	7

**Table: II**

Inde x (i)	Probabili ty of leaving the server $S_i'$ ( $p_i'$ )	Probabili ty of joining the server $S_1$ ( $q_i'$ )	Probabili ty of joining the server $S_i'$ after serviced by $S_2$ ( $r_{i2}$ )	probabili ty of joining the server $S_i'$ after serviced by $S_3$ ( $p_{i3}$ )
1	0.85	0.15	0.075	0.10
2	0.75	0.25	0.1	0.06
3	0.90	0.10	0.075	0.17

Values of remaining probabilities are as follows:

$$q_1 = 0.5, q_2 = 0.3, q_3 = 0.2;$$

$$r_1 = 0.65, r_2 = 0.1;$$

$$p_1 = 0.5, p_2 = 0.17;$$

such that

$$p_i' + q_i' = 1, (i = 1, 2, 3); q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = 1;$$

$$r_1 + r_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 r_{i2} = 1; p_1 + p_2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 p_{i3} = 1$$

$$\rho_i' = \frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i' + \alpha_i'} + \frac{N_i'}{D(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \quad ; (i = 1, 2, 3)$$

$$N_i' = r_{i2}\mu_2[\lambda_3p_2\mu_3 + \lambda_2(\mu_3 + \alpha_3)] + p_{i3}\mu_3[r_2\mu_2\lambda_2 + \lambda_3(\mu_2 + \alpha_2)] + \left[ \frac{r_{i2}\mu_2\mu_1p_2q_3\mu_3 + \mu_1q_2(\mu_3 + \alpha_3)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] + \left[ \frac{p_{i3}\mu_3\mu_1\mu_2q_2r_2 + \mu_1q_3(\mu_2 + \alpha_2)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i'q_i'\lambda_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$+ (r_{i2}\mu_2) \left[ \frac{\lambda_3\mu_1\mu_3q_2 - \mu_1\mu_3q_3\lambda_2}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i'q_i'p_{i3}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$+ (p_{i3}\mu_3) \left[ \frac{\mu_1\mu_2q_3\lambda_2 - \mu_1\mu_2q_3\lambda_3}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i'q_i'r_{i2}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right] \text{ where } i = (1, 2, 3)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' p_{i3}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} = 0.03276$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' r_{i2}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} = 0.0306$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' \lambda_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} = 4.1223$$

$$N_1' = 327.465 + 474.4 + 101.134 + 0.683 - 0.9792 = 902.703$$

$$N_2' = 436.62 + 284.64 + 102.747 + 0.911 - 0.5875 = 824.3315$$

$$N_3' = 327.465 + 806.48 + 101.409 + 0.683 - 1.665 = 1234.372$$

$$D = (\mu_2 + \alpha_2)(\mu_3 + \alpha_3) - p_2 r_2 \mu_2 \mu_3 - \left[ \frac{\mu_1 \mu_3 q_3 (\mu_2 + \alpha_2) - \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 r_2 q_2}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' r_{i2}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$= 460 - 4.08 - 1.159 = 454.761$$

$$N_1 = [\lambda_3 p_2 \mu_3 + \lambda_2 (\mu_3 + \alpha_3)] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' r_{i2} \mu_2}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$+ [r_2 \mu_2 \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 (\mu_2 + \alpha_2)]$$

$$\times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' p_{i3} \mu_3}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$+ \left\{ \left[ \frac{\mu_1 q_3 \mu_3 p_2 + \mu_1 q_2 (\mu_3 + \alpha_3)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \right.$$

$$\times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' r_{i2} \mu_2}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{\mu_1 q_2 \mu_2 r_2 + \mu_1 q_3 (\mu_2 + \alpha_2)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' p_{i3} \mu_3}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right] \left. \right\}$$

$$\times \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' \lambda_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$= 133.61 + 155.413 + [2.278 + 1.555] \times 13.1223 = 339.321$$

$$N_2 = \lambda_3 p_2 \mu_3 + \lambda_2 (\mu_3 + \alpha_3) + \left[ \frac{\lambda_3 q_2 \mu_3 \mu_1 - \lambda_2 \mu_1 \mu_3 q_3}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' p_{i3}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{\mu_1 p_2 q_3 \mu_2 + \mu_1 q_2 (\mu_3 + \alpha_3)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' \lambda_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$= 38.08 + 253 + 0.699 + 65.122 = 356.901$$

$$N_3 = \lambda_3 (\mu_2 + \alpha_2) + r_2 \mu_2 \lambda_2 + \left[ \frac{\mu_1 \mu_2 r_2 q_2 + \mu_1 q_3 (\mu_2 + \alpha_2)}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right]$$

$$\times \left[ \lambda_1 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' \lambda_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{\lambda_2 q_3 \mu_1 \mu_2 - \lambda_3 q_2 \mu_1 \mu_2}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} \right] \times \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\mu_i' q_i' r_{i2}}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \right]$$

$$= 280 + 16.5 + 38.929 - 0.612 = 334.817$$

$$\rho_i' = \frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i' + \alpha_i'} + \frac{N_i'}{D(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')} \quad ; i = 1,2,3$$

$$\rho_1' = \frac{\lambda_1'}{\mu_1' + \alpha_1'} + \frac{N_1'}{D(\mu_1' + \alpha_1')} = 0.56 + 0.11 = 0.67$$

$$\rho_2' = \frac{\lambda_2'}{\mu_2' + \alpha_2'} + \frac{N_2'}{D(\mu_2' + \alpha_2')} = 0.52 + 0.08 = 0.60$$

$$\rho_3' = \frac{\lambda_3'}{\mu_3' + \alpha_3'} + \frac{N_3'}{D(\mu_3' + \alpha_3')} = 0.56 + 0.11 = 0.67$$

$$\rho_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\lambda_i' q_i' \mu_i'}{(\mu_i' + \alpha_i')}}{(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} + \frac{N_1}{D(\mu_1 + \alpha_1)} = 0.5 + 0.23 + 0.04 = 0.77$$

$$\rho_2 = \frac{N_2}{D} = 0.78; \rho_3 = \frac{N_3}{D} = 0.74$$

$$L_1' = \left[ \frac{\rho_1'}{1 - \rho_1'} \right] = 2.03;$$

$$L_2' = \left[ \frac{\rho_2'}{1 - \rho_2'} \right] = 1.5;$$

$$L_3' = \left[ \frac{\rho_3'}{1 - \rho_3'} \right] = 2.03$$

$$L_1 = \left[ \frac{\rho_1}{1 - \rho_1} \right] = 3.35$$

$$L_2 = \left[ \frac{\rho_2}{1 - \rho_2} \right] = 3.55$$

$$L_3 = \left[ \frac{\rho_3}{1 - \rho_3} \right] = 2.85$$

Mean queue length  $L$  of system is given by

$$L = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\rho_i'}{1 - \rho_i'} \right] + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\rho_j}{1 - \rho_j} \right] = 15.31 \approx 15$$

**Conclusion:** The mean queue length of this system is found to be 15. Mean queue length can be controlled by giving higher service rates. During calculations it is observed that mean queue length depends upon probabilities  $q_i'$  of joining the server  $S_1$  after service from  $S_i'$ . Queue length can be controlled if chances of joining server  $S_1$  are less i.e. units serviced by  $S_i'$  leave the system.

**References:**

1. K.K.Suresh, K.Usha, Bayesian Double Sampling Plan Using Minimum Risk; Mathematical Sciences international Research Journal ISSN 2278 – 8697 Vol 3 Issue 2 (2014), Pg 610-612
2. Barrer, D.Y. (1955): A waiting line characterized by impatient customers and indifferent clerk. Journal of operations research society of America.3, 360.
3. Keerthi G.Mirajkar, Priyanka Y.B, on Equitable Coloring of Plick and Lict Graphs; Mathematical Sciences international Research Journal : ISSN 2278-8697 Volume 4 Issue 2 (2015), Pg 116-120
4. Hunt, J.C. (1955): Sequential arrays of waiting lines. Opps. Res. Vol 40, no. (6).
5. R. Franklin Richard ,N.Gnana Dhas, Algorithmic Aspects of Continuous Three-Step; Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal ISSN 2278 – 8697 Vol 3 Issue 1 (2014), Pg 84-86
6. Jackson, R.R.P. (1954): Queuing system with phase type service. Operat. Res. Quart. 5, 109-120.
7. Kelly, F.P. (1979): Reversibility and stochastic networks. Wiley, New York.
8. Dr. Manisha Sharma, Dr. Mudit Bansal, Evaluation and Developed Algorithm for Task; Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal ISSN 2278 – 8697 Vol 3 Issue 1 (2014), Pg 109-114
9. Maggu, P.L. (1970):Phase type service queues with two servers in biserries,J.O.R.Soc. Japan Vol. 13,
10. Misha.M.S, Generalization of Riemann integral Based on Generalized G- Operations; Mathematical Sciences international Research Journal : ISSN 2278-8697 Volume 4 Issue 2 (2015), Pg 50-54
11. Nelson, R.T.(1958): Waiting time distributions for applications to a series of service centre's. Opns. Res., Vol. 6,pp. 856-62.
12. Manoj Solanki , Kailash Namdeo,Rajesh Shrivastava,Manoj Sharma, Common Fixed Point theorems for S-Weakly; Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal ISSN 2278 – 8697 Vol 3 Issue 1 (2014), Pg 87-90
13. O' Brien, G.C. (1954): The solution of some queuing problems. J. Soc. Ind.Appl. Math., Vol. 2, pp. 132-142.
14. R. Sivaraj, B. Rushi Kumar,J. Prakash, Two-Phase Magnetohydrodynamic Flow and Heat; Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal ISSN 2278 – 8697 Vol 3 Issue 1 (2014), Pg 91-95
15. Singh, Man (1984) : Steady state behaviour of serial queuing processes with impatient customers. Math, Operationsforsch. U. statist. Ser. 15(2) pp. 289-298.
16. T.N.Kavitha, A.Jayalakshmi, Rectangular Near – Idempotent Semigroup; Mathematical Sciences international Research Journal ISSN 2278 – 8697 Vol 3 Issue 2 (2014), Pg 607-609
17. Vikram and Singh, Man(2001): Steady state solution of serial and non-serial queuing processes with reneging balking and feedback phenomenon. App. Sci. Per.Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 113-17.
18. Vinod Mahurpawar, Bianchi Type –I Model Cosmic Strings Coupled With Perfect Fluid to in Bimetric Relativity Ii; Mathematical Sciences international Research Journal ISSN 2278 – 8697 Vol 4 Issue 1 (2015), Pg 38-40

\*\*\*

Ms. Rajvinder Kaur/Assistant Professor/ M.M. Modi College Patiala/