

THIRD ZAGREB INDICES AND COINDICES OF GENERALIZED TRANSFORMATION GRAPHS AND THEIR COMPLEMENTS

B. BASAVANAGOUD, VEENA R. DESAI

Abstract: In this paper, the expressions for third Zagreb indices and coindices of generalized transformation graphs G^{ab} and their complement graphs $\overline{G^{ab}}$ are obtained.

Keywords: Generalized transformation graphs G^{ab} , Zagreb index, Zagreb coindex.

Introduction: Let G be a simple, undirected graph with n vertices and m edges. Let $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ be the vertex set and edge set of G respectively. If u and v are adjacent vertices of G , then the edge connecting them will be denoted by uv . The degree of a vertex u in G is the number of edges incident to it and is denoted by $d_G(u)$. The complement of G , denoted by \overline{G} , is a graph having the same vertex set as G , in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in G . Thus, the size of \overline{G} is $\binom{n}{2} - m$ and $d_{\overline{G}}(v) = n - 1 - d_G(v)$ holds for all $v \in V(G)$. For terminology not defined here we refer the reader to [5].

In theoretical chemistry, the physico-chemical properties of chemical compounds are often modeled by means of molecular-graph-based structure-descriptors, which are also referred to as topological indices [4], [8]. The first and the second Zagreb indices, respectively, defined

$$M_1(G) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u)^2 \text{ and}$$

$$M_2(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} d_G(u)d_G(v)$$

are widely studied degree-based topological indices, that were introduced by Gutman and Trinajstić [3] in 1972.

In [2], G. H. Fath-Tabar introduced a new Zagreb index of a graph G named as “third Zagreb index” and is defined as:

$$M_3(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |d_G(u) - d_G(v)|.$$

Recently, Veylaki et al. [9] introduced third Zagreb coindex and is defined as:

$$\overline{M}_3(G) = \sum_{uv \notin E(G)} |d_G(u) - d_G(v)|.$$

The following earlier established results will be needed for the present considerations.

Theorem 1.1 [9] *Let G be a simple graph. Then*

$$\overline{M}_3(G) = M_3(\overline{G}).$$

Theorem 1.2 [9] *Let G be a simple graph. Then*

$$\overline{M}_3(\overline{G}) = M_3(G).$$

Generalized transformation graphs G^{ab} : The semitotal-point graph $T_2(G)$ of a graph G is a graph whose vertex set is $V(T_2(G)) = V(G) \cup E(G)$ and two vertices are adjacent in $T_2(G)$ if and only if (i) they are adjacent vertices of G or (ii) one is a vertex of G and other is an edge of G incident with it. It was introduced by Sampathkumar and Chikkodimath [7]. Recently some new graphical transformations were

defined by Basavanagoud et al. [1], which generalizes the concept of semitotal-point graph.

The generalized transformation graph G^{ab} is a graph whose vertex set is $V(G) \cup E(G)$, and $\alpha, \beta \in V(G^{ab})$. The vertices α and β are adjacent in G^{ab} if and only if (*) and (**) holds: (*) $\alpha, \beta \in V(G)$, α, β are adjacent in G if $a = +$ and α, β are not adjacent in G if $a = -$. (**) $\alpha \in V(G)$ and $\beta \in E(G)$, α, β are incident in G if $b = +$ and α, β are not incident in G if $b = -$.

One can obtain the four graphical transformations of graphs as G^{++}, G^{+-}, G^{-+} and G^{--} . The vertex v_i of G^{ab} corresponding to a vertex v_i of G is referred to as *point vertex* and vertex e_i of G^{ab} corresponding to an edge e_i of G is referred to as *line vertex*.

In [1], we obtained the expressions for first and second Zagreb indices and coindices for generalized transformation graphs G^{ab} and their complements $\overline{G^{ab}}$. Now we obtain the expressions for third Zagreb indices and coindices for generalized transformation graphs G^{ab} and their complements $\overline{G^{ab}}$.

Proposition 2.1 [1] *Let G be a (n, m) -graph. Then the degree of point and line vertices in G^{ab} are*

1. $d_{G^{++}}(v_i) = 2d_G(v_i)$ and $d_{G^{++}}(e_i) = 2$.
2. $d_{G^{+-}}(v_i) = m$ and $d_{G^{+-}}(e_i) = n - 2$.
3. $d_{G^{-+}}(v_i) = n - 1$ and $d_{G^{-+}}(e_i) = 2$.
4. $d_{G^{--}}(v_i) = n + m - 1 - 2d_G(v_i)$ and $d_{G^{--}}(e_i) = n - 2$.

Proposition 2.2 [6] *Let G be a (n, m) -graph. Then the degree of point and line vertices in $\overline{G^{ab}}$ are*

1. $d_{\overline{G^{++}}}(v_i) = n + m - 1 - 2d_G(v_i)$ and $d_{\overline{G^{++}}}(e_i) = n + m - 3$.
2. $d_{\overline{G^{+-}}}(v_i) = n - 1$ and $d_{\overline{G^{+-}}}(e_i) = m + 1$.
3. $d_{\overline{G^{-+}}}(v_i) = m$ and $d_{\overline{G^{-+}}}(e_i) = n + m - 3$.
4. $d_{\overline{G^{--}}}(v_i) = 2d_G(v_i)$ and $d_{\overline{G^{--}}}(e_i) = m + 1$.

Results:

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $M_3(G^{++}) \leq 2M_3(G) + 4m + 2M_1(G)$.*

Proof. Partition the edge set $E(G^{++})$ into subsets E_1 and E_2 , where $E_1 = \{uv | uv \in E(G)\}$ and $E_2 = \{ue | \text{the vertex } u \text{ is incident to the edge } e \text{ in } G\}$. It is easy to check that $|E_1| = m$ and $|E_2| = 2m$.

$$M_3(G^{++}) = \sum_{uv \in E(G^{++})} |d_{G^{++}}(u) - d_{G^{++}}(v)|$$

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |d_{G^{++}}(u) - d_{G^{++}}(v)| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |d_{G^{++}}(u) - d_{G^{++}}(e)|$$

By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |2d_G(u) - 2d_G(v)| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |2 - 2d_G(u)|$$

$$\leq 2M_3(G) + \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u)(|2| + |2d_G(u)|)$$

$$M_3(G^{++}) \leq 2M_3(G) + 4m + 2M_1(G).$$

Theorem 3.2 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

$$M_3(\overline{G^{++}}) \leq 2\overline{M_3}(G) + 2m(n - 2) + 4m^2 - 2M_1(G).$$

Proof. Partition the edge set $E(\overline{G^{++}})$ into subsets E_1, E_2 and E_3 , where

$E_1 = \{uv | uv \notin E(G)\}$, $E_2 = \{ue | \text{the vertex } u \text{ is not incident to the edge } e \text{ in } G\}$ and

$E_3 = \{ef | e, f \in E(G)\}$. It is easy to check that $|E_1| = \binom{n}{2} - m$, $|E_2| = m(n - 2)$ and $|E_3| = \binom{m}{2}$.

$$M_3(\overline{G^{++}}) = \sum_{uv \in E(\overline{G^{++}})} |d_{\overline{G^{++}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{++}}}(v)|$$

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |d_{\overline{G^{++}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{++}}}(v)| +$$

$$\sum_{ue \in E_2} |d_{\overline{G^{++}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{++}}}(e)| + \sum_{ef \in E_3} |d_{\overline{G^{++}}}(e) - d_{\overline{G^{++}}}(f)|$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$= \sum_{uv \notin E(G)} |n + m - 1 - 2d_G(u) - (n + m - 1 - 2d_G(v))| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |n + m - 1 - 2d_G(u) - n - m + 3| + \sum_{ef \in E_3} |n + m - 3 - n - m + 3|$$

$$= \sum_{uv \notin E(G)} |-2d_G(u) + 2d_G(v)| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |2 - 2d_G(u)|$$

$$= 2\overline{M_3}(G) + \sum_{u \in V(G)} (m - d_G(u))(2 - 2d_G(u))$$

$$\leq 2\overline{M_3}(G) + \sum_{u \in V(G)} (m - d_G(u))(2 + |2d_G(u)|)$$

$$M_3(\overline{G^{++}}) \leq 2\overline{M_3}(G) + 2m(n - 2) + 4m^2 - 2M_1(G).$$

Theorem 3.3 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

$$\overline{M_3}(G^{++}) \leq 2\overline{M_3}(G) + 2m(n - 2) + 4m^2 - 2M_1(G).$$

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.4 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $\overline{M_3}(G^{++}) \leq 2M_3(G) + 4m + 2M_1(G)$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.5 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $M_3(G^{+-}) = m(n - 2)|m - n + 2|$.

Proof. Partition the edge set $E(G^{+-})$ into subsets E_1 and E_2 , where

$E_1 = \{uv | uv \in E(G)\}$ and $E_2 = \{ue | \text{the vertex } u \text{ is not incident to the edge } e \text{ in } G\}$. It is easy to check that $|E_1| = m$ and $|E_2| = m(n - 2)$.

$$M_3(G^{+-}) = \sum_{uv \in E(G^{+-})} |d_{G^{+-}}(u) - d_{G^{+-}}(v)|$$

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |d_{G^{+-}}(u) - d_{G^{+-}}(v)| +$$

$$\sum_{ue \in E_2} |d_{G^{+-}}(u) - d_{G^{+-}}(e)|$$

In view of Proposition 2.1, we have

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |m - m| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |m - (n - 2)|$$

$$M_3(G^{+-}) = m(n - 2)|m - n + 2|.$$

Theorem 3.6 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $M_3(\overline{G^{+-}}) = 2m|n - m - 2|$.

Proof. Partition the edge set $E(\overline{G^{+-}})$ into subsets E_1, E_2 and E_3 , where

$E_1 = \{uv | uv \notin E(G)\}$, $E_2 = \{ue | \text{the vertex } u \text{ is incident to the edge } e \text{ in } G\}$ and $E_3 = \{ef | e, f \in E(G)\}$. It is easy to check that $|E_1| = \binom{n}{2} - m$, $|E_2| = 2m$ and $|E_3| = \binom{m}{2}$.

$$M_3(\overline{G^{+-}}) = \sum_{uv \in E(\overline{G^{+-}})} |d_{\overline{G^{+-}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{+-}}}(v)|$$

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |d_{\overline{G^{+-}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{+-}}}(v)| +$$

$$\sum_{ue \in E_2} |d_{\overline{G^{+-}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{+-}}}(e)| + \sum_{ef \in E_3} |d_{\overline{G^{+-}}}(e) - d_{\overline{G^{+-}}}(f)|$$

In view of Proposition 2.2, we have

$$= \sum_{uv \notin E(G)} |n - 1 - (n - 1)| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |n - 1 - (m + 1)| + \sum_{ef \in E_3} |m + 1 - (m + 1)|$$

$$= \sum_{ue \in E_2} |n - m - 2|$$

$$M_3(\overline{G^{+-}}) = 2m|n - m - 2|.$$

Theorem 3.7 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $\overline{M_3}(G^{+-}) = 2m|n - m - 2|$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.8 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $\overline{M_3}(\overline{G^{+-}}) = m(n - 2)|m - n + 2|$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.9 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $M_3(G^{-+}) = 2m|n - 3|$.

Proof. Partition the edge set $E(G^{-+})$ into subsets E_1 and E_2 , where

$E_1 = \{uv | uv \notin E(G)\}$ and $E_2 = \{ue | \text{the vertex } u \text{ is incident to the edge } e \text{ in } G\}$. It is easy to check that $|E_1| = \binom{n}{2} - m$ and $|E_2| = 2m$.

$$M_3(G^{-+}) = \sum_{uv \in E(G^{-+})} |d_{G^{-+}}(u) - d_{G^{-+}}(v)|$$

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |d_{G^{-+}}(u) - d_{G^{-+}}(v)| +$$

$$\sum_{ue \in E_2} |d_{G^{-+}}(u) - d_{G^{-+}}(e)|$$

From Proposition 2.1, we have

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |n - 1 - (n - 1)| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |n - 1 - 2|$$

$$M_3(G^{-+}) = 2m|n - 3|.$$

Theorem 3.10 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $M_3(\overline{G^{-+}}) = m(n - 2)|3 - n|$.

Proof. Partition the edge set $E(\overline{G^{-+}})$ into subsets E_1, E_2 and E_3 , where

$E_1 = \{uv | uv \in E(G)\}$, $E_2 = \{ue | \text{the vertex } u \text{ is not incident to the edge } e \text{ in } G\}$ and

$E_3 = \{ef | e, f \in E(G)\}$. It is easy to check that $|E_1| = m$, $|E_2| = m(n - 2)$ and $|E_3| = \binom{m}{2}$.

$$M_3(\overline{G^{-+}}) = \sum_{uv \in E(\overline{G^{-+}})} |d_{\overline{G^{-+}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{-+}}}(v)|$$

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |d_{\overline{G^{-+}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{-+}}}(v)| +$$

$$\sum_{ue \in E_2} |d_{\overline{G^{-+}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{-+}}}(e)| + \sum_{ef \in E_3} |d_{\overline{G^{-+}}}(e) - d_{\overline{G^{-+}}}(f)|$$

From Proposition 2.2, we have

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |m - m| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |m - (n + m - 3)| + \sum_{ef \in E_3} |n + m - 3 - (n + m - 3)| = \sum_{ue \in E_2} |3 - n|$$

$$M_3(\overline{G^{++}}) = m(n - 2)|3 - n|.$$

Theorem 3.11 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $\overline{M_3(G^{++})} = m(n - 2)|3 - n|$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 3.10.

Theorem 3.12 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then $\overline{M_3(G^{++})} = 2m|n - 3|$.

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 3.9.

Theorem 3.13 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

$$M_3(G^{--}) \leq 2\overline{M_3(G)} + m(m + 1)(n - 2) + 4m^2 - 2M_1(G).$$

Proof. Partition the edge set $E(G^{--})$ into subsets E_1 and E_2 , where $E_1 = \{uv | uv \notin E(G)\}$ and $E_2 = \{ue | \text{the vertex } u \text{ is not incident to the edge } e \text{ in } G\}$. It is easy to check that $|E_1| = \binom{n}{2} - m$ and $|E_2| = m(n - 2)$.

$$M_3(G^{--}) = \sum_{uv \in E(G^{--})} |d_{G^{--}}(u) - d_{G^{--}}(v)|$$

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |d_{G^{--}}(u) - d_{G^{--}}(v)| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |d_{G^{--}}(u) - d_{G^{--}}(e)|$$

In view of Proposition 2.1, we have

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |n + m - 1 - 2d_G(u) - (n + m - 1 - 2d_G(v))| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |n + m - 1 - 2d_G(u) - (n - 2)|$$

$$\leq 2\overline{M_3(G)} + \sum_{u \in V(G)} (m - d_G(u))(|m + 1| + |2d_G(u)|)$$

$$M_3(G^{--}) \leq 2\overline{M_3(G)} + m(m + 1)(n - 2) + 4m^2 - 2M_1(G).$$

References :

1. B. Basavanagoud, I. Gutman, V. R. Desai, Zagreb indices of generalized transformation graphs and their complements, *Kragujevac J. Sci.* **37** (2015) 99-112.
2. G. H. Fath-Tabar, Old and new Zagreb indices of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **65** (2011) 79-84.
3. I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **17** (1972) 535-538.
4. H. R. Ghatge, Atish S. Sontakke, Sanjay A. Salve, N-Dimensional Kaluza-Klein Dust Filled Universe; *Mathematical Sciences International Research Journal* ISSN 2278 - 8697 Vol 3 Issue 1 (2014), Pg 34-38

Theorem 3.14 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

$$M_3(\overline{G^{--}}) \leq 2M_3(G) + 2M_1(G) + (m + 1)2m.$$

Proof. Partition the edge set $E(\overline{G^{--}})$ into subsets E_1 , E_2 and E_3 , where

$E_1 = \{uv | uv \in E(G)\}$, $E_2 = \{ue | \text{the vertex } u \text{ is incident to the edge } e \text{ in } G\}$ and $E_3 = \{ef | e, f \in E(G)\}$. It is easy to check that $|E_1| = m$, $|E_2| = 2m$ and $|E_3| = \binom{m}{2}$.

$$M_3(\overline{G^{--}}) = \sum_{uv \in E(\overline{G^{--}})} |d_{\overline{G^{--}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{--}}}(v)|$$

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |d_{\overline{G^{--}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{--}}}(v)| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |d_{\overline{G^{--}}}(u) - d_{\overline{G^{--}}}(e)| + \sum_{ef \in E_3} |d_{\overline{G^{--}}}(e) - d_{\overline{G^{--}}}(f)|$$

In view of Proposition 2.2, we have

$$= \sum_{uv \in E_1} |2d_G(u) - 2d_G(v)| + \sum_{ue \in E_2} |2d_G(u) - (m + 1)| + \sum_{ef \in E_3} |m + 1 - (m + 1)|$$

$$= 2M_3(G) + \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u)(|2d_G(u) - (m + 1)|)$$

$$M_3(\overline{G^{--}}) \leq 2M_3(G) + 2M_1(G) + (m + 1)2m.$$

Theorem 3.15 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

$$\overline{M_3(G^{--})} \leq 2M_3(G) + 2M_1(G) + (m + 1)2m.$$

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 3.14.

Theorem 3.16 Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then

$$\overline{M_3(\overline{G^{--}})} \leq 2\overline{M_3(G)} + m(m + 1)(n - 2) + 4m^2 - 2M_1(G).$$

Proof. The proof of the theorem follows from Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 3.13.

Acknowledgement: This Research Is Supported By Ugc- National Fellowship (Nf) New Delhi. No. F./2014-15/Nfo-2014-15-Obc-Kar-25873/(Sa-lit/Website) Dated: March-2015.

B. Basavanagoud/ Department of Mathematics/Professor/ Karnataka University/ Dharwad/
Veena R. Desai/ Department of Mathematics/ Research Scholar/ Karnataka University/ Dharwad/