

**PAIRWISE INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY REGULAR VOLTERRA SPACES**

**I. AROCKIARANI, S. SHARMILA**

**Abstract:** The aim of this paper is to introduce the concepts of pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy regular Volterra spaces and pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy weakly regular Volterra space. In this regard, the notion of pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy regular  $G_\delta$  – set and  $F_\sigma$  – set is introduced. Using it, we investigate several characterizations of pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy regular Volterra spaces and weakly regular Volterra spaces.

**Keywords:** Intuitionistic fuzzy dense set, pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy regular  $G_\delta$  – set, pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy regular  $F_\sigma$  – set, pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy volterra space.

**1. Introduction:** The usual notion of set topology was generalised with the introduction of fuzzy topology by C.L.Chang[7] based on the concept of fuzzy sets invented by L.A.Zadeh[14]. Today fuzzy topology has been firmly established as one of the basic disciplines of fuzzy mathematics. In 1989, A. Kandil [10] introduced the concept of fuzzy bitopological spaces. The concepts of Volterra spaces have been studied extensively in classical topology in [11,12,13]. The concept of Volterra spaces in intuitionistic fuzzy setting was introduced by Sharmila.S and Arockiarani [13]. In this paper, the concepts of pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy regular  $G_\delta$  – set and  $F_\sigma$  – set are introduced and studied. By means of this we introduce the concepts of pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy regular volterra spaces and pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy weakly regular volterra space.

**2. Preliminaries:**

**Definition 2.1** [2].An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS, in short) A in X is an object having the form  $A = \{x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) / x \in X\}$  where the functions  $\mu_A : X \rightarrow I$  and  $\nu_A : X \rightarrow I$  denote the degree of membership (namely  $\mu_A(x)$ ) and the degree of non-membership (namely  $\nu_A(x)$ ) of each element  $x \in X$  to the set A on a nonempty set X and  $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$  for each  $x \in X$ . Obviously every fuzzy set A on a nonempty set X is an IFS's A and B be in the form  $A = \{x, \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x) / x \in X\}$

**Definition 2.2** [2].Let X be a nonempty set and the IFS's A and B be in the form  $A = \{x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) / x \in X\}$ ,  $B = \{x, \mu_B(x), \nu_B(x) / x \in X\}$  and let  $A = \{A_j : j \in J\}$  be an arbitrary family of IFS's in X. Then we define

(i)  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if  $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$  and  $\nu_A(x) \geq \nu_B(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(ii)  $A=B$  if and only if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ .

(iii)  $\bar{A} = \{x, \nu_A(x), \mu_A(x) / x \in X\}$ .

(iv)  $A \cap B = \{x, \mu_A(x) \cap \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \cup \nu_B(x) / x \in X\}$

$A \cup B = \{x, \mu_A(x) \cup \mu_B(x), \nu_A(x) \cap \nu_B(x) / x \in X\}$   $1_- = \{\langle x, 1, 0 \rangle x \in X\}$  and  $0_- = \{\langle x, 0, 1 \rangle x \in X\}$ .

**Definition 2.3** [7].An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT, in short) on a nonempty set X is a family  $\tau$  of an intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS, in short) in X satisfying the following axioms:

(i)  $0_-, 1_- \in \tau$ .

(ii)  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau$  for any  $A_1, A_2 \in \tau$ .

(iii)  $\cup A_j \in \tau$  for any  $A_j : j \in J \subseteq \tau$ .

The complement  $\bar{A}$  of intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS, in short) in intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS, in short)  $(X, \tau)$  is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS, in short).

**Definition 2.4** [7].Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an IFTS and  $A = \{x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)\}$  be an IFS in X. Then the fuzzy interior and closure of A are denoted by

(i)  $cl(A) = \bigcap \{K : K \text{ is an IFCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}$ .

(ii)  $int(A) = \bigcup \{G : G \text{ is an IFOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$ .

**Definition 2.5** [7]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be any IFTS. Let  $A$  be an IFSs in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then the intuitionistic fuzzy closure operator satisfy the following properties:

- (i)  $1 - IFcl(A) = IFint(1 - A)$
- (ii)  $1 - IFint(A) = IFcl(1 - A)$

**Definition 2.6** [6]. An IFS  $A$  in an intuitionistic fuzzy bitopological space (IFBTS, in short)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a *pairwise IF regular open set* in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  if  $IFint_{\tau_1} IFcl_{\tau_2}(A) = A = IFint_{\tau_2} IFcl_{\tau_1}(A)$ .

**Definition 2.7** [6]. An IFS  $A$  in an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a *pairwise IF regular closed set* in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  if  $IFcl_{\tau_1} IFint_{\tau_2}(A) = A = IFcl_{\tau_2} IFint_{\tau_1}(A)$ .

**Definition 2.8** [8]. An IFS  $A$  in IFTS  $(X, \tau)$  is called *intuitionistic fuzzy dense* if there exists no IFCS  $B$  in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subseteq B \subseteq 1_{\sim}$ .

**Definition 2.9** [8]. If  $A$  is an *intuitionistic fuzzy nowhere dense set* in  $(X, \tau)$  then  $\overline{A}$  is an IF dense set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.10** [8]. Let  $A$  be an IFS in  $(X, \tau)$ . If  $A$  is an IFCS in  $(X, \tau)$  with  $IFint(A) = 0_{\sim}$ , then  $A$  is an *intuitionistic fuzzy nowhere dense set* in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 2.11** [8]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an IFTS. An IFS  $A$  in  $(X, \tau)$  is called *intuitionistic fuzzy first category* if  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$ , where  $B_i$ 's are intuitionistic fuzzy nowhere dense sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Any other IFS in  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be of *intuitionistic fuzzy second category*.

**Definition 2.12** [8]. An IFTS  $(X, \tau)$  is called *intuitionistic fuzzy first category* if the IFS  $1_{\sim}$  is an *intuitionistic fuzzy first category* in  $(X, \tau)$ . That is  $1_{\sim} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ , where  $A_i$ 's are IF nowhere dense sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . otherwise  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be of *intuitionistic fuzzy second category*. If  $A$  is an IF first category set in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $1 - A$  is called *IF residual set* in  $(X, \tau)$

**Definition 2.13** [2]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a FTS and be a fuzzy set in  $X$ . Then  $\lambda$  is called fuzzy  $G_{\delta}$  if  $\lambda = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i$  where each  $\lambda_i \in T$ .

**Definition 2.14** [2]. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a FTS and be a fuzzy set in  $X$ . Then  $\lambda$  is called fuzzy  $F_{\sigma}$  if  $\lambda = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i$  where each  $\lambda_i \in T$ .

**Definition 2.15** [13]. An intuitionistic fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called IFVolterra space, if  $IFcl(\bigcap_{i=1}^N A_i) = 1_{\sim}$  where  $A_i$ 's are IFdense and IF  $G_{\delta}$  - sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**3. Pairwise if regular  $G_{\delta}$  - sets and  $F_{\sigma}$  - sets**

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be IFBTS. An IFS  $A$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a *pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  - set* if  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFint_{\tau_i} (IFcl_{\tau_j} (A_k)))$ , ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ), where  $A_k$ 's are IFSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be IFBTS. An IFS  $A$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a *pairwise IF regular  $F_{\sigma}$  - set* if  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFcl_{\tau_i} (IFint_{\tau_j} (A_k)))$ , ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ), where  $A_k$ 's are IFSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** If  $A$  is a pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  - set in an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  if and only if  $1 - A$  is a *pairwise IF regular  $F_{\sigma}$  - set* in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  - set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  then, ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ), where  $A_k$ 's are IFSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

$A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (IFcl_{\tau_j} (A_k)))$ , ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ), where  $A_k$ 's are IFs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Now

$$1 - A = 1 - \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (IFcl_{\tau_j} (A_k))) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (IFcl_{\tau_j} (A_k))) = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - IFcl_{\tau_i} (IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} (1 - A_k))).$$

$B_k = 1 - A_k$ . Hence

$1 - A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} (1 - A_k))$ , ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ) implies that  $1 - A$  is a pairwise IF regular  $F_{\sigma}$  - set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

Conversely, let  $A$  be a pairwise IF regular  $F_{\sigma}$  - set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

$A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFcl_{\tau_i} (IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} (A_k)))$ , ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ), where  $A_k$ 's are IFs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Now

$$1 - A = 1 - \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} (A_k)) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - IFcl_{\tau_i} IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} (A_k)) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} (1 - A_k)).$$

Let  $B_k = 1 - A_k$ . Hence

$1 - A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} (IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j} (A_k))$  ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ) implies that  $1 - A$  is a pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  - set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an IFBTS

(i) If  $A$  is a pairwise IFOS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (A)$ , ( $i=1,2$ ) is a pairwise IFRCS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

(ii) If  $B$  is a pairwise IFCS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (B)$ , ( $i=1,2$ ) is a pairwise IFROS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.**(i). Let  $A$  be a pairwise IFOS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_i} (A) \subseteq IFcl_{\tau_i} (A)$ , ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ) implies that  $IFcl_{\tau_i} IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i} (A) \subseteq IFcl_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j} (A) = IFcl_{\tau_i} (A)$  Hence  $IFcl_{\tau_i} IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i} (A) \subseteq IFcl_{\tau_i} (A)$  .....I.

Since  $A$  is a pairwise IFOS, we have  $A = IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} (A)$ , ( $j=1,2$ ). Now  $A = IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} (A) \subseteq IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i} (A)$  implies that  $A \subseteq IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i} (A)$ . Hence  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (A) \subseteq IFcl_{\tau_j} IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_i} (A)$  .....II

From I and II we have  $IFcl_{\tau_i} IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i} (A) = IFcl_{\tau_i} (A)$ , ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ). Therefore  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (A)$  is a pairwise IFRCS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

(ii). Let  $B$  be a pairwise IFCS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $1 - B$  is a pairwise IFOS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . By (i)  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (1 - B)$  is a pairwise IFRCS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $1 - IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (B)$  is a pairwise IFRCS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (B)$  is a pairwise IFROS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be an IFBTS,

(i) If  $A$  is a pairwise IFregular  $G_{\delta}$  - set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  then  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta_k$ , where  $(\delta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFROS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

(ii) If  $B$  is a pairwise IFregular  $F_{\sigma}$  - set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  then  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (\eta_k)$ , where  $(\eta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFRCS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let A be a pairwise IFregular  $G_\delta$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty (IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k))$ , ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ), where  $(A_k)$ 's are in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Take  $\delta_k = IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k)$ . Now  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k) = IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k)) \subseteq IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k) = IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k) = \delta_k$ .....I. Also

$$IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(\delta_k) = IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k)) \supseteq IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k) = IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k) = \delta_k. \text{ Hence } IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(\delta_k) \geq \delta_k \text{ .....II}$$

From I and II, we have  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(\delta_k) = \delta_k$ . Hence  $(\delta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFROSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Therefore

$$A = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty \delta_k, \text{ where } (\delta_k)'s \text{ are pairwise IFROS in } (X, \tau_1, \tau_2).$$

(ii) Let B is a pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then by Proposition 3.3.,  $1-B$  is a pairwise IF regular  $G_\delta$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . By (i),  $1-B = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty (\delta_k)$ , where the IFS  $(\delta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFROSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

Now  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (1-\delta_k)$ . Let  $1-\delta_k = \eta_k$ . Hence  $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (\eta_k)$ , where the IFSs  $(\eta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFRCSS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** If A is a pairwise IFregular  $G_\delta$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then A is a pairwise IF  $G_\delta$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let A be a pairwise IFregular  $G_\delta$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then by Proposition 3.5,  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty (\delta_k)$ , where the IFSs  $(\delta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFROSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Since every pairwise IFROS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a IFOS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $(\delta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFOSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty (\delta_k)$ ,  $(\delta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFOSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , implies that A is a pairwise IF regular  $G_\delta$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** If A is a pairwise IFregular  $F_\sigma$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then A is an IF  $F_\sigma$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let A be a pairwise IFregular  $F_\sigma$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then by Proposition 3.5,  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (\eta_k)$ , where the IFSs  $(\eta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFROSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Since every pairwise IFRCSS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a IFCS in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $(\eta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFCSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (\eta_k)$ ,  $(\eta_k)$ 's are pairwise IFCSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , implies that A is a pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** If A is a pairwise IFregular  $G_\delta$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $A \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty IFcl_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k)$ , ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ).

**Proof.** Let A is a pairwise IFregular  $G_\delta$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then then  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty (IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k))$ , ( $i \neq j$  and  $i, j = 1, 2$ ), where  $(A_k)$ 's are in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Now  $A = \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty (IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k)) \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k)$ . Hence  $A \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k) \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty IFcl_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k)$ . Therefore  $A \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty IFcl_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A_k)$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** If A is a pairwise IFregular  $F_\sigma$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IF \text{int}_{\tau_j}(A_k) \subseteq A$ ,

$(i \neq j \text{ and } i, j = 1, 2).$

**Proof.** Let A is a pairwise IFregular  $F_\sigma$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} (A_k))$ ,  $(i \neq j \text{ and } i, j = 1, 2)$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Now  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} (A_k)) \supseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} IFint_{\tau_j} (A_k)$ . Hence

$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} IFint_{\tau_j} (A_k) \subseteq A$ . Then

$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} IFint_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} (A_k) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} IFcl_{\tau_j} (A_k) \subseteq A$ . Therefore  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} IFint_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} (A_k) \subseteq A$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** If  $IFint_{\tau_j} (A) = 0$ ,  $(j=1,2)$  for a pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then A is a pairwise IF first category set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let A be a pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} (A_k))$ ,  $(i \neq j \text{ and } i, j = 1, 2)$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are IFSs in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Now  $IFint_{\tau_j} (A) = 0$  implies that

$IFint_{\tau_j} (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} (A_k))) = 0$ . But

$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFint_{\tau_j} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} (B_k))) \subseteq IFint_{\tau_j} (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} (B_k)))$ . Then we have

$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (IFint_{\tau_j} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} (B_k))) = 0$ . This implies that  $IFint_{\tau_j} (IFcl_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} (B_k)) = 0$ . Also

$IFint_{\tau_j} (IFcl_{\tau_i} (IFcl_{\tau_i} (IFint_{\tau_j} (B_k)))) = IFint_{\tau_j} (IFcl_{\tau_i} (IFint_{\tau_j} (B_k))) = 0$  and hence  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (IFint_{\tau_j} (B_k))$

is a pairwise IF nowhere dense set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Therefore A is a pairwise IF first category set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 3.11.** An IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be a pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space if  $IFcl_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} = 1_{\sim} = IFcl_{\tau_j} IFint_{\tau_i}$  for each pairwise IFdense set A in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** If  $IFint_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} = 0_{\sim}$  and  $IFint_{\tau_j} IFint_{\tau_i} = 1_{\sim}$  for an IFS A in a pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $IFint_{\tau_i} (A) = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IFint_{\tau_j} (A) = 1_{\sim}$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $IFint_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} = 0_{\sim}$  and  $IFint_{\tau_j} IFint_{\tau_i} = 1_{\sim}$  for an IFS A in a pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $1 - IFint_{\tau_i} IFint_{\tau_j} = 1_{\sim}$  and  $1 - IFint_{\tau_j} IFint_{\tau_i} = 1_{\sim}$ . This implies that  $IFcl_{\tau_i} cl_{\tau_j} (1 - A) = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IFcl_{\tau_j} cl_{\tau_i} (1 - A) = 1_{\sim}$ . That is  $1 - A$  is a pairwise IF dense set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space, for the pairwise IF dense set  $1 - A$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , we have  $IFcl_{\tau_i} int_{\tau_j} (1 - A) = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IFcl_{\tau_j} int_{\tau_i} (1 - A) = 1_{\sim}$ . Then we have  $IFint_{\tau_i} cl_{\tau_j} (A) = 0_{\sim}$  and  $IFint_{\tau_j} cl_{\tau_i} (A) = 0_{\sim}$ . Hence  $IFint_{\tau_i} (A) \subseteq IFint_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j} (A) = 0_{\sim}$  and  $IFint_{\tau_j} (A) \subseteq IFint_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i} (A) = 0_{\sim}$  implies that  $IFint_{\tau_i} (A) \subseteq 0_{\sim}$  and  $IFint_{\tau_j} (A) \subseteq 0_{\sim}$ . That is  $IFint_{\tau_i} (A) = 0_{\sim}$  and  $IFint_{\tau_j} (A) = 0_{\sim}$ .

**Proposition 3.13.** If  $IFcl_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j} = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IFcl_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i} = 1_{\sim}$  for an IFS A in a pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (A) = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IFcl_{\tau_j} (A) = 1_{\sim}$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $IFcl_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j} = 0_{\sim}$  and  $IFcl_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i} = 0_{\sim}$  for an IFS A in a pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $1 - IFcl_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j} = 1_{\sim}$  and  $1 - IFcl_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i} = 1_{\sim}$ . This implies that

$IF \text{int}_{\tau_1} \text{int}_{\tau_2} (1 - A) = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_2} \text{int}_{\tau_1} (1 - A) = 1_{\sim}$ . Now by Proposition 3.12.,  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_1} (1 - A) = 0_{\sim}$  and  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_2} (1 - A) = 0_{\sim}$  and hence  $1 - IFcl_{\tau_1} (A) = 0_{\sim}$  and  $1 - IFcl_{\tau_2} (A) = 0_{\sim}$ . Therefore  $IFcl_{\tau_1} (A) = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IFcl_{\tau_2} (A) = 1_{\sim}$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 3.14.** If the pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  -set A is pairwise IF dense in a pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then A is a pairwise IF residual set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let A be a pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  -set with  $IFcl_{\tau_1} IFcl_{\tau_2} = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IFcl_{\tau_2} IFcl_{\tau_1} = 1_{\sim}$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space and by Proposition 3.13,  $IFcl_{\tau_1} (A) = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IFcl_{\tau_2} (A) = 1_{\sim}$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . That is,  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (A) = 1_{\sim}$ , (i=1,2). Now  $1-A$  is a pairwise IF regular  $F_{\sigma}$  -set with  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_1} (1 - A) = 0_{\sim}$ . Then by Proposition 3.10,  $1-A$  is a pairwise IF first category set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Therefore A is a pairwise IF residual set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**4. Pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy regular voltaerra spaces:**

**Definition 4.1.** An IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a pairwise IF regular Volterra space if  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (\bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$ , (i=1,2), where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** If  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (\bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k)) = 0_{\sim}$ , (i=1,2), where the IFSs  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $F_{\sigma}$  -sets with  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (A_k) = 0_{\sim}$ , (i=1,2) in an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF regular Volterra space.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (\bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k)) = 0_{\sim}$ , (i=1,2), where the IFSs  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $F_{\sigma}$  -sets with  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (A_k) = 0_{\sim}$ . Now  $1 - IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (\bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$ . Then we have  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (1 - \bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$ . This

implies that  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (\bigcap_{k=1}^N (1 - A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$ . Since  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $F_{\sigma}$  -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , by

Proposition 3.3,  $(1-A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Also  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (A_k) = 0_{\sim}$  implies that  $1 - IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} (A_k) = 1_{\sim}$ . Then we have  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (1 - A_k) = 1_{\sim}$ , i=1,2. Then

$IFcl_{\tau_1} IFcl_{\tau_2} (1 - A_k) = IFcl_{\tau_1} (1) = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IFcl_{\tau_2} IFcl_{\tau_1} (1 - A_k) = IFcl_{\tau_2} (1) = 1_{\sim}$ . Hence  $(1-A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let  $B_k = 1 - A_k$ . Then  $(B_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF regular Volterra space.

**Proposition 4.3.** An IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF Volterra space, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF regular Volterra space.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise IF Volterra space. Now, consider  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (\bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k))$ . (i=1,2) where the IFSs  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . By Proposition 3.6, the pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  -sets  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF  $G_{\delta}$  -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence in  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (\bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k))$ ,  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF  $G_{\delta}$  -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF Volterra space,  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (\bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$ . Hence we have  $IFcl_{\tau_i} (\bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$  -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF regular Volterra space.

**Proposition 4.4.** If the pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF regular Volterra space, then  $IFcl\tau_i(\bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$ ,  $(i=1,2)$  where the IFs  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF residual sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise IF regular Volterra space. Then  $IFcl\tau_i(\bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$  dense and pairwise IF regular in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $(i=1,2)$  where the IFs  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . By Proposition 3.14,  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF residual sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $IFcl\tau_i(\bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$ ,  $(i=1,2)$  where the IFs  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF residual sets in  $G_{\delta}$ -sets  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** If the pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF regular Volterra space, then  $IFint_{\tau_i}(\bigcup_{k=1}^N(A_k)) = 0_{\sim}$ ,  $(i=1,2)$  where the IFs  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF first category sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise IF regular Volterraspace. Then  $IFcl\tau_i(\bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$ ,  $(i=1,2)$  where the IFs  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Now  $1 - IFcl\tau_i(\bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k)) = 0_{\sim}$  implies that  $IFint_{\tau_i}(1 - \bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k)) = 0_{\sim}$ . Then we have

$$IFint_{\tau_i}(\bigcup_{k=1}^N(1 - A_k)) = 0_{\sim}, (i=1,2).$$

By Proposition 3.3, the IFs  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$ -sets implies that  $(1-A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space and by Proposition 3.13,  $IFcl_{\tau_i}(A_k) = 1_{\sim}$  and  $IFcl_{\tau_i}(1 - A_k) = 0_{\sim}$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . That is,  $IFcl_{\tau_i}(A_k) = 1_{\sim}$ ,  $(i=1,2)$ , which implies  $1 - IFcl_{\tau_i}(A_k) = 0_{\sim}$ . Then  $IFint_{\tau_i}(1 - A_k) = 0_{\sim}$ . Hence the IFs  $(1-A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF  $F_{\sigma}$ -sets with  $IFint_{\tau_i}(1 - A_k) = 0_{\sim}$ . Therefore by Proposition 3.10,  $(1-A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF first category sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

Let  $B_k=1-A_k$ . Hence we have  $IFint_{\tau_i}(\bigcup_{k=1}^N(A_k)) = 0_{\sim}$ ,  $i=1,2$  where the IFs  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF first category sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**5. Pairwise intuitionistic fuzzy weakly regular volterra spaces:**

**Definition 5.1.** An IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called a *pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space* if  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k) \neq 0_{\sim}$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** If an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF regular Volterra space, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise IF regular Volterra space. Then  $IFcl(\bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k)) = 1_{\sim}$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_{\delta}$ -sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . This implies that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k) \neq 0_{\sim}$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Otherwise, if  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k) = 0_{\sim}$ , then  $IFcl_{\tau_i}(\bigcap_{k=1}^N(A_k)) = IFcl_{\tau_i}(0) = 0_{\sim} \neq 1_{\sim}$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proposition 5.3.** If an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly Volterra space, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proof.** Let  $(A_k)$ 's ( $k=1$  to  $N$ ) be pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_\delta$  – sets in a pairwise IF weakly Volterra space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then by Proposition 3.6, the pairwise IF regular  $G_\delta$  – sets  $(A_k)$ 's in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , are pairwise IF  $G_\delta$  – sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Hence  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise  $G_\delta$  – sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly Volterra space,  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k) \neq 0_\sim$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proposition 5.4.** If  $A$  is a pairwise IF nowhere dense set in an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $1-A$  is a pairwise IF dense set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a pairwise IF nowhere dense set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then we have  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A) = IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i}(A) = 0_\sim$ . Now  $1 - IF \text{int}_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(A) = 1 - 0 = 1_\sim$ . Then  $IFcl_{\tau_i}(1 - IFcl_{\tau_j}(A)) = 1_\sim$ , which implies that  $IFcl_{\tau_i}(IF \text{int}_{\tau_j}(1 - A)) = 1_\sim$ . But  $IFcl_{\tau_i}(IF \text{int}_{\tau_j}(1 - A)) \subseteq IFcl_{\tau_i}(IFcl_{\tau_j}(1 - A))$ . Hence  $1_\sim \subseteq IFcl_{\tau_i}(IFcl_{\tau_j}(1 - A))$ . That is  $IFcl_{\tau_i}(IFcl_{\tau_j}(1 - A)) = 1_\sim$ . Also  $1 - IF \text{int}_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i}(A) = 1 - 0 = 1_\sim$ . Then we have  $IFcl_{\tau_j}(1 - IFcl_{\tau_i}(A)) = 1_\sim$ . But  $IFcl_{\tau_j}(IF \text{int}_{\tau_i}(1 - A)) \subseteq IFcl_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i}(1 - A)$ . Hence  $1_\sim \subseteq IFcl_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i}(1 - A)$ . That is  $IFcl_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i}(1 - A) = 1_\sim$ . Hence we have  $IFcl_{\tau_i} IFcl_{\tau_j}(1 - A) = IFcl_{\tau_j} IFcl_{\tau_i}(1 - A) = 1_\sim$ . Therefore  $1-A$  is a pairwise IF dense set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** If  $\bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k) \neq 1_\sim$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF nowhere dense and pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – sets in an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proof.** Let  $(A_k)$ 's ( $k=1$  to  $N$ ) be pairwise IF nowhere dense and pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – sets in an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k) \neq 1_\sim$ . Then, we have  $1 - \bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k) \neq 0_\sim$ . This implies that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N (1 - A_k) \neq 0_\sim$ . Since  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF nowhere dense sets, we have by Proposition 5.5., Let  $(1-A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Also, since  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – sets, by Proposition 3.3,  $(1-A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $G_\delta$  – sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proposition 5.6.** If each pairwise IF nowhere dense set is a pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – set in a pairwise IF second category space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a pairwise IF second category space in which each pairwise IF nowhere dense set is a pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – set. Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF second category space,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (A_k) \neq 1_\sim$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF nowhere dense sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . By hypothesis,  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Let us take the first  $N(A_k)$ 's as  $(B_k)$ 's in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $\bigcup_{k=1}^N (B_k) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty (A_k)$  implies that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^N (B_k) \neq 1_\sim$ . Thus  $\bigcup_{k=1}^N (B_k) \neq 1_\sim$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF nowhere dense and pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Therefore by Proposition 5.5,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proposition 5.7.** If  $\bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k) = 1_\sim$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – sets in a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then there exists atleast one  $A_k$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  with  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i}(A_k) \neq 0_\sim$ , ( $i=1,2$ ).

**Proof.** Suppose that  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i}(A_k) = 0_\sim$  for all  $k=1$  to  $N$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $1 - IF \text{int}_{\tau_i}(A_k) = 1_\sim$ . This will imply that  $IF \text{int}_{\tau_i}(1 - A_k) = 1_\sim$ . Since  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $(1-A_k)$ 's are



pairwise IF regular  $G_\delta$  – sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N (1 - A_k) = 1 - (\bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k)) = 1 - 1 = 0_\sim$ . Hence we will have  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N (1 - A_k) = 0_\sim$ , where  $(1 - A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_\delta$  – sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and this will imply that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  will not be an IF weakly regular Volterra space, a contradiction to the hypothesis. Hence there must be atleast one  $A_k$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  with  $IF \text{ int}_\tau (A_k) \neq 0_\sim$ .

**Proposition 5.8.** If  $\bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k) = 1_\sim$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – sets such that  $IF \text{ int}_\tau (A_k) \neq 0_\sim$ ,  $(i=1,2)$  for atleast one  $A_k$  in an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\bigcup_{k=1}^N (A_k) = 0_\sim$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_\delta$  – sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $1 - \bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k) = 1_\sim$  and hence  $\bigcup_{k=1}^N (1 - A_k) = 1_\sim$ , where  $(1 - A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF regular  $F_\sigma$  – sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $IF \text{ int}_\tau (1 - A_k) = 0_\sim$  for all  $k=1$  to  $N$  in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  contradiction to the hypothesis. Hence  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k) \neq 0_\sim$ . Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proposition 5.9.** If  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_\delta$  – sets in an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , such that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k)$  is not a pairwise IF nowhere dense set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proof.** Suppose that the IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space, then we have  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k) = 0_\sim$ . This will imply that  $IF \text{ int}_\tau IFcl_\tau \bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k) = 0_\sim$ ,  $(i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2)$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF dense and pairwise IF regular  $G_\delta$  – sets in an IFBTS  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space.

**Proposition 5.10.** If the pairwise IF strongly irresolvable space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is a pairwise IF weakly regular Volterra space, then  $\bigcap_{k=1}^N (A_k) \neq 0_\sim$ , where  $(A_k)$ 's are pairwise IF residual sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Proof is obvious from Proposition 4.4 and 5.2.

**References:**

1. K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems., 20(1), (1986),87-96.
2. K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets past, present, and future, CLBME-Bulgarian, Academy of Science, Sofia, 2003.
3. K. Atanassov and Stoeva, Intuitionistic L-fuzzy sets, Cybernetics and System research, Elsevier, Amsterdam, (1984), 539-540.
4. Biljana Krsteska, Fuzzy pairwise almost strong precontinuity, Kragujevac K. Math. 28 (2005), 193-206.
5. G. Balasubramanian, Maximal Fuzzy Topologies, Kybernetika, 5, 31(1995), 459-464.
6. D. Coker , An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 88(1997), 81-89.
7. C.L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl.24 (1968), 182-190.
8. R. Dhavaseelan, E.Roja and M.K.Uma, Intuitionistic fuzzy resolvable and intuitionistic fuzzy irresolvable spaces, Scientia Magna, 2(7),(2011), 59-67.
9. E. Hewit, A Problem in set Theoretic Topology, Duke. Math. Jour, 10(1943), 309-333.
10. A.Kandil, Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces, Simen Stevin, 63, (1989), 45-66.

- 
11. S. J. Lee and E. P. Lee, The category of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 37(1), (2000), 63-76.
  12. G. Thangaraj and G. Vijayan, On Fuzzy almost resolvable and Fuzzy almost irresolvable spaces, Inter. J. Statistika and Matematika, 9, 2(2014), 61-65.
  13. Sharmila.S & Arockiarani.I, On Intuitionistic fuzzy Volterra spaces, Intl. Jour. Applied Engineering Research, 10(24), (2015), 44007-44011.
  14. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965) 338-353.

I. Arockiarani

Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, India.

S. Sharmila,

Department of Mathematics, Nirmala College for Women, Coimbatore, India.